

BART WARM SPRINGS EXTENSION



Final Environmental Impact Report

Volume I
Draft Environmental Impact Report

Prepared for
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit

November 1991

Submitted by
DKS Associates
Donaldson Associates
and associated consultants

BART WARM SPRINGS EXTENSION

Draft Environmental Impact Report

SCH#89030065

July 1991

Comments on the Draft EIR

Written comments should be sent
by 5:00 p.m. on August 26, 1991 to:

Joan Kugler

Warm Springs Extension Project

Bay Area Rapid Transit District

P.O. Box 12688

Oakland, California 94604-2688

CONTENTS

S.1	SUMMARY	S-1
	Need for Action	S-1
	The Proposed Project and Alternatives	S-1
	Areas of Controversy	S-5
	Issues to be Resolved	S-6
	Environmental Impacts	S-6
	Summary of Project Impacts	S-10
1.	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	1.1 PROJECT UNDER REVIEW	1-2
	1.1.1 Proposed Project	1-2
	1.1.2 "Non-BART" Alternatives	1-2
	1.1.3 BART Alternatives	1-3
	1.2 BART EXTENSION PROGRAM	1-4
	1.3 THE EIR PROCESS	1-6
	1.4 PURPOSE AND NEED	1-6
	1.4.1 The Need for Improved Service	1-6
	1.4.2 Project Goals and Objectives	1-8
	1.5 ORGANIZATION OF THE EIR	1-11
	1.6 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	1-11
	1.7 PROJECT PHASING	1-11
	1.8 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED	1-12
2.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
	2.1 REGIONAL LOCATION	2-1
	2.2 MAJOR FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	2-1
	2.2.1 Alignment Characteristics	2-4
	2.2.2 Station Characteristics	2-6
	2.3 DESIGN OPTIONS	2-11
	2.3.1 Central Park Design Options	2-11
	2.3.2 Other Design Options	2-14
	2.3.3 Applicability of Design Options	2-15
	2.4 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	2-15
	2.4.1 Alternative 1: No Project and No Transportation Improvements (status quo)	2-15

Contents

2.4.2	Alternative 2: No Project, Programmed Transportation Improvements	2-17
2.4.3	Alternative 3: Transportation Systems Management (TSM)	2-18
2.4.4	Alternative 4: A 5.4-mile BART Extension with Two Stations, and Relocated Railroad	2-18
2.4.5	Alternative 5: A 5.4-mile BART Extension with Two Stations	2-21
2.4.6	Alternative 6: A 7.8-mile BART Extension with Two Stations (No Irvington Station)	2-21
2.4.7	Alternative 7: A 7.8-mile BART Extension with Two Stations (No Irvington Station)	2-24
2.4.8	Alternative 8: A 7.8-mile BART Extension along Osgood Road and Warm Springs Boulevard, With Two Stations (No Irvington Station)	2-24
2.4.9	Alternative 9: A 5.4-mile BART Extension with One Station (Warm Springs)	2-30
2.4.10	Alternative 10: A 7.8-mile BART Extension with One Station (South Warm Springs)	2-30
2.4.11	Alternative 11: A 7.8-mile BART Extension with Two Stations (No Warm Springs Station)	2-33
2.5	OPERATING PLAN	2-33
2.5.1	Operating Facilities	2-33
2.5.2	Operations Plan	2-35
2.5.3	Bus Operations	2-36
2.6	RIDERSHIP	2-37
2.6.1	Ridership Projection	2-37
2.7	CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES/METHODS	2-41
2.7.1	Civil and Structural Construction - Fremont BART Station to Paseo Padre Parkway	2-42
2.7.2	Civil and Structural Construction - Paseo Padre Parkway South to Irvington Station	2-43
2.7.3	Civil and Structural Construction - Irvington Station to Warm Springs Station	2-44
2.7.4	Civil and Structural Construction - Warm Springs Station to the County Line	2-45
2.7.5	Parking Lot Construction	2-46

