

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
300 Lakeside Drive, P. O. Box 12688, Oakland, CA 94604-2688

NOTICE OF MEETING AND AGENDA
BART POLICE CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD

September 14, 2020

4:00 p.m.

A regular meeting of the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB) will be held on Monday, September 14, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Please note, pursuant to Governor Newsom’s Executive Order N-29-20 and the California Shelter-in-Place mandate, which prevents all but essential travel, public participation for this meeting will be via teleconference only.

Presentation materials will be available via BART’s website at <https://www.bart.gov/about/bod/advisory/crb>

You may listen to the Meeting by calling 1-833-827-2778 and entering access code 526 256 207.

We strongly encourage public comments to be submitted via email. **You may submit comments via email to CitizenReviewBoard@bart.gov using “public comment” as the subject line.** Your comment will be provided to the Board and will become a permanent part of the file. Please submit your comments as far in advance as possible. Emailed comments must be received before 3:00 p.m. in order to be included in the record.

Individuals may also be given an opportunity by the moderator to speak on any item on the agenda by calling (833) 827-2778 and entering access code 526 256 207 in advance of the item. Public comment will be limited to three (3) minutes per person. Your phone will be muted until you are called upon.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order.
 - a. Roll Call.
 - b. Pledge of Allegiance.
2. Approval of Minutes of the Meetings of June 15, 2020 (Special), July 13, 2020 (Regular), and August 10, 2020 (Special). For Discussion and Action.
3. Chief of Police’s Report. For Discussion and Action.
 - a. Update on the Progressive Policing and Community Engagement Bureau.
 - i. Introduction of Deputy Chief Angela Averiett, BART Police Department (BPD).
 - b. BPD Monthly Reports for June 2020 and July 2020.
4. Use of Force Annual Report – 2019. Discussion and Action.
5. Update on BART Police Model Enhancements. For Discussion and Action.

6. Independent Police Auditor's Report. For Discussion and Action.
 - a. Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) Monthly Reports for June 2020 (continued from the July 13, 2020 meeting), July 2020, and August 2020.

7. Policy Standing Committee Updates. For Discussion and Action.
 1. Lexipol Policy 300 – Use of Force
 2. Lexipol Policy 306 – Handcuffing and Restraints
 3. Lexipol Policy 310 – Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths
 4. Lexipol Policy 312 – Firearms
 5. Lexipol Policy 314 – Vehicle Pursuits
 6. Lexipol Policy 332 – Missing Persons
 7. Lexipol Policy 334 – Public Alerts
 8. Lexipol Policy 380 – Child and Dependent Adult Safety
 9. Lexipol Policy 448 – Mobile Data Computer Use
 10. Lexipol Policy 521 – Parking Enforcement
 11. Lexipol Policy 602 – Sexual Assault Investigation (Member Perezvelez's Request)
 12. Lexipol Policy 710 – Cash Handling, Security and Management (Member Perezvelez's Request)
 13. Lexipol Policy 1010 – Employee Convictions
 14. Lexipol Policy 1020 – Personnel Complaints (Member Perezvelez's Request)
 15. Lexipol Policy 1044 – Personal Appearance Standards
 16. Lexipol Policy 1054 – Temporary Modified-Duty Assignments

8. Public Comment. (Limited to 3 minutes per speaker.)
(An opportunity for members of the public to address the BPCRB on matters under their jurisdiction and not on the agenda.)

9. Closed Session.
 - a. To Consider Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release in OIPA Cases #19-42 and #19-46. Govt. Code §54957.

10. Adjournment

BART provides service/accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and individuals who are limited English proficient who wish to address Board matters. A request must be made within one and five days in advance of Board meetings, depending on the service requested. Please contact the Office of the District Secretary at (510) 464-6083 for information.

BPCRB Meeting Agenda materials are available to the public by downloading 72 hours prior to the meeting at <http://www.bart.gov/about/bod/advisory/crb> (click on "Agenda").

Pursuant to Govt. Code §54953.5, the audio recording of the open session portions of this public meeting shall be subject to inspection pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA). Requests for information under the CPRA should be filed with the BART Office of the District Secretary.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
300 Lakeside Drive, P.O. Box 12688, Oakland, CA 94604-2688

Special BART Police Citizen Review Board Meeting Minutes
Monday, June 15, 2020

A Special meeting of the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB) was held Monday, June 15, 2020, convening at 4:01 p.m. via teleconference, pursuant to Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20 and the California Shelter-in-Place mandate. The meeting was called to order by Chairperson David Rizk; Mag Tatum, Recording Secretary.

Chairperson Rizk gave instructions on the virtual meeting, accessing presentation materials online, Public Comment, and Members' remarks.

1. Call to Order.

The regular meeting was convened at 4:01 p.m. by Chairperson Rizk.

Members Present: Members Erin Armstrong, Zachary Bruno, Christina Gomez, Pete Longmire, Les Mensinger, Kenneth Loo, George Perezvelez, Darren White, William White, and David Rizk.

Absent: Member Robert Pirone.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

2. Draft Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests.

Chairperson Rizk presented the Draft Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests. The item was discussed.

Member Mensinger moved the Draft Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests be sent to the BART Board of Directors. Member Darren White seconded the motion.

Member Bruno suggested an amendment to the original motion to replace the term "murder" with the words "outrageous killing" within the Draft Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests. Member Mensinger did not accept Member Bruno's amendment to the motion.

Member Bruno made a substitute motion to remove the word "murder" and replace the word with "outrageous killing" within the Draft Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests. Member Loo seconded the motion, which failed by roll call vote. Ayes – 2: Members Bruno and Loo. Noes – 8: Members Armstrong, Gomez, Longmire, Mensinger, Perezvelez, D. White, W. White, and Rizk. Abstain – 0. Absent – 1: Member Pirone.

Member Bruno made another substitute motion to strike the word “murder” and replace it with the following words “with murder being the charge” within the Draft Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests. Member Longmire seconded the motion, which failed by roll call vote. Ayes – 3: Members Bruno, Longmire, and Loo. Noes – 7: Members Armstrong, Gomez, Mensinger, Perezvelez, D. White, W. White, and Rizk. Abstain – 0. Absent – 1: Member Pirone.

Chairperson Risk returned to the original motion by Member Mensinger and seconded by Member Darren White. The motion carried by roll call vote. Ayes – 8: Members Armstrong, Gomez, Longmire, Mensinger, Perezvelez, D. White, W. White, and Rizk. Noes – 1: Member Bruno. Abstain – 1: Member Loo. Absent – 1: Member Pirone.

Chairperson Rizk called for Public Comment. No comments were received.

(Affixed to the Minutes is the Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd and Local and Nationwide Protests)

3. Open Discussion Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd.

Chairperson Rizk presented the Open Discussion Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd. The item was discussed.

4. Public Comment.

Chairperson Rizk called for Public Comment.

The following members of the BART Board of Directors gave comments:

Director Janice Li
Director Rebecca Saltzman
Director Robert Raburn
Director Elizabeth Ames

The following individuals addressed the Board via telephone:

Tyler
Yolanda Banks
Crystal Hartfelt
Marty James
Cars Taylor
Bobby White
Wanda Johnson
Cecil Gardner
Ziga Manual
Ben Douglas
Cephus Johnson
Jim Cringle
Tedder
Anna
Rachel

Emma
Demetris Tess
Jamie

5. Proposal of Potential Policy Reforms from BART Police Citizen Review Board and the Office of the Independent Police Auditor.

Chairperson Rizk presented the Proposals of Potential Policy Reforms from BART Police Citizen Review Board and the Office of the Independent Police Auditor. The item was discussed.

The following individuals addressed the Board via telephone:

Janice Li, BART Board member.
Russell Bloom, Independent Police Auditor.
Chief of Police Ed Alvarez.

Chairperson Rizk moved that the BART Police Citizen Review Board urges the BART Board of Directors to fundamentally re-examine public safety on the trains, including fare evasion, homelessness, quality of life and minor offenses, oversight, and alternative ways to achieve racial justice and fight racism on the BART system. We stand ready to support the Board of Directors in this endeavor. Member Loo seconded the motion.

Member Armstrong suggested an amendment to the original motion to include the review time in discipline cases. Chairperson Rizk accepted the amendment.

Member Zachary Bruno exited the meeting.
Member Christina Gomez exited the meeting.
Member George Perezvelez exited the meeting.
Member Darren White exited the meeting

Chairperson Rizk moved as amended that the BART Police Citizen Review Board urges the BART Board of Directors to fundamentally reexamine public safety on the trains, including fare evasion, homelessness, quality of life and minor offenses, oversight (including the time for disciplinary cases to be resolved), and alternative ways to achieve racial justice and fight racism on the BART system. We stand ready to support the Board of Directors in this endeavor. Member Loo seconded the motion which carried by roll call vote. Ayes – 6: Members Armstrong, Longmire, Loo, Mensinger, W. White, and Rizk. Noes – 0. Abstain – 0. Absent – 5: Members Bruno, Gomez, Perezvelez, Pirone, and D. White.

Adjournment.

The Meeting was adjourned at 7:02 p.m. and in honor of Sergeant Damon Gutzwiller, Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Office, California, and Patrick Underwood, Federal law enforcement officer, Oakland, California.

BART POLICE CITIZENS REVIEW BOARD

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Directors **DATE:** June 19, 2020

FROM: BPCRB Members Armstrong, Gomez, Longmire, Mensinger, Perezvelez, Rizk, D. White, and W. White.

SUBJECT: Statement from the BART Police Citizen Review Board - Regarding the Tragic Murder of George Floyd

The BART Police Department Citizen Review Board denounces, in the strongest possible terms, the needless and tragic murder of George Floyd, and hereby affirms that his life and all black lives matter.

Mr. Floyd's death has understandably deepened public distrust in law enforcement around the country, including in the communities that our members represent.

The BART Police Department Citizen Review Board was created after the death of Oscar Grant as part of a system of civilian oversight that "promotes integrity and encourages systemic change and improvement in the police services that [BART] provides to the public."

Although the BART Police Department has made many significant reforms since Mr. Grant's death, we have a duty to continue to advocate for greater change and improvement. We hear the call from our communities for dramatic changes to policing, and we as a Board commit to explore and advocate for structural changes to the way public safety is guaranteed throughout the BART system.

We welcome and encourage public participation and input, as well as collaboration with other stakeholders at BART, in this process.

We reaffirm our commitment to this movement in memory of Mr. Floyd and Mr. Grant.

cc: Board Appointed Officers
Deputy General Manager
Police Department

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
300 Lakeside Drive, P.O. Box 12688, Oakland, CA 94604-2688
BART Police Citizen Review Board Meeting Minutes
Monday, July 13, 2020

A regular meeting of the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB) was held Monday, July 13, 2020, convening at 4:01 p.m. via teleconference, pursuant to Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20 and the California Shelter-in-Place mandate. The meeting was called to order by Chairperson David Rizk; Mag Tatum, Recording Secretary.

Chairperson Rizk gave instructions on the virtual meeting, accessing presentation materials online, Public Comment, and Members' remarks.

1. Call to Order.

The regular meeting was convened at 4:06 p.m. by Chairperson Rizk.

Members Present:

Members Erin Armstrong, Zachary Bruno, Todd Davis, Christina Gomez, Pete Longmire, Kenneth Loo, George Perezvelez, Darren White, and David Rizk.

Absent:

Members Les Mensinger and William White.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

2. Introduction of New BPCRB Member, Todd Davis and Oath of Office.

Patricia Williams, District Secretary, performed the introduction of New BPCRB Member, Todd Davis and his Oath of Office.

Member Mensinger entered the meeting.

3. Approval of Minutes of the Meeting of June 8, 2020.

Member Perezvelez moved that the Minutes of the Meeting of June 8, 2020 be approved as amended; Member Longmire seconded the motion, which carried by roll call vote. Ayes – 9: Members Armstrong, Bruno, Gomez, Longmire, Loo, Mensinger, Perezvelez, D. White and Rizk. Noes – 0. Abstain – 1: Member Davis. Absent – 1: Member W. White,

4. Chief of Police's Reports.

a. BART Police Department's Monthly Reports for May 2020.

Chief of Police Ed Alvarez presented the BART Police Department's Monthly Reports. The reports were discussed.

Chairperson Rizk called for Public Comment.

Robert Raburn addressed the Board.

Peter addressed the Board.

5. Status Update on Station Reopening, Ridership, and Safety Measures – COVID-19 (continued from the June 8, 2020 meeting).

Chairperson Rizk presented the Status Update on Station Reopening, Ridership, and Safety Measures – COVID-19. The item was discussed.

6. Status Update on Structural Reforms Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd to the BART Police Department and Board of Directors.

Chairperson Rizk presented the Status Update on Structural Reforms Regarding the Death of Mr. George Floyd to the BART Police Department and Board of Directors. The item was discussed.

7. Proposed Outreach Recommendations Regarding Potential Options to Make BPCRB Meetings More Accessible to the Public During COVID-19.

Vice Chairperson Armstrong presented the Proposed Outreach Recommendations Regarding Potential Options to Make BPCRB Meetings More Accessible to the Public During COVID-19. The item was discussed and continued to a future BPCRB meeting.

8. Independent Police Auditor’s Report.

a. Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) Monthly Reports for May 2020 (continued from the June 8, 2020 meeting) and June 2020.

Independent Police Auditor Russell Bloom presented the OIPA Monthly Report for May 2020. The May 2020 report was discussed. The June 2020 report will come back to a future meeting.

9. BPCRB Participation in the 2020 Annual National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) Conference.

Chairperson Rizk presented the BPCRB Participation in the 2020 Annual National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) Conference. The item was discussed.

10. Public Comment.

Chairperson Rizk called for Public Comment.

Mr. Robert Raburn addressed the Board

Chairperson Rizk announced that the Board would enter closed session under Item 11-A (Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release in OIPA Case #19-41 of the regular meeting agenda, and that the Board would reconvene in open session at the conclusion of closed session.

The Meeting recessed at 5:57 p.m.

The Meeting reconvened in Closed Session at 5:57 p.m.

11. Closed Session.

- a. To reconsider Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release in OIPA Case #19-41 Govt. Code §54957.

Members Present:

Members Erin Armstrong, Todd Davis, Christina Gomez, Kenneth Loo, Les Mensinger, George Perezvelez, Darren White, William White, and David Rizk.

Absent:

Members Zachary Bruno and Pete Longmire.

Members Zachary Bruno and Pete Longmire left the meeting via teleconference.

Adjournment.

The Meeting reconvened in Open Session at 6:55 p.m.

Chairperson Rizk announced that the Board voted unanimously to accept the findings in OIPA Cases #19-41.

The Meeting was adjourned at 6:56 p.m.

DRAFT

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT
300 Lakeside Drive, P.O. Box 12688, Oakland, CA 94604-2688

BART Police Citizen Review Board Meeting Minutes
Monday, August 10, 2020

A regular meeting of the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB) was held Monday, August 10, 2020, convening at 4:00 p.m. via teleconference, pursuant to Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20 and the California Shelter-in-Place mandate. This meeting was a joint meeting with BART Board of Directors. The meeting was called to order by Chairperson David Rizk; Mag Tatum, Recording Secretary.

Chairperson Rizk gave instructions on the virtual meeting, accessing presentation materials online, Public Comment, and Members' remarks.

1. Call to Order.

The regular meeting was convened at 4:00 p.m. by Chairperson Rizk.

Members Present:

Members Erin Armstrong, Zachary Bruno, Christina Gomez, Kenneth Loo, Les Mensinger, George Perezvelez, William White, and David Rizk.

Absent:

Member Todd Davis, Pete Longmire, and Darren White.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

2. Opening Remarks.

Lateefah Simon, BART Board President, David Rizk, BPCRB Chair, Mr. Robert Powers, BART General Manager, and Mr. Don Casimere, Facilitator, made opening remarks.

Members Todd Davis and Darren White entered the meeting.

3. Public Comment on Item 4 Only.

Chairperson Rizk called for Public Comment on Item 4 only.

The following individuals addressed the Board via telephone:

Cephus Johnson
Nick Carroway
Karen
Wanda Johnson
Joe Kunzler
Gigi Gamble
Patrick Mortiere
Michael Petrelis
David
Dan

Kylie

Member Darren White exited the meeting.

4.

a. BART Police Model Enhancements.

Mr. Rodd Lee, BART Assistant General Manager, External Affairs, presented Roll Call for Introductions 20-832 to the BPCRB. The presentation was discussed.

b. Overview of the BART Police Citizen Review Board Model.

Chairperson Rizk presented an overview of BART Police Citizen Review Board Model. The report was discussed.

c. BPCRB Stakeholder Input and Recommendations.

Don Casimere, Facilitator, presented the BPCRB Stakeholder Input and Recommendations. The information was discussed.

The following BPCRB members gave comments:

Member W. White commented on the following subjects:

- his experience with police oversight
- his experience in the mental health industry
- the need for mental health professionals in the Bay Area
- the role of police unions

Member Gomez thanked the public speakers and commented on the following subjects:

- drug use and overdoses
- fare evasion
- utilizing police for behavioral issues
- partnerships between local counties to resolve issues of substance abuse
- platforms for homeless advocates and partnering with other entities

Member Armstrong commented on the following subjects:

- the need to reimagine what policing means
- ways to serve the community better and having the right tools to do the job
- assistance for homeless individuals
- policing crimes of poverty
- structural issues, structural changes and structural elements.
- the role of the police unions
- advocates for more compassionate policies on the detention of transgender individuals
- BART continuing to lead the way in reform. Reform is not a destination; it is a journey.

Member Perezvelez thanked the public speakers and commented on the following subjects:

- his experience with safety and the perception of safety
- his experience with transgender general orders
- various assembly bills and senate bills (AB392, SB230, AB1506, and SB776)
- the need for a BPCRB Use of Force Subcommittee
- the need for rapid response teams for mental health crisis
- the need to provide additional training for BART dispatchers
- his viewpoints on reassessing priorities and not criminalizing homelessness and poverty

Member Loo commented on the following subjects:

- his experience with professional rescues at stations
- homeless and behavioral problems in the stations
- the need for additional resources for more homeless outreach teams and after-hour homeless shelters
- safety and security of passengers
- partnering with regional and municipalities and advocating for additional resources

Member Bruno thanked the public speakers and commented on the following subjects:

- a rise in violent crimes at the stations
- opposition in taking funds away from the police
- fare evasion
- police reforms
- zero sustained complaints for racial discrimination or bias against police officers
- success stories of reform at BART's police dept.
- the need for riders to have clean, safe, and reliable transit

Member Davis commented on the following subjects:

- his experience with bias citations
- the need to re-envision police funding
- the need for culture changes in the police dept.
- the need to focus on the source or critical issues that cause use of force incidents

Member Rizk thanked the public speakers and commented on the following subjects:

- the need for evidence-based reforms
- the need to collect more data on what the police is actual doing
- Chief of Police monthly reports
- low level offenses
- the need to refocus on core public safety missions of the police dept
- fare evasion and proof a payment are equity issues
- the need to provide a report from Center of Police and Equity with racial demographics data
- racial disparities in fare enforcement

- the need for a transparent process and public input
- the need for changes in bias enforcement
- the need to refocus the police department on core issues

Member Mensinger thanked the public speakers and commented on the following subjects:

- assistance for homeless individuals
- partnerships between local counties to provide additional assistance for the homeless

- d. BART Board of Directors' Comments and Feedback. For discussion.
Don Casimere, Facilitator, presented the BART Board of Directors' Comments and Feedback. The information was discussed.

The following Board of Directors gave comments:

President Lateefah Simon
Vice President Mark Foley
Director Debora Allen
Director Elizabeth Ames
Director Bevan Dufty
Director Janice Li
Director John McPartland
Director Robert Raburn
Director Rebecca Saltzman

Chair Rizk moved to extend the meeting to 6:30 p.m. Member Perezvelez seconded the motion which carried by a unanimous acclamation. Ayes – 9: Members Armstrong, Bruno, Davis, Gomez, Loo, Mensinger, Perezvelez, W. White, and Rizk. Noes – 0. Abstain – 0. Absent – 2: Members Longmire and D. White.

5. Summary and Next Steps.

Don Casimere, Facilitator, stated that all recommendations will be continued to a future meeting.

Adjournment.

The Meeting was adjourned at 6:25 p.m.

BART POLICE DEPARTMENT



June 2020

MONTHLY REPORT



Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department


101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police

June 2020


Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**

PART 1 UCR Crime	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	0	-100%
Rape	2	3	4	8	3	7	6	2	-67%
Robbery	153	161	232	290	345	378	187	132	-29%
Aggravated Assault	71	73	93	125	130	112	60	50	-17%
Violent Crime Subtotal	226	238	330	423	481	499	254	184	-28%
Burglary (Structural)	7	4	12	15	18	16	6	9	+50%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	2,597	2,325	2,217	2,593	2,565	3,177	1,495	715	-52%
Auto Theft	522	480	480	420	348	247	106	71	-33%
Arson	0	0	1	4	4	4	1	4	+300%
Property Crime Subtotal	3,126	2,809	2,710	3,032	2,935	3,444	1,608	799	-50%
TOTAL	3,352	3,047	3,040	3,455	3,416	3,943	1,862	983	-47%

PART 1 CRIME OVERALL




ELECTRONIC THEFT




Part 1 Crimes: Top Five Stations

June 2020: A60/HAS A30/cos A70/SHS A20/FVS A40/SLS
 Full Year 2019: A30/COS A60/HAS M10/OWS A20/FVS A40/SLS


BATTERY & ASSAULT ON BART




BICYCLE THEFT



VEHICLE CRIME



CALLS TO DISPATCH



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program.

Late reporting, the reclassification or unbounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.











Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police

June 2020

Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**






Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


June 2020

Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**


INVESTIGATIONS CASELOAD %




SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG




POLICE TRAIN HOLDS




PROOF OF PAYMENT CITATIONS




PARKING CITATIONS




OVERTIME UTILIZATION (IN THOUSANDS)



EMPLOYEE INJURIES



VACANCIES






Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department


101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police

June 2020 Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**


AB716 - PROHIBITION ORDERS



INTERNAL AFFAIRS COMPLAINTS






IA COMPLAINTS RECEIVED¹




1: Each incident could contain more than one allegation. This chart reflects the most significant allegation per incident.

DEPARTMENT ETHNIC DIVERSITY



USE OF FORCE INCIDENTS



INCIDENT FORCE OPTIONS USED²



2: Some incidents involved the use of multiple force options. If two officers involved in the same incident used the same force option, this data would reflect both officers. As an example, if two officers used control holds in the same incident,

TYPES OF FORCE USED³



3: Each incident could contain more than one force option. This chart reflects most significant force options used per incident.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


June 2020 Performance Measurement Review - Alameda County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	2	1	1	0	-100%
Rape	6	3	2	2	2	0%
Robbery	191	211	229	114	75	-34%
Aggravated Assault	73	87	52	30	30	0%
Violent Crime Subtotal	270	303	284	147	107	-27%
Burglary (Structural)	8	11	13	4	7	+75%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	1,471	1,262	1,634	739	433	-41%
Auto Theft	266	201	149	62	38	-39%
Arson	2	3	5	2	2	0%
Property Crime Subtotal	1,747	1,477	1,801	807	480	-41%
TOTAL	2,017	1,780	2,085	954	587	-38%


ALAMEDA ELECTRONIC THEFT




ALAMEDA VEHICLE CRIME




ALAMEDA ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



ALAMEDA PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



ALAMEDA FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program.