Contents

2.7.6	Trackwork Construction	2-46
2.7.7	Other Contracts	2-47
2.8	COST COMPARISONS	2-47
2.8.1	Conceptual Capital Costs	2-47
2.8.2	Operating and Maintenance Costs	2-48
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS, IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	3.1-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3.1-1
3.1.1	Areas of Environmental Analysis	3.1-1
3.1.2	Summary of Projections for Cumulative Analysis	3.1-2
3.2	SOILS, GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY	3.2-1
3.2.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.2-1
3.2.2	Impacts of Proposed Project	3.2-24
3.2.3	Impacts of Alternative 1	3.2-37
3.2.4	Impacts of Alternative 2	3.2-38
3.2.5	Impacts of Alternative 3	3.2-38
3.2.6	Impacts of Alternative 4	3.2-39
3.2.7	Impacts of Alternative 5	3.2-40
3.2.8	Impacts of Alternative 6	3.2-40
3.2.9	Impacts of Alternative 7	3.2-41
3.2.10	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.2-42
3.2.11	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.2-43
3.2.12	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.2-43
3.2.13	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.2-44
3.3	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	3.3-1
3.3.1	Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2	Impacts of Proposed Project and Design Options	3.3-14
3.3.3	Impacts of Alternative 1	3.3-23
3.3.4	Impacts of Alternative 2	3.3-23
3.3.5	Impacts of Alternative 3	3.3-23
3.3.6	Impacts of Alternatives 4 & 5	3.3-23
3.3.7	Impacts of Alternatives 6 & 7	3.3-23
3.3.8	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.3-24
3.3.9	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.3-24
3.3.10	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.3-24
3.3.11	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.3-25

Contents

3.4	HYDROLOGY	3.4-1
3.4.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.4-1
3.4.2	Impacts of Proposed Project and Design Options and Mitigation Measures	3.4-9
3.4.3	Impacts of Alternatives 1, 2 and 3	3.4-19
3.4.4	Impacts of Alternative 4	3.4-20
3.4.5	Impacts of Alternative 5	3.4-21
3.4.6	Impacts of Alternative 6	3.4-21
3.4.7	Impacts of Alternative 7	3.4-22
3.4.8	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.4-23
3.4.9	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.4-24
3.4.10	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.4-24
3.4.11	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.4-25
3.5	ECOSYSTEMS	3.5-1
3.5.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.5-1
3.5.2	Impacts of Proposed Project and Mitigation Measures ...	3.5-17
3.5.3	Impacts of Design Options and Mitigation Measures ...	3.5-28
3.5.4	Impacts of Alternatives and Mitigation Measures	3.5-31
3.6	LAND USE AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	3.6-1
3.6.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.6-1
3.6.2	Impacts of Proposed Project and Mitigation Measures	3.6-31
3.6.3	Impacts of Design Options	3.6-46
3.6.4	Impacts of Alternatives 1, 2 and 3	3.6-47
3.6.5	Impacts of Alternatives 4 Through 11	3.6-47
3.7	FREMONT CENTRAL PARK: LAND USE AND RECREATION	3.7-1
3.7.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.7-1
3.7.2	Impacts of Proposed Project	3.7-7
3.7.3	Impacts of Central Park Design Options	3.7-16
3.7.4	Impacts of Alternatives 1, 2 and 3	3.7-24
3.7.5	Impacts of Alternatives 4 through 11	3.7-25
3.8	VISUAL AND AESTHETIC QUALITY	3.8-1
3.8.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.8-1
3.8.2	Visual Simulations	3.8-11
3.8.3	Impacts of Proposed Project	3.8-11
3.8.4	Impacts of Design Options	3.8-33