Late reporting, the reclassification or unfounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


June 2020 Performance Measurement Review - Contra Costa County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	1	0	0	0	-%
Rape	1	0	4	4	0	-100%
Robbery	35	29	34	18	12	-33%
Aggravated Assault	23	20	23	10	9	-10%
Violent Crime Subtotal	59	50	61	32	21	-34%
Burglary (Structural)	2	1	2	2	0	-100%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	675	669	592	306	140	-54%
Auto Theft	134	124	81	39	32	-18%
Arson	3	1	0	0	0	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	814	795	675	347	172	-50%
TOTAL	873	845	736	379	193	-49%


CONTRA COSTA ELECTRONIC THEFT




CONTRA COSTA VEHICLE CRIME




COCO ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



COCO PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



COCO FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program.

Late reporting, the reclassification or unbounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


June 2020 Performance Measurement Review - San Francisco County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	0	0	0	0	-%
Rape	0	0	0	4	0	-100%
Robbery	49	97	104	50	41	-18%
Aggravated Assault	23	18	28	18	7	-61%
Violent Crime Subtotal	72	115	132	72	48	-33%
Burglary (Structural)	5	6	4	2	1	-50%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	244	473	619	306	114	-63%
Auto Theft	2	1	1	0	0	-%
Arson	0	0	0	0	1	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	251	480	624	308	116	-62%
TOTAL	323	595	756	380	164	-57%


SAN FRANCISCO ELECTRONIC THEFT




SAN FRANCISCO FARE EVASION




SF ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



SF PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



SF OFFICER-INITIATED INCIDENTS



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program.

Late reporting, the reclassification or unfinding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


June 2020 Performance Measurement Review - San Mateo County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	0	0	0	0	-%
Rape	1	0	1	0	0	-%
Robbery	15	8	13	5	4	-20%
Aggravated Assault	6	5	8	3	3	0%
Violent Crime Subtotal	22	13	22	8	7	-13%
Burglary (Structural)	0	0	0	0	1	-%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	208	161	332	143	44	-69%
Auto Theft	18	19	13	5	1	-80%
Arson	0	0	0	0	1	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	226	180	345	148	47	-68%
TOTAL	248	193	367	156	54	-65%


SAN MATEO ELECTRONIC THEFT




SAN MATEO VEHICLE CRIME




SMC ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



SMC PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



SMC FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program.

Late reporting, the reclassification or unfinding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


June 2020 Performance Measurement Review - Santa Clara County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Rape	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Robbery	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Aggravated Assault	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Violent Crime Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-%
Burglary (Structural)	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Auto Theft	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Arson	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-%
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	-%


SANTA CLARA ELECTRONIC THEFT




SCC OFFICER-INITIATED INCIDENTS




SCC ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



SCC PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



SCC FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unbounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.

**BART Police Department - Office of Internal Affairs
Investigation Log**

IA#:	DATE OCC'D	DATE REC'D	ALLEGATION	MISC	INVESTIGATOR	STATUS	5 Month Date	Due Date
IA2018-001	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	Force (OIS)		Sgt. T. Salas	Tolled	6/4/2018	
IA2018-043	6/6/2018	6/6/2018	Force		Sgt. McNack	Tolled	11/5/2018	
IA2018-060	7/22/2018	7/23/2018	Service Review		Lt. Franklin	Tolled	12/23/2018	
IA2019-098	9/2/2019	9/3/2019	Policy/Procedure Force, CUBO Arrest/Detention		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	2/2/2020	9/3/2020
IA2019-111	10/1/2019	10/2/2019	Force, CUBO, Search or Seizure	Deferred to OIPA #19-41	OIPA	In Progress	3/2/2020	10/2/2020
IA2019-112	9/20/2019	10/4/2019	Force, CUBO, POD, Supervision, Policy/Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #19-42	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	3/4/2020	10/4/2020
IA2019-117	11/4/2019	11/4/2019	Bias Based Policing	OIPA Intake #19-49	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	4/4/2020	11/4/2020
IA2019-119	11/5/2020	11/5/2020	BBP, Force, CUBO	Deferred to OIPA #19-50	OIPA	In Progress	4/5/2020	11/5/2021
IA2019-120	11/8/2019	11/4/2020	BBP, Arrest/Detention, Force, CUBO, Axon	Deferred to OIPA #19-51	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	4/8/2020	11/4/2021
IA2019-124	10/24/2019	10/24/2019		Deferred to OIPA #19-46	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	3/24/2020	10/24/2020

IA2019-125	11/13/2019	11/13/2019	CUBO, POD, Policy/ Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #19-52	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	12/11/2019	11/13/2020
IA2019-129	12/11/2019	12/13/2019	Policy/Procedure		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	5/13/2020	12/13/2020
IA2019-133	11/21/2019	12/17/2019	Force		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	6/21/2020	12/17/2020
IA2020-004	6/5/2020	6/8/2020	Bias		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	11/7/2020	6/8/2021
IA2020-006	1/18/2020	1/27/2020	Force		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	6/27/2020	1/27/2021
IA2020-008	1/18/2020	1/27/2020		OIPA Intake #20-01	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	6/29/2020	1/27/2021
IA2020-013	2/2/2020	2/12/2020	CUBO, Courtesy		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	7/13/2020	2/12/2021
IA2020-015	2/12/2020	2/12/2020	Force, Arrest/Detention	Deferred to OIPA #20-07	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/13/2020	2/12/2021
IA2020-016	2/12/2020	2/13/2020	CUBO	S.R., Awaiting Outley to return from leave	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	3/14/2020	2/13/2021
IA2020-017	2/15/2020	2/15/2020	Force		Sgt. McNack	Tolled	7/16/2020	2/15/2021
IA2020-018	2/1/2020	2/1/2020	CUBO, Policy/Procedure		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	7/2/2020	2/1/2021
IA2020-019	2/5/2020	2/5/2020	Arrest/detention, Policy/Procedure, Search/seizure	Deferred to OIPA #20-06	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/6/2020	2/5/2021
IA2020-020	3/6/2021	2/20/2020	Force	OIPA Intake #20-10	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	7/21/2020	2/20/2021

IA2020-022	2/19/2020	2/21/2020	Force, Arrest/Detention, Bias Based Policing, Search or seizure	Deferred to OIPA #20-11	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/22/2020	2/21/2021
IA2020-023	2/29/2020	2/29/2020	Axon, Bias Based Policing		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	7/30/2020	3/1/2021
IA2020-024	2/15/2020	3/7/2020	Force, Arrest/Detention	OIPA Intake #20-13	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	8/6/2020	3/7/2021
IA2020-025	2/28/2020	2/29/2020	BPD providing private taxi services	Inquiry	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	3/30/2020	3/1/2021
IA2020-026	3/5/2020	3/9/2020	Arrest/Detention, BBP, Axon Policy/Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #20-14	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/30/2020	3/9/2021
IA2020-027	11/10/2019	3/12/2020	POD		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	4/10/2020	3/12/2021
IA2020-028	3/12/2020	3/12/2020	Force		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	8/11/2020	3/12/2021
IA2020-030	2/16/2020	3/16/2020	CUBO	Admin Closure	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	8/15/2020	3/16/2021
IA2020-031	3/11/2020	3/14/2020	CUBO		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	8/13/2020	3/14/2021
IA2020-033	4/1/2020	4/1/2020	Force		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	8/31/2020	4/1/2021
IA2020-034	4/22/2020	4/22/2020	CUBO	Admin Closure	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	5/22/2020	4/22/2021

IA2020-035	4/26/2020	4/26/2020	BBP, CUBO, Axon	Formal/Admin Investigation	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	9/25/2020	4/26/2021
IA2020-036	Unk	4/30/2020	Arrest/Detention, POD, Axon	OIPA Intake #20-17	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	9/29/2020	4/30/2021
IA2020-037	Unk	4/30/2020	POD	OIPA Intake #20-18	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	10/3/2020	4/30/2021
IA2020-038	5/4/2019	5/13/2020	POD	Possible Clear by Video	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	10/12/2020	5/13/2021
IA2020-039	5/14/2019	5/14/2020	POD		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	10/12/2020	5/14/2021
IA2020-040	5/12/2020	5/12/2020	Force		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	10/11/2020	5/12/2021
IA2020-042	6/2/2020	6/3/2020	Arrest/Detention		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	11/2/2020	6/3/2021
IA2020-044	6/4/2020	6/5/2020	CUBO, Courtesy, Policy/Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #20-19	OIPA	In Progress	11/4/2020	6/5/2021
IA2020-045	6/6/2020	6/6/2020	CUBO		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	7/10/2020	6/6/2021
IA2020-046	6/8/2020	6/8/2020	BBP, CUBO		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	11/7/2020	6/8/2021
IA2020-047	6/11/2020	6/12/2020	CUBO		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	11/11/2020	6/12/2021

BART Watch - 2020

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	YTD
Crime in Progress	53	60	32	17	9	17							
Disruptive Behavior	589	593	352	78	86	114							
Drug Use	294	222	155	38	52	64							
Human Trafficking	2	0	0	3	1	0							
Illegally Parked Vehicle	16	22	1	1	2	2							
Aggressive Panhandling	40	42	15	3	5	3							
Report a Crime Tip	22	28	10	4	13	29							
Robbery/Theft	18	13	10	5	6	5							
Sexual Assault/Lewd Behavior	20	17	35	246	137	327							
Suspicious Activity	82	71	58	28	17	28							
Unattended Bag or Package	28	25	9	2	5	6							
Unsecure Door	11	2	4	4	3	2							
Vandalism	64	55	21	18	6	21							
Welfare Check	144	121	91	27	29	39							
Total	1383	1271	793	474	371	657							

Total Downloads: 88,589

Total Reports Made

Anonymous: Not Available

Non-Anonymous: Not Available

BART POLICE DEPARTMENT



July 2020

MONTHLY REPORT



Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department


101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police

July 2020


Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**

PART 1 UCR Crime	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	0	-100%
Rape	2	3	4	8	3	7	6	2	-67%
Robbery	153	161	232	290	345	378	218	147	-33%
Aggravated Assault	71	73	93	125	130	112	65	60	-8%
Violent Crime Subtotal	226	238	330	423	481	499	290	209	-28%
Burglary (Structural)	7	4	12	15	18	16	10	10	0%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	2,597	2,325	2,217	2,593	2,565	3,177	1,810	769	-58%
Auto Theft	522	480	480	420	348	247	134	79	-41%
Arson	0	0	1	4	4	4	1	4	+300%
Property Crime Subtotal	3,126	2,809	2,710	3,032	2,935	3,444	1,955	862	-56%
TOTAL	3,352	3,047	3,040	3,455	3,416	3,943	2,245	1,071	-52%

PART 1 CRIME OVERALL




ELECTRONIC THEFT




Part 1 Crimes: Top Five Stations

July 2020: A30/COS A50/BFS A20/FVS A10/LMS A60/HAS
 Full Year 2019: A30/COS A60/HAS M10/OWS A20/FVS A40/SLS


BATTERY & ASSAULT ON BART




BICYCLE THEFT



VEHICLE CRIME



CALLS TO DISPATCH



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unfounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020

Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**


OFFICER-INITIATED INCIDENTS




WARRANT ARRESTS




ALL BOOKINGS & ARRESTS




PRIORITY TYPE 1 - 3 CALLS




FARE EVASION CAD CALLS




FARE EVASION CITATIONS



ALL CITATIONS



FIELD INTERVIEWS






Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020

Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**


INVESTIGATIONS CASELOAD %




SYSTEM RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG




POLICE TRAIN HOLDS




PROOF OF PAYMENT CITATIONS




PARKING CITATIONS




OVERTIME UTILIZATION (IN THOUSANDS)



EMPLOYEE INJURIES



VACANCIES





Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020

Performance Measurement Review - **Systemwide**


AB716 - PROHIBITION ORDERS



INTERNAL AFFAIRS COMPLAINTS




IA COMPLAINTS RECEIVED¹




1: Each incident could contain more than one allegation. This chart reflects the most significant allegation per


DEPARTMENT ETHNIC DIVERSITY



USE OF FORCE INCIDENTS




INCIDENT FORCE OPTIONS USED²



2: Some incidents involved the use of multiple force options. If two officers involved in the same incident used the same force option, this data would reflect both officers. As an example, if two officers used control holds in the same incident,

TYPES OF FORCE USED³



3: Each incident could contain more than one force option. This chart reflects most significant force options used per incident.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020 Performance Measurement Review - **Alameda County**

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	2	1	1	0	-100%
Rape	6	3	2	2	2	0%
Robbery	191	211	229	137	82	-40%
Aggravated Assault	73	87	52	32	34	+6%
Violent Crime Subtotal	270	303	284	172	118	-31%
Burglary (Structural)	8	11	13	9	8	-11%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	1,471	1,262	1,634	915	461	-50%
Auto Theft	266	201	149	77	42	-45%
Arson	2	3	5	2	2	0%
Property Crime Subtotal	1,747	1,477	1,801	1,003	513	-49%
TOTAL	2,017	1,780	2,085	1,175	631	-46%


ALAMEDA ELECTRONIC THEFT




ALAMEDA VEHICLE CRIME




ALAMEDA ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



ALAMEDA PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



ALAMEDA FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unfounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020 Performance Measurement Review - **Contra Costa County**

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	1	0	0	0	-%
Rape	1	0	4	4	0	-100%
Robbery	35	29	34	21	14	-33%
Aggravated Assault	23	20	23	11	11	0%
Violent Crime Subtotal	59	50	61	36	25	-31%
Burglary (Structural)	2	1	2	2	0	-100%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	675	669	592	367	149	-59%
Auto Theft	134	124	81	51	35	-31%
Arson	3	1	0	0	0	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	814	795	675	420	184	-56%
TOTAL	873	845	736	456	209	-54%


CONTRA COSTA ELECTRONIC THEFT




CONTRA COSTA VEHICLE CRIME




COCO ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



COCO PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



COCO FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unbounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020 Performance Measurement Review - San Francisco County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	0	0	0	0	-%
Rape	0	0	0	4	0	-100%
Robbery	49	97	104	54	47	-13%
Aggravated Assault	23	18	28	20	11	-45%
Violent Crime Subtotal	72	115	132	78	58	-26%
Burglary (Structural)	5	6	4	2	1	-50%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	244	473	619	367	124	-66%
Auto Theft	2	1	1	0	0	-%
Arson	0	0	0	0	1	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	251	480	624	369	126	-66%
TOTAL	323	595	756	447	184	-59%


SAN FRANCISCO ELECTRONIC THEFT




SAN FRANCISCO FARE EVASION




SF ARRESTS & CITATIONS



RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



SF PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



SF OFFICER-INITIATED INCIDENTS



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unbounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020 Performance Measurement Review - **San Mateo County**

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	0	0	0	0	0	-%
Rape	1	0	1	0	0	-%
Robbery	15	8	13	6	4	-33%
Aggravated Assault	6	5	8	3	3	0%
Violent Crime Subtotal	22	13	22	9	7	-22%
Burglary (Structural)	0	0	0	0	1	-%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	208	161	332	170	51	-70%
Auto Theft	18	19	13	5	2	-60%
Arson	0	0	0	0	1	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	226	180	345	175	55	-69%
TOTAL	248	193	367	184	62	-66%


SAN MATEO ELECTRONIC THEFT




SAN MATEO VEHICLE CRIME




SMC ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



SMC PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



SMC FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unfounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.




Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

101 8th St, Oakland, CA, 94607 (510) 464-7000 www.bart.gov/police


July 2020 Performance Measurement Review - Santa Clara County

PART 1 UCR Crime	2017	2018	2019	YTD 2019	YTD 2020	PCT %
Homicide	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Rape	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Robbery	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Aggravated Assault	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Violent Crime Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-%
Burglary (Structural)	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Larceny & Auto Burglary	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Auto Theft	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Arson	-	-	-	0	0	-%
Property Crime Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-%
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	-%


SANTA CLARA ELECTRONIC THEFT




SCC OFFICER-INITIATED INCIDENTS




SCC ARRESTS & CITATIONS




RESPONSE TIME (P1) AVG



SCC PRIORITY 1 - 3 CALLS



SCC FARE EVASION



Preface: The data is retrieved from the BART Police Database and remains unaudited until corrections. Numbers may differ from the reported data in the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) program. Late reporting, the reclassification or unfounding of crimes, can affect crime statistics. Overtime costs are projected numbers. Information in the on the Performance Measurements are subject to change.

**BART Police Department - Office of Internal Affairs
Investigation Log**

IA#:	DATE OCC'D	DATE REC'D	ALLEGATION	MISC	INVESTIGATOR	STATUS	5 Month Date	Due Date
IA2018-001	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	Force (OIS)		Sgt. T. Salas	Tolled	6/4/2018	
IA2018-043	6/6/2018	6/6/2018	Force		Sgt. McNack	Tolled	11/5/2018	
IA2018-060	7/22/2018	7/23/2018	Service Review		Lt. Franklin	Tolled	12/23/2018	
IA2019-098	9/2/2019	9/3/2019	Policy/Procedure Force, CUBO Arrest/Detention		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	2/2/2020	9/3/2020
IA2019-111	10/1/2019	10/2/2019	Force, CUBO, Search or Seizure	Deferred to OIPA #19-41	OIPA	In Progress	3/2/2020	10/2/2020
IA2019-112	9/20/2019	10/4/2019	Force, CUBO, POD, Supervision, Policy/Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #19-42	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	3/4/2020	10/4/2020
IA2019-117	11/4/2019	11/4/2019	Bias Based Policing	OIPA Intake #19-49	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	4/4/2020	11/4/2020
IA2019-120	11/8/2019	11/4/2020	BBP, Arrest/Detention, Force, CUBO, Axon	Deferred to OIPA #19-51	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	4/8/2020	11/4/2021
IA2019-124	10/24/2019	10/24/2019		Deferred to OIPA #19-46	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	3/24/2020	10/24/2020
IA2019-125	11/13/2019	11/13/2019	CUBO, POD, Policy/ Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #19-52	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	12/11/2019	11/13/2020

IA2019-129	12/11/2019	12/13/2019	Policy/Procedure		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	5/13/2020	12/13/2020
IA2019-133	11/21/2019	12/17/2019	Force		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	6/21/2020	12/17/2020
IA2020-004	6/5/2020	6/8/2020	Bias		Lt. Franklin	In Progress	11/7/2020	6/8/2021
IA2020-008	1/18/2020	1/27/2020		OIPA Intake #20-01	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	6/29/2020	1/27/2021
IA2020-013	2/2/2020	2/12/2020	CUBO, Courtesy		Sgt. T. Salas	Awaiting training recommendation	7/13/2020	2/12/2021
IA2020-015	2/12/2020	2/12/2020	Force, Arrest/Detention	Deferred to OIPA #20-07	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/13/2020	2/12/2021
IA2020-017	2/15/2020	2/15/2020	Force		Sgt. McNack	Tolled	7/16/2020	2/15/2021
IA2020-018	2/1/2020	2/1/2020	CUBO, Policy/Procedure		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	7/2/2020	2/1/2021
IA2020-019	2/5/2020	2/5/2020	Arrest/detention, Policy/Procedure, Search/seizure	Deferred to OIPA #20-06	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/6/2020	2/5/2021
IA2020-020	3/6/2021	2/20/2020	Force	OIPA Intake #20-10	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	7/21/2020	2/20/2021
IA2020-022	2/19/2020	2/21/2020	Force, Arrest/Detention, Bias Based Policing, Search or seizure	Deferred to OIPA #20-11	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/22/2020	2/21/2021

IA2020-023	2/29/2020	2/29/2020	Axon, Bias Based Policing		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	7/30/2020	3/1/2021
IA2020-024	2/15/2020	3/7/2020	Force, Arrest/Detention	OIPA Intake #20-13	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	8/6/2020	3/7/2021
IA2020-025	2/28/2020	2/29/2020	BPD providing private taxi services	Inquiry	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	3/30/2020	3/1/2021
IA2020-026	3/5/2020	3/9/2020	Arrest/Detention, BBP, Axon Policy/Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #20-14	OIPA	OIPA Investigation	7/30/2020	3/9/2021
IA2020-027	11/10/2019	3/12/2020	POD		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	4/10/2020	3/12/2021
IA2020-028	3/12/2020	3/12/2020	Force		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	8/11/2020	3/12/2021
IA2020-030	2/16/2020	3/16/2020	CUBO	Admin Closure	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	8/15/2020	3/16/2021
IA2020-031	3/11/2020	3/14/2020	CUBO		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	8/13/2020	3/14/2021
IA2020-033	4/1/2020	4/1/2020	Force		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	8/31/2020	4/1/2021
IA2020-034	4/22/2020	4/22/2020	CUBO	Admin Closure	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	5/22/2020	4/22/2021
IA2020-035	4/26/2020	4/26/2020	BBP, CUBO, Axon	Formal/Admin Investigation	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	9/25/2020	4/26/2021
IA2020-036	Unk	4/30/2020	Arrest/Detention, POD, Axon	OIPA Intake #20-17	Sgt. McNack	In Progress	9/29/2020	4/30/2021
IA2020-037	Unk	4/30/2020	POD	OIPA Intake #20-18	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	10/3/2020	4/30/2021

IA2020-038	5/4/2019	5/13/2020	POD	Possible Clear by Video	Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	10/12/2020	5/13/2021
IA2020-039	5/14/2019	5/14/2020	POD		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	10/12/2020	5/14/2021
IA2020-040	5/12/2020	5/12/2020	Force		Sgt. McNack	In Progress	10/11/2020	5/12/2021
IA2020-042	6/2/2020	6/3/2020	Arrest/Detention		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	11/2/2020	6/3/2021
IA2020-044	6/4/2020	6/5/2020	CUBO, Courtesy, Policy/Procedure	Deferred to OIPA #20-19	OIPA	In Progress	11/4/2020	6/5/2021
IA2020-045	6/6/2020	6/6/2020	CUBO		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	7/10/2020	6/6/2021
IA2020-046	6/8/2020	6/8/2020	BBP, CUBO		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	11/7/2020	6/8/2021
IA2020-047	6/11/2020	6/12/2020	CUBO		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	11/11/2020	6/12/2021
IA2020-048	7/23/2020	7/23/2020	Force		Sgt. T. Salas	In Progress	12/22/2020	7/23/2021

BART Watch - 2020

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	YTD
Crime in Progress	53	60	32	17	9	17	16						
Disruptive Behavior	589	593	352	78	86	114	140						
Drug Use	294	222	155	38	52	64	62						
Human Trafficking	2	0	0	3	1	0	1						
Illegally Parked Vehicle	16	22	1	1	2	2	2						
Aggressive Panhandling	40	42	15	3	5	3	4						
Report a Crime Tip	22	28	10	4	13	29	14						
Robbery/Theft	18	13	10	5	6	5	1						
Sexual Assault/Lewd Behavior	20	17	35	246	137	327	2						
Suspicious Activity	82	71	58	28	17	28	19						
Unattended Bag or Package	28	25	9	2	5	6	3						
Unsecure Door	11	2	4	4	3	2	0						
Vandalism	64	55	21	18	6	21	15						
Welfare Check	144	121	91	27	29	39	50						
Total	1383	1271	793	474	371	657	329						

Total Downloads: 88,856

Total Reports Made

Anonymous: 41.07%

Non-Anonymous: 58.93%

**BART Police
Use of Force
Annual Report**

2019

This report contains data and analysis of use of force incidents,
compiled by the Patrol Operations Bureau.