Contents

3.8.5	Impacts of Alternatives 1, 2 and 3	3.8-40
3.8.6	Impacts of Alternative 4	3.8-40
3.8.7	Impacts of Alternative 5	3.8-43
3.8.8	Impacts of Alternative 6	3.8-43
3.8.9	Impacts of Alternative 7	3.8-45
3.8.10	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.8-47
3.8.11	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.8-52
3.8.12	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.8-52
3.8.13	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.8-53
3.9	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3.9-1
3.9.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.9-1
3.9.2	Direct (Operating) Impacts and Mitigation	3.9-9
3.9.3	Construction Impacts and Mitigation	3.9-10
3.9.4	Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation	3.9-15
3.9.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.9-15
3.10	UTILITIES AND PUBLIC SERVICES	3.10-1
3.10.1	Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2	Background on Utilities Impact Analysis	3.10-5
3.10.3	Impacts and Mitigation Measures of Proposed Project	3.10-6
3.10.4	Impacts of Design Options	3.10-13
3.10.5	Impacts of Alternatives 1, 2 and 3	3.10-14
3.10.6	Impacts of Alternatives 4 through 7 and 9 through 11	3.10-14
3.10.7	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.10-14
3.11	SAFETY AND SECURITY	3.11-1
3.11.1	Setting	3.11-1
3.11.2	Impacts and Mitigations	3.11-4
3.12	TRANSPORTATION	3.12-1
3.12.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.12-1
3.12.2	Background on Station Traffic Impact Analysis	3.12-23
3.12.3	Impacts of Proposed Project	3.12-32
3.12.4	Impacts of Design Options	3.12-72
3.12.5	Impacts of Alternative 1	3.12-72
3.12.6	Impacts of Alternative 2	3.12-72
3.12.7	Impacts of Alternative 3	3.12-73
3.12.8	Impacts of Alternatives 4 and 5	3.12-73
3.12.9	Impacts of Alternatives 6, 7 and 8	3.12-79
3.12.10	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.12-87

Contents

3.12.11	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.12-92
3.12.12	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.12-97
3.12.13	Regional Traffic Implications	3.12-105
3.13	NOISE AND VIBRATION	3.13-1
3.13.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.13-1
3.13.2	Impacts of Proposed Project	3.13-21
3.13.3	Impacts of Design Options	3.13-35
3.13.4	Impacts of Alternative 1	3.13-38
3.13.5	Impacts of Alternative 2	3.13-39
3.13.6	Impacts of Alternative 3	3.13-39
3.13.7	Impacts of Alternative 4	3.13-40
3.13.8	Impacts of Alternative 5	3.13-41
3.13.9	Impacts of Alternative 6	3.13-42
3.13.10	Impacts of Alternative 7	3.13-44
3.13.11	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.13-45
3.13.12	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.13-47
3.13.13	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.13-48
3.13.14	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.13-49
3.13.15	Summary of Operational Impacts	3.13-50
3.13.16	Noise and Vibration Impact During Construction	3.13-51
3.14	AIR QUALITY	3.14-1
3.14.1	Setting and Existing Conditions	3.14-1
3.14.2	Impacts of Proposed Project	3.14-6
3.14.3	Impacts of Design Options	3.14-17
3.14.4	Impacts of Alternative 1	3.14-17
3.14.5	Impacts of Alternative 2	3.14-18
3.14.6	Impacts of Alternative 3	3.14-18
3.14.7	Impacts of Alternative 4	3.14-20
3.14.8	Impacts of Alternative 5	3.14-21
3.14.9	Impacts of Alternative 6	3.14-23
3.14.10	Impacts of Alternative 7	3.14-24
3.14.11	Impacts of Alternative 8	3.14-26
3.14.12	Impacts of Alternative 9	3.14-27
3.14.13	Impacts of Alternative 10	3.14-28
3.14.14	Impacts of Alternative 11	3.14-30

Contents

3.15	ENERGY	3.15-1
3.15.1	Setting	3.15-1
3.15.2	Impacts	3.15-2
3.15.3	Mitigation	3.15-8
4.	GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS	4-1
4.1	BACKGROUND	4-1
4.2	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS AND LAND USE	4-1
4.3	ANALYSIS	4-3
5.	SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE EFFECTS	5-1
6.	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	6-1
7.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT TERM USES OF THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LOCAL LONG TERM PRODUCTIVITY	7-1
8.	SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS	8-1
9.	OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	9-1
9.1	BART WARM SPRINGS EXTENSION PLANNING HISTORY	9-1
9.2	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	9-5
9.2.1	Mode and Alignment Alternatives	9-5
9.2.2	Warm Springs Extension Alignment Alternatives	9-9
9.2.3	Warm Springs Extension Station Alternatives	9-10
10.	ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED	10-1
11.	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1