Report Content

Use of Force Policy 300.9 requires the following:

At least annually, the Patrol Division Commander should prepare an analysis report on use of force incidents. The report should be submitted to the Chief of Police, the Office of the Independent Police Auditor, and the BART Police Citizen Review Board. The report should not contain the names of officers, suspects or case numbers, and should include:

- (a) The identification of any trends in the use of force by members.*
- (b) Training needs recommendations.*
- (c) Equipment needs recommendations.*
- (d) Policy revision recommendations.*

This report will also incorporate the following statistics as they relate to the above topics:

1. Types of force used
2. Cause for use of force
3. Service being rendered at time of use of force
4. Suspect custody status
5. Suspect injuries
6. Officer injuries

Year in Review

A significant revision was made to the Use of Force Policy in 2017, through the collaborative efforts of the Department, the BART Police Citizen Review Board, The Office of the Independent Police Auditor, and the BART Police Officers and Managers Associations.

The policy revision included the following:


- *Officers shall use de-escalation techniques whenever feasible and appropriate: to potentially reduce or eliminate the need to use force; and to prevent injuries to the subject, the public and the officer(s). Use of de-escalation techniques must allow for the fact that officers are often forced to make split-second decisions, with limited information, and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.*

In order to document use of de-escalation techniques, the Department created a “de-escalation” category in the BlueTeam database. In all cases when a supervisor investigates a use of force incident, the supervisor is tasked with reviewing whether de-escalation was used. If de-escalation was used, the supervisor selects the de-escalation option, similar to selecting a force option. The BlueTeam database can then search and report on de-escalation. It should be noted that BlueTeam incidents are created only when force was used and investigated. There are many instances when de-escalation was employed and there was no force used by the officer. Such instances do not

generate a BlueTeam entry, therefore not all de-escalation is captured in this data. Our officers use de-escalation tactics frequently during the normal course of duty.

Documenting de-escalation in use of force incidents has been a relatively new process for supervisors in 2018 and continuing in 2019. Supervisors are becoming more consistent in documenting de-escalation in BlueTeam, however there's still room for improvement. There are still instances when the use of force review is sent through the chain of command to the Patrol Operations Deputy Chief, and de-escalation is not documented until the end of the review process.

REPORT TOPIC: TRENDS IN THE USE OF FORCE



In 2019, there were 277 incidents that involved an officer(s) use of force.

In prior years, the above chart showed the total number of times that each force option was used. For instance, if two officers both used a control hold in one incident, the number reported above would be “2.” Beginning with this year’s report, the above chart shows an incident count only. For instance, if two officers used a control hold in one incident, the number reported above is “1.” This method of reporting gives a better comparison of force types being used from incident to incident.


BART Police Use of Force, 2019 Annual Report

The above data shows that the most frequently used option is de-escalation. The three next most-used options are body weight, take down, and control hold. This data appears to reflect our objective of using minimal force.

De-escalation options are further divided into categories of crisis intervention techniques (CIT), verbal techniques, and tactical techniques. One incident may involve multiple de-escalation techniques. De-escalation techniques in 2019 are as follows:

2019 De-escalation	
Verbal Techniques	163
Tactical Techniques (time, distance, etc.)	90
Crisis Intervention Techniques	16
Total	269

The following data compares year to year totals of the types of force used. The data shows that the comparative proportions of force options are similar from year to year.



BART Police Use of Force, 2019 Annual Report

The following data shows an increase in the number of use of force incidents from 2018 to 2019; however there were more arrests made in 2019. There were fewer use of force incidents in 2019 than 2015, 2016, or 2017.

Use of Force Incidents - 2019

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
2019	27	21	16	31	20	19	28	26	28	20	15	25	276
YTD 2019	27	48	64	95	115	134	162	188	216	236	251	276	

Use of Force Incidents - 2018

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
2018	20	10	21	14	15	15	16	18	23	15	19	26	212
YTD 2018	20	30	51	65	80	95	111	129	152	167	186	212	

Use of Force Incidents - 2017

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
2017	30	31	33	36	28	35	23	22	25	22	13	16	314
YTD 2017	30	61	94	130	158	193	216	238	263	285	298	314	

Use of Force Incidents - 2016


	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
2016	37	24	32	13	24	31	30	20	14	20	29	22	296
YTD 2016	37	61	93	106	130	161	191	211	225	245	274	296	

Use of Force Incidents - 2015


	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
2015	17	19	34	20	24	22	30	29	30	28	33	27	313
YTD 2015	17	36	70	90	114	136	166	195	225	253	286	313	

BART Police Use of Force, 2019 Annual Report

The following data shows that the number of arrests steadily increased over the last 5 years; however, use of force incidents have not increased proportionally. The reduction in use of force can be attributed to de-escalation.




The following graph shows the circumstances involved in use of force incidents. Each incident may have multiple contributing factors. The most common element in use of force incidents is resisting arrest.




BART Police Use of Force, 2019 Annual Report

Initiation of Incident




Suspect Custody Status



Note: this data shows that 33% of instances when force was used, the suspect was not arrested. It is noted that 37 incidents resulted in a psychiatric detention, which is not an arrest.

BART Police Use of Force, 2019 Annual Report



Note: this data indicates that suspects were not injured in 78% of use of force incidents, which is an indication that officers are using minimal force upon suspects. Officers were also not injured in 81% of incidents.

Demographics from Use of Force Incidents

Age Groups of Involved Persons	Number of Persons	Percentage
under 20	55	17.8%
20 - 29	121	39.2%
30 - 39	69	22.3%
40 - 49	40	12.9%
50 - 59	19	6.1%
60 and up	5	1.6%
Total	309	

Ethnicity/Gender of Involved Persons	Number of Persons	Percentage
Black Males	151	48.9%
Hispanic Males	45	14.6%
White Males	43	13.9%
Black Females	37	12.0%
Other Males	13	4.2%
White Females	9	2.9%
Asian Males	6	1.9%
Hispanic Females	2	0.6%
Other Females	2	0.6%
Pacific Islander Female	1	0.3%
Total	309	

* There were 277 Use of Force incidents in 2019, and IAPro returned data for 309 persons associated with the 277 incidents. Some incidents involved more than one subject upon whom force was used.

Regarding demographic analysis, the Department voluntarily requested a years long study conducted by the Center for Policy Equity (CPE). The study includes extensive data of law enforcement contacts over multiple years and a rigorous analysis of the data. The study is near completion and the Department will be releasing the report publicly in the fall of 2020. The report contains the following from CPE:

National Justice Database Analytic Framework

The NJD analytic framework aims to distinguish among five broad types of explanations for racial disparities in policing, all of which are likely to play some role in producing racial disparities in BART PD, as elsewhere:

*1) **Individual characteristics or behaviors:** individual attributes or behaviors that may lead to interactions with law enforcement, such as mental health challenges, homelessness, or participation in criminal activity.*

2) **Community characteristics:** neighborhood conditions, such as poverty or high crime rates, that may result in higher rates of interaction with law enforcement.

3) **Individual officer characteristics or behaviors:** Some officers may view members of certain communities with a higher level of suspicion, resulting in a disproportionate rate of stops or more punitive disposition after the stop for these individuals

4) **Departmental culture, law, or policy:** institutional polices, practices, or norms may increase law enforcement contact with some members of the population more than others. For example, officers may be deployed to patrol some communities more intensively than others. Moreover, police departments may be constrained by federal, state, or local laws that may contribute to disparate interactions with individuals and communities.

5) **Relationships between communities and police:** Mistrust of law enforcement can reduce community members' willingness to cooperate with police. Similarly, a sense that communities do not trust or respect police may cause officers to feel unsafe or defensive in some neighborhoods.

The Department participated in the CPE study for transparency and accountability, but also to consider the multiple factors that contribute to disparity in demographic data. The CPE report will include recommendations for our Department based on their analysis and observations; the content of which will be included in the 2020 Annual Use of Force Report.

REPORT TOPIC: TRAINING

I. Officers received the following advanced officer training in 2019:

First AID/CPR/AED	8 hours
Active Shooter Response (grant funded)	8 hours
Mandatory Firearms Range Training	8 hours
Defensive Tactics/Arrest Control Techniques	4 Hours
Mandatory TASER Update	4 hours
Vehicle Pursuit Policy Training	2 hours

II. Assembly Bill 382 was passed in 2019 and enacted in 2020. The bill made changes to Penal Code section 835a, which sets conditions for lawful use of force by peace officers. BART Police Policy 300, Use of Force, was updated to incorporate the new standards for use of force, and the policy revision was distributed to all officers. Additional training will be provided to all sworn personnel in August 2020.

III. Based on a review of use of force incidents from 2019, the following training will be provided to officers in 2020:

- a) Officers should readily notify subjects when they are being detained and the legal justification for the detention (unless there is a tactical or safety issue to deter an officer from doing so).
- b) Officers should avoid putting excessive bodyweight on a subject that would inhibit the person's ability to breathe. Officers should also strive to expedite the handcuffing process. (These principles were already in our existing Defensive Tactics curriculum but will be reiterated in Advanced Officer Training for 2020.)

REPORT TOPICS: EQUIPMENT AND POLICY

AXON body worn cameras have a buffering feature. When powered on, the AXON camera continuously maintains a buffer. When the camera is activated to record an incident, the buffering period is then included in the permanent recording. From the onset of BART officers wearing cameras, the camera was preset to have a 30 second buffer of video only, but no audio. The manufacturer then upgraded the cameras to have variable buffers.

A policy change was made in 2019, through the collaboration of the Department, the Office of the Independent Police Auditor, the BART Police Officers Association, and the BART Police Managers Association. The policy changed the buffer to a one-minute buffer with video and audio. This extended and expanded buffer will give more detail to actions that occurred prior to a camera being activated. This will also help eliminate late activations.



Office of the
**INDEPENDENT
POLICE AUDITOR**

BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

MONTHLY REPORT

June 2020

Issue date: July 13, 2020

This report is filed pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-05 (B), which requires the Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) to submit reports to the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB). This report provides information for the period **June 1, 2020 through June 30, 2020**.¹

(The Quantitative Report includes all complaints received and administrative investigations initiated by both OIPA and the BART Police Department (BPD) Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB)).

QUANTITATIVE REPORT

	Cases Filed ²	Open Cases ³	IAB Investigations Resolved*	OIPA Investigations Concluded ⁴	Cases Appealed to OIPA ⁵	Cases Appealed by BPCRB ⁶
June 2019	9	61		1	0	0
July 2019	11	61		0	0	0
August 2019	9	62		1	0	0
September 2019	13	53		1	0	0
October 2019	6	53	6	1	0	0
November 2019	10	59	2	1	0	0
December 2019	6	58	6	1	0	0
January 2020	8	53	13	2	0	0
February 2020	15	56	10	0	0	0
March 2020	9	54	11	1	0	0
April 2020	6	44	18	1	1	0
May 2020	4	40	6	1	0	0
June 2020	7	44	4	0	0	0

TYPES OF CASES FILED

Citizen Complaints (Formal)	5
Informal Complaints ⁷	1
Administrative Investigations	0
Inquiries ⁸	1
TOTAL	7

CITIZEN COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER DEPARTMENT⁹

OIPA	1
BART Police Department	4
TOTAL	5

¹OIPA added a new data column to the October 2019 Monthly Report which will be populated going forward.

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During June 2020, **1 Citizen Complaint** was received by OIPA:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (OIPA #20-19) (IA2020-044)	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer • Courtesy • Policy/Procedure 	OIPA notified BPD which initiated an investigation.	38

During June 2020, **4 Citizen Complaints (Formal)** were received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2020-042)	Officers #1-2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer Officers #2-3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bias-Based Policing • Force 	BPD initiated an investigation.	40
2 (IA2020-004)	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bias-Based Policing 	BPD initiated an investigation.	35
3 (IA2020-046)	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bias-Based Policing • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer 	BPD initiated an investigation.	35
4 (IA2020-045)	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer 	BPD initiated an investigation.	31

During June 2020, **1 Informal Complaint** was received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Investigation Initiated
1 (IA2020-045)	Employee #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct Unbecoming 	BPD initiated an investigation.	33

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS CONCLUDED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During June 2020, **2 Citizen Complaints (Formal)** were concluded by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2019-082)	Officer used excessive force during a contact.	Officer #1: • Force – Exonerated	374	341
2 (IA2020-009)	Officer improperly entered complainant's personal space.	Officer #1: • Performance of Duty – Administratively Closed ¹⁰	164	125

During June 2020, **1 Informal Complaint** was addressed by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2020-041)	Officer improperly withheld directional assistance.	Officer #1: • Policy/Procedure – Supervisor Referral. ¹¹	75	6

Also, during the month of June 2020, BPD classified the following complaint as an **Inquiry** and administratively closed the complaint: #IA2020-043 (complainant submitted a document that did not articulate an allegation of misconduct).

DISCIPLINE ISSUED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During June 2020, BPD took the following actions in cases where one or more allegations of misconduct were sustained:

Case #	Nature of Sustained Allegation(s) [†]	Classification of Sustained Allegation(s)	Action Taken
1	Officers did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officers #1-2: • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera)	Officers #1-2: • Letter of Discussion

[†] Some details regarding the nature of sustained allegations may be withheld to avoid unintentionally breaching mandatory confidentiality requirements. In some instances, the relative infrequency of the alleged misconduct may tend to allow for identification of the officer in violation of the applicable CA Penal Code section (832.7).

ADDITIONAL NOTES

In accordance with the BART Citizen Oversight Model (Model), OIPA investigates certain complaints, conducts complainant-initiated appeals, and also monitors and/or reviews complaint investigations conducted by BPD. Though potentially work-intensive, some complaint investigation reviews are completed informally, with any concerns being addressed through a conference with BPD's Internal Affairs investigators. Noting the various kinds of work that OIPA undertakes with regard to complaints and investigations, the following chart includes some of the pending cases in which OIPA is involved as of the end of this reporting period.

Investigations Being Conducted	10
Complainant-Initiated Appeals	2
BPD-Initiated Appeals	0
Investigations Being Monitored	51
Investigations Reviewed During Current Month	12†

† This number does not include all OIPA reviews, as OIPA commonly looks at a variety of cases in the Internal Affairs database to obtain updates on both pending and completed investigations.

The Model provides that OIPA shall have authority to require follow-up investigation into any citizen complaint or allegation that is handled by BPD. The OIPA Monthly Report will reflect information regarding monitored cases with detail not to exceed that which is allowable under state law. The investigations reviewed by OIPA during the period did not generate any notable recommendations for revisions or additional investigation.¹²

¹ In addition to reporting on complaints received by the BART Police Department, the Citizen Oversight Model requires reporting on all complaints received by the "Citizen Board, Office of the District Secretary, and other District departments." As complaints received by the BART Police Citizen Review Board are customarily directed to OIPA for further action, such complaints are included in the Quantitative Report above; OIPA is also made aware of additional complaints about the BART Police Department by the Office of the District Secretary or other District departments.

² This number includes all Citizen Complaints filed against members of the BART Police Department, as well as Administrative Investigations generated internally by BART Police Department members (as opposed to being filed by a citizen). This number also includes OIPA previously completed cases that have been re-opened during the current reporting period.

³ This number indicates all investigations that are open as of the end of the reporting period. It includes Citizen Complaints (regardless of whether the investigation is being conducted by OIPA, the BART Police Department, or both) and Administrative Investigations.

⁴ This number includes all cases completed by OIPA during the reporting period for which OIPA's findings are required by the BART Citizen Oversight Model to be submitted to the BART Police Citizen Review Board. It therefore includes independent investigations, as well as reviews of completed BART Police Department investigations initiated via appeal from a complainant. Unless otherwise noted, it does not include reviews of BART Police Department investigations initiated at the discretion of OIPA, which happen commonly and do not always generate a formal report; it also does not include reviews conducted by OIPA of complaint investigations where the complaint was filed with OIPA but did not fall under OIPA's investigative jurisdiction.

⁵ This number refers to appeals filed with OIPA by complainants who have been issued the findings of the BART Police Department's internal investigation into their complaint regarding on-duty incidents. OIPA has a responsibility to review such appeals pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (E).

⁶ This number refers to all appeals initiated by the BART Police Citizen Review Board after receiving and reviewing the findings issued by OIPA in a given case. The routes of all such appeals are described in detail in the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (B) (iv-v).

⁷ The BART Police Department defines an Informal Complaint as, "A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee." (BART Police Department Policy Manual, Policy 1020.1.1(d)).

⁸ BPD policy provides that if a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

⁹ It is important to note that OIPA does not separate citizen complaints it receives into “Formal” and “Informal” classifications. This chart reflects all citizen complaints received by OIPA and all Formal Complaints received by the BART Police Department.

¹⁰ Administrative Closure is defined as follows in the BPD Policy Manual: Allegations that are received and documented; however, the Chief of Police or his/her designee determines, based on a preliminary investigation, that further investigation is not warranted. Under these circumstances, the complaint will be Administratively Closed and documented in a summary memorandum to the case file. Employees will be documented as witnesses only, not as subjects to the complaint. Internal Affairs will send a letter to the complainant notifying them that the case was closed following a preliminary investigation.

¹¹ A Supervisor Referral refers to an instance involving an Inquiry or an Informal Complaint. An assigned supervisor addresses the issue informally with the involved employee and documents the content of the conversation with a memorandum to IA.

¹² OIPA may submit recommendations to IA regarding minor clerical or record-keeping adjustments which are intended to maintain the integrity of the data collection and record-keeping processes at BPD. These are not considered by OIPA to be substantive recommendations requiring reporting herein.



Office of the
**INDEPENDENT
POLICE AUDITOR**

BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

MONTHLY REPORT

July 2020

Issue date: August 10, 2020

This report is filed pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-05 (B), which requires the Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) to submit reports to the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB). This report provides information for the period **July 1, 2020 through July 31, 2020**.¹

(The Quantitative Report includes all complaints received and administrative investigations initiated by both OIPA and the BART Police Department (BPD) Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB)).

QUANTITATIVE REPORT

	Cases Filed ²	Open Cases ³	IAB Investigations Resolved*	OIPA Investigations Concluded ⁴	Cases Appealed to OIPA ⁵	Cases Appealed by BPCRB ⁶
July 2019	11	61		0	0	0
August 2019	9	62		1	0	0
September 2019	13	53		1	0	0
October 2019	6	53	6	1	0	0
November 2019	10	59	2	1	0	0
December 2019	6	58	6	1	0	0
January 2020	8	53	13	2	0	0
February 2020	15	56	10	0	0	0
March 2020	9	54	11	1	0	0
April 2020	6	44	18	1	1	0
May 2020	4	40	6	1	0	0
June 2020	7	44	4	0	0	0
July 2020	1	41	3	1	0	0

TYPES OF CASES FILED

Citizen Complaints (Formal)	1
Informal Complaints ⁷	0
Administrative Investigations	0
Inquiries ⁸	0
TOTAL	1

CITIZEN COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER DEPARTMENT⁹

OIPA	0
BART Police Department	1
TOTAL	1

¹*OIPA added a new data column to the October 2019 Monthly Report which will be populated going forward.

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, **1 Citizen Complaint (Formal)** was received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2020-048)	Officer #1: • Force	BPD initiated an investigation.	18

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS CONCLUDED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, **1 Citizen Complaint** was concluded by OIPA:

Complaint # (OIPA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (OIPA #19-41) (IA2019-111)	Officer used excessive force and an improper search during an improper detention and arrest. Two officers also improperly elevated the charge to misdemeanor. One officer did not properly review the use of force and another officer was rude to complainant.	Officer #1: • Force – Sustained • Policy/Procedure (Charging) – Sustained • Arrest/Detention (Counts 1-2) – Exonerated • Search or Seizure – Exonerated Officer #2: • Policy/Procedure (Charging) – Exonerated Officer #3: • Performance of Duty – Sustained Officer #4 • Courtesy – Not Sustained	312	279

During July 2020, **1 Citizen Complaint (Formal)** was concluded by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2020-013)	Officer was rude to complainant and refused to provide identification.	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Sustained • Courtesy – Not Sustained	180	146

During July 2020, **1 Administrative Investigation** was concluded by BPD:

Investigation # (IA Case #)	Nature of Allegations	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since	Days Taken to Address Allegation
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------------------

			Investigation Initiated	
1 (IA2020-006)	Officer acted unprofessionally and used force. Two officers failed to properly record and report the contact, and one of those officers failed to intercede and miscategorized video evidence.	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Force – Sustained • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Sustained • Policy/Procedure (Reporting) – Sustained Officer #2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy/Procedure (Intercede and Report) – Sustained • Performance of Duty (Video Classification) – Not Sustained Officers #1-2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera) – Sustained 	196	176

During June 2020, **1 Informal Complaint** was addressed by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2020-016)	Employee did not properly respond to a call for service.	Employee #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct Unbecoming – Supervisor Referral 	179	151

DISCIPLINE ISSUED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, BPD took the following actions in cases where one or more allegations of misconduct were sustained:

Case #	Nature of Sustained Allegation(s) †	Classification of Sustained Allegation(s)	Action Taken
1	Officer did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officer #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera) 	Officers #1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letter of Discussion

† Some details regarding the nature of sustained allegations may be withheld to avoid unintentionally breaching mandatory confidentiality requirements. In some instances, the relative infrequency of the alleged misconduct may tend to allow for identification of the officer in violation of the applicable CA Penal Code section (832.7).

ADDITIONAL NOTES

In accordance with the BART Citizen Oversight Model (Model), OIPA investigates certain complaints, conducts complainant-initiated appeals, and also monitors and/or reviews complaint investigations conducted by BPD. Though potentially work-intensive, some complaint investigation reviews are completed informally, with any concerns being addressed through a conference with BPD's Internal Affairs investigators. Noting the various kinds of work that OIPA undertakes with regard to complaints and investigations, the following chart includes some of the pending cases in which OIPA is involved as of the end of this reporting period.