Contents

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Soil Sample Results for Phase II Environmental Survey	A-1
Appendix B: California Transportation Commission Resolution G-91-2 Commission Policy Resolution for Hazardous Waste Identification and Cleanup for Rail Right-of-Way	B-1
Appendix C: Corridor Potential Displacements	C-1
Appendix D: Intersection Mitigation Measures	D-1
Appendix E: Projected Wayside Noise Levels and Maximum Groundborne Vibration	E-1
Appendix F: Air Quality Methodology and Assumptions	F-1

TABLES

S-1	Summary of Proposed Project and Alternative Characteristics	S-3
S-2	Summary of Impacts	S-11
1-1	BART Extension Staging Policy	1-5
2-1	Applicability of Design Options	2-17
2-2	Weekday Entries and Exits Year 2010	2-38
2-3	Access/Egress Mode Percentages	2-39
2-4	Weekday BART Parking Demand at BART Stations	2-40
2-5	Estimated Conceptual Costs for Proposed Project and Alternatives	2-49
2-6	Estimated Conceptual Costs for Proposed Project and Alternatives with Design Options	2-50
2-7	Summary of BART Annual Operating and Maintenance Cost Estimates	2-51
3.2-1	Modified Mercalli Scale	3.2-11
3.2-2	Major Faults Potentially Affecting Proposed Warm Springs Extension Project	3.2-14
3.3-1	Potential and Identified Hazardous Materials Sites Along or Adjacent to Proposed Project Alignment	3.3-5
3.4-1	Drainage Line Characteristics	3.4-3
3.5-1	Birds and Mammals Observed or Expected to Use Habitat Along Proposed Project Alignment	3.5-4
3.6-1	Population Characteristics, Alameda County & Fremont	3.6-2
3.6-2	Building Permit Activity in Fremont, 1987-1990	3.6-3
3.6-3	Employment in Fremont: 1980, 1990 and 2005	3.6-4
3.6-4	Growth Projections, 1980-2005, Alameda County & Fremont	3.6-6
3.6-5	Summary of Office and Industrial Space: Supply and Absorption, City of Fremont	3.6-21
3.6-6	Taxable Sales for Alameda County and Fremont, 1979-1989	3.6-23
3.6-7	General Financing, Fiscal Year 1988-1989, Alameda County & Fremont	3.6-24
3.6-8	Planning Area Population and Household Characteristics, 1990	3.6-27
3.6-9	Employment Impacts of Construction and Ongoing Operations	3.6-34
3.6-10	BART Station Area Development Trends & Potential Transit Impacts	3.6-39
3.6-11	Summary of Potential Displacements From the Proposed Project and BART Alternatives	3.6-45