Investigations Being Conducted	10
Complainant-Initiated Appeals	2
BPD-Initiated Appeals	0
Investigations Being Monitored	51
Investigations Reviewed During Current Month	12†

† This number does not include all OIPA reviews, as OIPA commonly looks at a variety of cases in the Internal Affairs database to obtain updates on both pending and completed investigations.

The Model provides that OIPA shall have authority to require follow-up investigation into any citizen complaint or allegation that is handled by BPD. The OIPA Monthly Report will reflect information regarding monitored cases with detail not to exceed that which is allowable under state law. The investigations reviewed by OIPA during the period did not generate any notable recommendations for revisions or additional investigation.¹⁰

¹ In addition to reporting on complaints received by the BART Police Department, the Citizen Oversight Model requires reporting on all complaints received by the "Citizen Board, Office of the District Secretary, and other District departments." As complaints received by the BART Police Citizen Review Board are customarily directed to OIPA for further action, such complaints are included in the Quantitative Report above; OIPA is also made aware of additional complaints about the BART Police Department by the Office of the District Secretary or other District departments.

² This number includes all Citizen Complaints filed against members of the BART Police Department, as well as Administrative Investigations generated internally by BART Police Department members (as opposed to being filed by a citizen). This number also includes OIPA previously completed cases that have been re-opened during the current reporting period.

³ This number indicates all investigations that are open as of the end of the reporting period. It includes Citizen Complaints (regardless of whether the investigation is being conducted by OIPA, the BART Police Department, or both) and Administrative Investigations.

⁴ This number includes all cases completed by OIPA during the reporting period for which OIPA's findings are required by the BART Citizen Oversight Model to be submitted to the BART Police Citizen Review Board. It therefore includes independent investigations, as well as reviews of completed BART Police Department investigations initiated via appeal from a complainant. Unless otherwise noted, it does not include reviews of BART Police Department investigations initiated at the discretion of OIPA, which happen commonly and do not always generate a formal report; it also does not include reviews conducted by OIPA of complaint investigations where the complaint was filed with OIPA but did not fall under OIPA's investigative jurisdiction.

⁵ This number refers to appeals filed with OIPA by complainants who have been issued the findings of the BART Police Department's internal investigation into their complaint regarding on-duty incidents. OIPA has a responsibility to review such appeals pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (E).

⁶ This number refers to all appeals initiated by the BART Police Citizen Review Board after receiving and reviewing the findings issued by OIPA in a given case. The routes of all such appeals are described in detail in the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (B) (iv-v).

⁷ The BART Police Department defines an Informal Complaint as, "A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee." (BART Police Department Policy Manual, Policy 1020.1.1(d)).

⁸ BPD policy provides that if a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

⁹ It is important to note that OIPA does not separate citizen complaints it receives into “Formal” and “Informal” classifications. This chart reflects all citizen complaints received by OIPA and all Formal Complaints received by the BART Police Department.

¹⁰ OIPA may submit recommendations to IAB regarding minor clerical or record-keeping adjustments which are intended to maintain the integrity of the data collection and record-keeping processes at BPD. These are not considered by OIPA to be substantive recommendations requiring reporting herein.



Office of the
**INDEPENDENT
POLICE AUDITOR**

BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

MONTHLY REPORT

August 2020

Issue date: September 14, 2020

This report is filed pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-05 (B), which requires the Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) to submit reports to the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB). This report provides information for the period **August 1, 2020 through August 31, 2020.**¹

(The Quantitative Report includes all complaints received and administrative investigations initiated by both OIPA and the BART Police Department (BPD) Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB)).

QUANTITATIVE REPORT

	Cases Filed ²	Open Cases ³	Investigations Resolved*	OIPA Investigations Concluded ⁴	Cases Appealed to OIPA ⁵	Cases Appealed by BPCRB ⁶
August 2019	9	62		1	0	0
September 2019	13	53		1	0	0
October 2019	6	53	6	1	0	0
November 2019	10	59	2	1	0	0
December 2019	6	58	6	1	0	0
January 2020	8	53	13	2	0	0
February 2020	15	56	10	0	0	0
March 2020	9	54	11	1	0	0
April 2020	6	44	18	1	1	0
May 2020	4	40	6	1	0	0
June 2020	7	44	4	0	0	0
July 2020	1	41	3	1	0	0
August 2020	9	43	5	1	0	0

TYPES OF CASES FILED

Citizen Complaints (Formal)	5
Informal Complaints ⁷	4
Administrative Investigations	0
Inquiries ⁸	0
TOTAL	9

CITIZEN COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER DEPARTMENT⁹

OIPA	1
BART Police Department	4
TOTAL	5

¹OIPA added a new data column to the October 2019 Monthly Report which will be populated going forward.

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During August 2020, **1 Citizen Complaint** was received by OIPA:

Complaint # (OIPA Case #) (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (OIPA #20-20) (IA2020-057)	Officers #1-2: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	OIPA initiated an investigation.	18

During August 2020, **4 Citizen Complaint (Formal)** were received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2020-050)	Officers #1-2: • Arrest or Detention	BPD initiated an investigation.	28
2 (IA2020-051)	Officer #1: • Bias-Based Policing	BPD initiated an investigation.	24
3 (IA2020-053)	Officers #1-2: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	BPD initiated an investigation.	21
4 (IA2020-056)	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	BPD initiated an investigation.	19

During August 2020, **3 Informal Complaints** were received by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Investigation Initiated
1 (IA2020-049)	Officers #1-2: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	BPD initiated a Supervisor Referral. ¹⁰	32
2 (IA2020-054)	Officer #1: • Performance of Duty	BPD initiated a Supervisor Referral.	21
3 (IA2020-055)	Officers #1-2: • Policy/Procedure	BPD initiated a Supervisor Referral.	21

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING A PRIOR REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2020, **1 Informal Complaint** was received by BPD but not previously reported:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2020-052)	Officer #1: • Courtesy	BPD initiated an investigation.	48

COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS CONCLUDED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During August 2020, **2 Citizen Complaints (Formal)** were concluded by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2019-098)	Officer improperly detained complainant, used excessive force during the contact, did not adjust the tightness of handcuffs as requested, and did not properly report the contact. Another officer used excessive force when applying handcuffs and a supervisor did not properly address the use of force.	Officer #1: • Force (Count 1) – Unfounded • Force (Count 2) – Exonerated • Arrest or Detention – Sustained • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera) – Sustained • Performance of Duty (Handcuffs) – Sustained Officer #2: • Performance of Duty – Sustained Officer #3: • Force – Unfounded	377	349
2 (IA2020-004)	Officers harassed complainant because of his race.	Officers #1-5: • Bias Based Policing – Administratively Closed ¹¹	98	57

During August 2020, **3 Informal Complaints** were addressed by BPD:

Complaint # (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2020-045)	Employee was hostile toward complainant during phone call.	Employee #1: • Conduct Unbecoming – Supervisor Referral	96	79
2 (IA2020-054)	Officer did not properly collect information related to a reported crime.	Officer #1: Performance of Duty – Supervisor Referral	96	79
3 (IA2020-055)	Officer exceeded freeway speed limits in a department vehicle.	Officer #1: Policy/Procedure – Supervisor Referral	19	5

DISCIPLINE ISSUED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During August 2020, BPD took the following actions in cases where one or more allegations of misconduct were sustained:

Case #	Nature of Sustained Allegation(s) †	Classification of Sustained Allegation(s)	Action Taken
1	Officer used excessive force during an arrest and improperly elevated the criminal charges against the complainant.	Officer #1: • Force • Policy/Procedure	Officer #1: • Letter of Discussion
2	Officers did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officers #1-2: • Policy/Procedure	Officer #1: Letter of Discussion
3	Officers did not properly document a law enforcement contact.	Officers #1-2: Policy/Procedure	Officer #1: Letter of Discussion

†Some details regarding the nature of sustained allegations may be withheld to avoid unintentionally breaching mandatory confidentiality requirements. In some instances, the relative infrequency of the alleged misconduct may tend to allow for identification of the officer in violation of the applicable CA Penal Code section (832.7).

ADDITIONAL NOTES

In accordance with the BART Citizen Oversight Model (Model), OIPA investigates certain complaints, conducts complainant-initiated appeals, and also monitors and/or reviews complaint investigations conducted by BPD. Though potentially work-intensive, some complaint investigation reviews are completed informally, with any concerns being addressed through a conference with BPD's Internal Affairs investigators. Noting the various kinds of work that OIPA undertakes with regard to complaints and investigations, the following chart includes some of the pending cases in which OIPA is involved as of the end of this reporting period.

Investigations Being Conducted	12
Complainant-Initiated Appeals	1
BPD-Initiated Appeals	0
Investigations Being Monitored	52
Investigations Reviewed During Current Month	10†

† This number does not include all OIPA reviews, as OIPA commonly looks at a variety of cases in the Internal Affairs database to obtain updates on both pending and completed investigations.

The Model provides that OIPA shall have authority to require follow-up investigation into any citizen complaint or allegation that is handled by BPD. The OIPA Monthly Report will reflect information regarding monitored cases with detail not to exceed that which is allowable under state law. The investigations reviewed by OIPA during the period did not generate any notable recommendations for revisions or additional investigation.¹²

¹ In addition to reporting on complaints received by the BART Police Department, the Citizen Oversight Model requires reporting on all complaints received by the "Citizen Board, Office of the District Secretary, and other District departments." As complaints received by the BART Police Citizen Review Board are customarily directed to OIPA for further action, such complaints are included in the Quantitative Report above; OIPA is also made aware of additional complaints about the BART Police Department by the Office of the District Secretary or other District departments.

² This number includes all Citizen Complaints filed against members of the BART Police Department, as well as Administrative Investigations generated internally by BART Police Department members (as opposed to being filed by a citizen). This number also includes OIPA previously completed cases that have been re-opened during the current reporting period.

³ This number indicates all investigations that are open as of the end of the reporting period. It includes Citizen Complaints (regardless of whether the investigation is being conducted by OIPA, the BART Police Department, or both) and Administrative Investigations.

⁴ This number includes all cases completed by OIPA during the reporting period for which OIPA's findings are required by the BART Citizen Oversight Model to be submitted to the BART Police Citizen Review Board. It therefore includes independent investigations, as well as reviews of completed BART Police Department investigations initiated via appeal from a complainant. Unless otherwise noted, it does not include reviews of BART Police Department investigations initiated at the discretion of OIPA, which happen commonly and do not always generate a formal report; it also does not include reviews conducted by OIPA of complaint investigations where the complaint was filed with OIPA but did not fall under OIPA's investigative jurisdiction.

⁵ This number refers to appeals filed with OIPA by complainants who have been issued the findings of the BART Police Department's internal investigation into their complaint regarding on-duty incidents. OIPA has a responsibility to review such appeals pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (E).

⁶ This number refers to all appeals initiated by the BART Police Citizen Review Board after receiving and reviewing the findings issued by OIPA in a given case. The routes of all such appeals are described in detail in the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (B) (iv-v).

⁷ The BART Police Department defines an Informal Complaint as, "A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee." (BART Police Department Policy Manual, Policy 1020.1.1(d)).

⁸ BPD policy provides that if a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

⁹ It is important to note that OIPA does not separate citizen complaints it receives into "Formal" and "Informal" classifications. This chart reflects all citizen complaints received by OIPA and all Formal Complaints received by the BART Police Department.