Tables

3.7-1	Estimated Annual Recreational Visits to Fremont Central Park by Type of Activity	3.7-8
3.12-1	Level of Service Definitions - Signalized Intersections	3.12-5
3.12-2	Level of Service Definitions - Unsignalized Intersections	3.12-6
3.12-3	Level of Service at All-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections	3.12-6
3.12-4	Existing Intersection Volume/Capacity Ratios and Level of Service AM and PM Peak Hour - Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-10
3.12-5	Existing Intersection Volume/Capacity Ratios and Level of Service AM and PM Peak Hour - Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-17
3.12-6	Existing Intersection Volume/Capacity Ratios and Level of Service AM and PM Peak Hour - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-22
3.12-7	Estimated Peak Hour Auto Trip Generation - New Stations Proposed Project	3.12-30
3.12-8	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Existing Plus Proposed Project	3.12-34
3.12-9	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Proposed Project, Year 1998	3.12-36
3.12-10	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Proposed Project, Year 2010	3.12-57
3.12-11	Adequacy of Parking Supply - Proposed Project	3.12-60
3.12-12	Summary of Intersection Mitigation Measures & Residual Impacts - Proposed Project, Year 1998	3.12-62
3.12-13	Summary of Intersection Mitigation Measures & Residual Impacts - Proposed Project, Year 2010	3.12-63
3.12-14	Estimated Peak Hour Trip Generation - New BART Station(s) Alternatives 4 and 5	3.12-73
3.12-15	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Existing Plus Alternatives 4 or 5	3.12-74
3.12-16	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternatives 4 or 5, Year 1998	3.12-75
3.12-17	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternatives 4 or 5, Year 2010	3.12-77
3.12-18	Adequacy of Parking Supply - Alternatives 4 or 5	3.12-78
3.12-19	Estimated Peak Hour Auto Trip Generation - New BART Station Alternatives 6, 7 or 8	3.12-79

Tables

3.12-20	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Existing Plus Alternatives 6, 7 or 8	3.12-80
3.12-21	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternatives 6, 7 or 8, Year 1998	3.12-82
3.12-22	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternatives 6, 7 or 8, Year 2010	3.12-85
3.12-23	Adequacy of Parking Supply - Alternatives 6, 7 or 8	3.12-87
3.12-24	Estimated Peak Hour Auto Trip Generation - New BART Station, Alternative 9	3.12-87
3.12-25	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Existing Plus Alternative 9	3.12-88
3.12-26	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternative 9, Year 1998	3.12-89
3.12-27	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternative 9, Year 2010	3.12-91
3.12-28	Adequacy of Parking Supply - Alternative 9	3.12-92
3.12-29	Estimated Peak Hour Auto Trip Generation New BART Station - Alternative 10	3.12-93
3.12-30	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Existing Plus Alternative 10	3.12-94
3.12-31	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternative 10, Year 1998	3.12-95
3.12-32	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternative 10, Year 2010	3.12-96
3.12-33	Adequacy of Parking Supply - Alternative 10	3.12-97
3.12-34	Estimated Peak Hour Auto Trip Generation - New BART Stations, Alternative 11	3.12-98
3.12-35	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Existing Plus Alternative 11	3.12-99
3.12-36	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternative 11, Year 1998	3.12-101
3.12-37	Summary of Intersection Traffic Analysis Results - Alternative 11, Year 2010	3.12-103
3.12-38	Adequacy of Parking Supply - Alternative 11	3.12-105
3.12-39	Comparison of Estimated Average Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel - Proposed Project and Alternatives - Year 2010	3.12-106

Tables

3.13-1	General Categories of Communities Along Rail System Corridors	3.13-3
3.13-2	APTA Guidelines for Maximum Airborne Noise from Train Operations	3.13-5
3.13-3	APTA Guidelines for Maximum Airborne Noise from Train Operations Near Specific Types of Buildings	3.13-6
3.13-4	APTA Guidelines for Noise from Substations	3.13-6
3.13-5	Criteria for Maximum Groundborne Noise from Train Operations	3.13-7
3.13-6	Criteria for Maximum Groundborne Noise from Train Operations Near Specific Types of Buildings	3.13-7
3.13-7	Recommended Guidelines for Maximum Groundborne Vibration from Train Operations	3.13-10
3.13-8	Recommended Guidelines for Maximum Groundborne Vibration from Train Operations	3.13-10
3.13-9	Description of Ambient Noise and Vibration Measurement Sites	3.13-13
3.13-10	Ambient Noise and Vibration Levels Measured in December 1990 at Locations Along the BART Warm Springs Extension	3.13-15
3.13-11	Summary of Anticipated Noise Impacts from BART Operations	3.13-52
3.13-12	Summary of Anticipated Vibration Impacts from BART Operations	3.13-53
3.14-1	Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.14-1
3.14-2	Air Quality Data Summary	3.14-5
3.14-3	Worst Case Carbon Monoxide Concentrations in the Vicinity of the Irvington Station	3.14-8
3.14-4	Worst Case Carbon Monoxide Concentrations in the Vicinity of the Warm Springs Station	3.14-9
3.14-5	Worst Case Carbon Monoxide Concentrations in the Vicinity of South Warm Springs Station	3.14-10
3.14-6	Travel Statistics for Air Quality Analysis	3.14-13
3.14-7	Regional Change in Emissions with Respect to Alternative 1 - 1991, 1998 and 2010	3.14-14
3.15-1	Annual Energy Consumption	3.15-3
3.15-2	Net Annual Energy Consumption	3.15-6
3.15-3	Construction Energy	3.15-8
9-1	Chronology of Alternatives Studies and Planning Milestones	9-4

FIGURES

S-1	Warm Springs Extension Proposed Project Alignment	S-2
2-1	Regional Location Map	2-2
2-2	Warm Springs Extension Proposed Project Alignment	2-3
2-3	Proposed Project Alignment	2-5
2-4	Irvington Station Concept - Proposed Project	2-8
2-5	Warm Springs Station Concept - Proposed Project	2-10
2-6	South Warm Springs Station Concept - Proposed Project	2-12
2-7	Central Park Design Options	2-13
2-8	Proposed Project and Rail Alternative Schematics	2-16
2-9	Alternative 4 Alignment	2-19
2-10	Irvington Station Concept - Alternative 4	2-20
2-11	Alternative 5 Alignment	2-22
2-12	Alternative 6 Alignment	2-23
2-13	Alternative 7 Alignment	2-25
2-14	Alternative 8 Alignment	2-26
2-15	Warm Springs Station Concept Alternative 8	2-28
2-16	South Warm Springs Station Concept Alternative 8	2-29
2-17	Alternative 9 Alignment	2-31
2-18	Alternative 10 Alignment	2-32
2-19	Alternative 11 Alignment	2-34
3.2-1	Physiographic Features	3.2-2
3.2-2	Local Geology	3.2-4
3.2-3	Regional Seismicity	3.2-9
3.2-4	Regional Faults	3.2-13
3.2-5	Hayward Fault Traces - Tule Pond to Fremont Central Park	3.2-18
3.2-6	Hayward Fault Traces - Fremont Central Park to Washington Boulevard	3.2-26
3.3-1	Site Associated with Hazardous Materials and Fuel Pipelines Location	3.3-10
3.3-2	Soil and Groundwater Sample Locations	3.3-13
3.4-1	Hydrologic Features	3.4-2
3.5-1	Wildlife Habitats in Central Park	3.5-2
3.5-2	Burrowing Owl Nesting and Activity Habitat in Central Park	3.5-16
3.6-1	Existing Corridor Land Uses	3.6-7

Figures

3.6-2	Designated Corridor Land Uses	3.6-8
3.6-3	Existing Land Use: Irvington Station and Surrounding Areas	3.6-11
3.6-4	Designated Land Use: Irvington Station and Surrounding Areas	3.6-12
3.6-5	Existing Land Use: Warm Springs Station and Surrounding Areas	3.6-14
3.6-6	Designated Land Use: Warm Springs Station and Surrounding Areas	3.6-15
3.6-7	Existing Land Use: South Warm Springs Station and Surrounding Areas	3.6-16
3.6-8	Designated Land Use: South Warm Springs Station and Surrounding Areas	3.6-17
3.6-9	BART Corridor Area Neighborhoods	3.6-25
3.7-1	Fremont Central Park	3.7-2
3.7-2	Central Park Views	3.7-3
3.7-3	View of Central Park Showing Alignment Options	3.7-9
3.7-4	View of Central Park Showing Alignment Options	3.7-10
3.8-1	Central Fremont & Central Park Visual Analysis Area	3.8-2
3.8-2	Irvington Visual Analysis Area	3.8-3
3.8-3	North Industrial Visual Analysis Area	3.8-4
3.8-4	Warm Springs/South Industrial Visual Analysis Area	3.8-5
3.8-5A	Existing Conditions - Viewpoint 1	3.8-12
3.8-5B	Proposed Project - Viewpoint 1	3.8-12
3.8-5C	Proposed Project with Landscape Mitigation - Viewpoint 1	3.8-12
3.8-6A	Design Option 2A - Viewpoint 1	3.8-13
3.8-6B	Design Option 3 - Viewpoint 1	3.8-13
3.8-6C	Existing Conditions - Viewpoint 2	3.8-13
3.8-6D	Proposed Project - Viewpoint 2	3.8-13
3.8-7A	Existing Conditions - Viewpoint 3	3.8-14
3.8-7B	Design Option 3 - Viewpoint 3	3.8-14
3.8-7C	Existing Conditions - Viewpoint 4	3.8-14
3.8-7D	Alternatives 7 and 8 - Viewpoint 4	3.8-14
3.8-8A	Existing Conditions - Viewpoint 5	3.8-15
3.8-8B	Proposed Project, At Grade Alternative - Viewpoint 5	3.8-15
3.8-8C	Alternative 7 - Viewpoint 5	3.8-15
3.8-9A	Existing Conditions - Viewpoint 6	3.8-16
3.8-9B	Alternative 8 - Viewpoint 6	3.8-16
3.8-10	BART Car Washing Facility	3.8-25

Figures

3.12-1	Warm Springs Extension Proposed Project Alignment	3.12-2
3.12-2	Existing Transportation Service Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-8
3.12-3	Peak Hour Turn Volumes, Existing Conditions - Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-11
3.12-4	Existing Transportation Service Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-14
3.12-5	Peak Hour Turn Volumes, Existing Conditions - Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-16
3.12-6	Existing Transportation Service South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-19
3.12-7	Peak Hour Turn Volumes, Existing Conditions - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-21
3.12-8	1998 Peak Hour Turn Volumes - No BART Extension Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-24
3.12-9	1998 Peak Hour Turn Volumes - No BART Extension Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-25
3.12-10	1998 Peak Hour Turn Volumes - No BART Extension South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-26
3.12-11	Trip Distribution Irvington Station Vicinity - Proposed Project and Alternatives 4-11	3.12-27
3.12-12	Trip Distribution Warm Springs Station Vicinity - Proposed Project and Alternatives 4-11	3.12-28
3.12-13	Trip Distribution South Warm Springs Station Vicinity - Proposed Project and Alternatives 4-11	3.12-29
3.12-14	1991 Peak Hour Turn Volumes Proposed Project - Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-38
3.12-15	1991 Peak Hour Turn Volumes Proposed Project - Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-39
3.12-16	1991 Peak Hour Turn Volumes Proposed Project - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-40
3.12-17	1998 Peak Hour Turn Volumes Proposed Project - Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-41
3.12-18	1991 Peak Hour Turn Volumes Proposed Project - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-42
3.12-19	1998 Peak Hour Turn Volumes Proposed Project - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-43

Figures

3.12-21	2010 Peak Hour Turn Volumes No BART Extension - Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-51
3.12-22	2010 Peak Hour Turn Volumes No BART Extension - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-52
3.12-23	2010 Peak Hour Turn Volumes BART Extension Proposed Project - Irvington Station Vicinity	3.12-53
3.12-24	2010 Peak Hour Turn Volumes BART Extension Proposed Project - Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-54
3.12-25	2010 Peak Hour Turn Volumes BART Extension Proposed Project - South Warm Springs Station Vicinity	3.12-55
3.13-1	Location of Ambient Noise and Vibration Measurement Sites	3.13-12
3.13-2	Existing BART Aerial Structures (with and without soundwalls)	3.13-28
3.13-3	Location of Noise Mitigation	3.13-30
3.13-4	Location of Vibration Mitigation	3.13-33
3.14-1	San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin	3.14-3
9-1	Fremont-South Bay Corridor Study - BART Alignments Rejected in Phase I Study	9-7
9-2	Warm Springs Extension Possible Station Sites	9-11