¹⁰ A Supervisor Referral refers to an instance involving an Inquiry or an Informal Complaint. An assigned supervisor addresses the issue informally with the involved employee and documents the content of the conversation with a memorandum to IAB.

¹¹ Administrative Closure is defined as follows in the BPD Policy Manual: Allegations that are received and documented; however, the Chief of Police or his/her designee determines, based on a preliminary investigation, that further investigation is not warranted. Under these circumstances, the complaint will be Administratively Closed and documented in a summary memorandum to the case file. Employees will be documented as witnesses only, not as subjects to the complaint. Internal Affairs will send a letter to the complainant notifying them that the case was closed following a preliminary investigation.

¹² OIPA may submit recommendations to IAB regarding minor clerical or record-keeping adjustments which are intended to maintain the integrity of the data collection and record-keeping processes at BPD. These are not considered by OIPA to be substantive recommendations requiring reporting herein.

Summary
3/2/2020 05:56:46

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
New Policy	OLD Policy
15 pages (308 KB)	15 pages (307 KB)
3/2/2020 05:56:44	3/2/2020 05:56:44

Used to display results.

[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Use of Force

300.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The BART Police Department's highest priority is safeguarding the life, dignity, and liberty of all persons. Officers shall demonstrate this principle in their daily interactions with the community they are sworn to protect and serve. The Department is committed to accomplishing this mission with respect and minimal reliance on the use of force by using rapport-building communication, crisis intervention, and de-escalation tactics before resorting to force, whenever feasible. This Department policy builds upon the Supreme Court's broad principles in *Graham v. Connor* (1989) 490 U.S. 386 and is more restrictive than the constitutional standard and state law. The Law Enforcement Code of Ethics requires all sworn law enforcement officers to carry out their duties with courtesy, respect, professionalism, and to never employ unnecessary force. These are key factors in maintaining legitimacy with the community and safeguarding the public's trust.

This policy provides guidelines on the reasonable use of force. While there is no way to specify the exact amount or type of reasonable force to be applied in any situation, every member of this department is expected to use these guidelines to make such decisions in a professional, impartial, non-biased, and reasonable manner.

Officers shall use only that amount of force that reasonably appears necessary given the facts and circumstances perceived by the officer at the time of the event to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose. Officers must strive to use the minimal amount of force necessary.

300.1.1 DEFINITIONS

Definitions related to this policy include:

Deadly force - Any use of force that creates a substantial risk of causing death or serious bodily injury, including but not limited to discharge of a firearm(Penal Code § 835a).

Feasible - Capable of being done or carried out to successfully achieve a legitimate law enforcement objective without increasing the risk to the officer or bystander(s).

Force - The application of physical techniques or tactics, chemical agents or weapons to another person.

Legitimate law enforcement objective - Effect a lawful arrest, detention, or search; overcome resistance or prevent escape; prevent the commission of a public offense; in defense of others or in self-defense; gain compliance with a lawful order; to prevent a person from injuring himself/herself.

Minimal amount of force necessary - The lowest level of force within the range of objectively reasonable force that is necessary to effect an arrest or achieve a lawful objective without increasing the risk to others.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

Non-deadly Force - Any application of force that is not reasonably anticipated and intended to create a substantial likelihood of death or very serious bodily injury shall be considered non-deadly force.

Personal Body Weapons - An officer's use of his/her body part, including but not limited to hand, foot, knee, elbow, shoulder, hip, arm, leg or head by means of high velocity kinetic energy transfer (impact) to gain control of a subject.

Proportionality - Considers whether a particular use of force is proportionate and appropriate to the totality of the circumstances, and requires officers to consider whether alternative lesser or non-force options are feasible and likely to be effective. Proportional force does not imply equal force; officers may use superior force, consistent with this policy.

Reasonable Belief - An objective belief determined by the facts and circumstances reasonably available to the officer at the time (on-scene and without hindsight) and viewed from the perspective of a reasonable peace officer in the same situation, guided by the principles set forth in this policy.

Reasonable Force - An objective standard of force viewed from the perspective of a reasonable officer, without the benefit of 20/20 hindsight, and based on the totality of the circumstances known to or perceived by the officer at the time.

Serious Bodily Injury - A bodily injury that creates a substantial risk of death; causes serious, permanent disfigurement; or results in long-term loss or impairment of the functioning of any bodily member or organ.

It is not a use of force when a person allows him/herself to be searched, escorted, handcuffed, or restrained.

300.2 POLICY

The use of force by law enforcement personnel is a matter of critical concern, both to the public and to the law enforcement community. Officers are involved on a daily basis in numerous and varied interactions and, when warranted, may use reasonable force in carrying out their duties.

Officers must have an understanding of, and true appreciation for, their authority and limitations. This is especially true with respect to overcoming resistance while engaged in the performance of law enforcement duties.

The Department recognizes and respects the value of all human life and dignity without prejudice to anyone. Vesting officers with the authority to use reasonable force and to protect the public welfare requires monitoring, evaluation and a careful balancing of all interests.

Officers shall use only that amount of force that reasonably appears necessary given the facts and circumstances perceived by the officer at the time of the event to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose. Officers must strive to use the minimal amount of force necessary.

The reasonableness of force will be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene at the time of the incident. Any evaluation of reasonableness must allow for the fact that

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

officers are often forced to make split-second decisions about the amount of force that reasonably appears necessary in a particular situation, with limited information and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.

Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation an officer might encounter, officers are entrusted to use well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident.

It is also recognized that circumstances may arise in which officers reasonably believe that it would be impractical or ineffective to use any of the tools, weapons or methods provided by the Department. Officers may find it more effective or reasonable to improvise their response to rapidly unfolding conditions that they are confronting. In such circumstances, the use of any improvised device or method must nonetheless be reasonable and utilized only to the degree that reasonably appears necessary to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this policy requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force. Retreating for a tactical advantage should be considered and utilized, when feasible and appropriate.

Officers shall not use force with bias, based upon: race; ethnicity or nationality; religion; sex, sexual orientation; economic status; age; cultural group; disability; or affiliation with any other similar identifiable group.

Use of force against vulnerable populations (such as, without limitation, children, elderly, pregnant women, people with physical and mental disabilities, and people with limited English proficiency) can undermine public trust and should only be used if no other options appear reasonable or effective. It is recognized that the above may not be readily apparent or known to the officer. Any evaluation of reasonableness must allow for the fact that officers are often forced to make split-second decisions about the amount of force that reasonably appears necessary in a particular situation, with limited information and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.

The Department recognizes that transparency and accountability in the use of force is essential to preserving the trust of the community and to maintaining professional standards. This policy therefore requires rigorous reporting and review of all instances of the use of force.

300.2.1 DUTY TO INTERCEDE

A use of excessive force by law enforcement personnel is a matter of serious concern to the community, and even a single instance of excessive force may critically undermine public trust in the Department. Accordingly, any officer present and observing another officer using force that is clearly beyond that which is objectively reasonable under the circumstances shall, when feasible, intercede to prevent the use of unreasonable force. An officer who observes another employee use force that exceeds the degree of force permitted by law shall promptly report these observations to a supervisor.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

300.2.2 DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES

Officers shall use de-escalation techniques whenever feasible and appropriate: to potentially reduce or eliminate the need to use force; and to prevent injuries to the subject, the public and the officer(s). Use of de-escalation techniques must allow for the fact that officers are often forced to make split-second decisions, with limited information, and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain and rapidly evolving.

- (a) Officers shall, when feasible, continually assess the dynamics of a situation, and modulate their response and actions appropriately. Officers may be justified in using force at one moment, but not justified in using force the next moment due to a change in dynamics.
- (b) De-escalation techniques may include verbal persuasion, warnings and tactical de-escalation techniques, such as: slowing down the pace of an incident; “waiting out” subjects; creating distance (and thus the reactionary gap) between the officer and the threat; and requesting additional resources (e.g., specialized units, mental health care providers, negotiators, etc.) to resolve the incident.
 - 1. Officers should recognize that they may withdraw to a position that is tactically advantageous or allows them greater distance to de-escalate a situation.
 - 2. Officers should consider a variety of options, including lesser force or no force options.
 - 3. Officers should perform their work in a manner that avoids unduly jeopardizing their own safety or the safety of others.
 - 4. Officers shall not intentionally and unnecessarily escalate and/or create a need to use force.
 - 5. Officers should attempt to understand and consider possible reasons why a subject may be noncompliant or resisting arrest. A subject may not be capable of understanding the situation because of a medical condition; mental, physical, or hearing impairment; language barrier; drug interaction; or emotional crisis, and have no criminal intent. These situations may not make the subject any less dangerous, but understanding a subject’s situation may enable officers to calm the subject and allow officers to use de-escalation techniques while maintaining public and officer safety.
 - 6. Officers should continue de-escalation techniques, when feasible and appropriate, and take as much time as reasonably necessary to resolve the incident, in effort to avoid and/or minimize the use force.
- (c) When an officer recognizes that mental illness, post-traumatic stress disorder, alcohol and/or drug addictions, or other health issues are causing an individual to behave erratically, the officer shall, when feasible and appropriate, try to de-escalate the situation using de-escalation and/or Crisis Intervention techniques.

Establishing Communication - Communication with non-compliant subjects is often most effective when officers establish rapport, use the proper voice intonation, ask questions and provide advice to defuse conflict and achieve voluntary compliance before resorting to force options.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

Supervisors conducting a use of force investigation will indicate de-escalation as a force option in BlueTeam whenever de-escalation was attempted or used in an incident.

300.3 USE OF FORCE

Officers shall use only that amount of force that reasonably appears necessary given the facts and totality of the circumstances known to or perceived by the officer at the time of the event to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose (Penal Code § 835a).

The reasonableness of force will be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene at the time of the incident. Any evaluation of reasonableness must allow for the fact that officers are often forced to make split-second decisions about the amount of force that reasonably appears necessary in a particular situation, with limited information and in circumstances that are tense, uncertain, and rapidly evolving.

There are circumstances in which a force option may be legally justified under the principles set forth in *Graham v. Connor*, but the use of that force option may not be appropriate, warranted, and/or necessary.

This policy builds upon the broad principles in *Graham v. Connor* by adding additional, more restrictive factors upon which an officer's use of force shall be evaluated. These factors should be considered when determining whether to apply force (as time and circumstances permit), and in evaluating whether an officer has used reasonable force.

Additional factors set forth by case law and by this Policy:

- (a) Immediacy and severity of the threat to officers or others.
- (b) The feasibility, efficacy, and safety of alternative lesser or non-force options, including the availability of de-escalation techniques that might reduce or eliminate the need to use force, or prevent injuries to the subject, the public and the officer(s).
- (c) Whether the force option is proportionate and appropriate to the totality of the circumstances, and whether alternative lesser or non-force options are feasible and likely to be effective. Proportional force does not imply equal force; officers may use superior force, consistent with this policy.
- ⚠ (d) The conduct of the individual being confronted, as reasonably perceived by the officer at the time.
- (e) The conduct of the officer prior to the use of force. Specifically, did the officer violate policy and unnecessarily escalate the situation to a use of force.
- (f) Officer/subject factors (age, size, relative strength, skill level, injuries sustained, level of exhaustion or fatigue, the number of officers available vs. subjects).
- (g) The effects of drugs or alcohol.
- (h) Subject's mental state or capacity, including any apparent/known mental health issues.
- (i) Proximity of weapons or dangerous improvised devices.

Use of Force

- (j) The degree to which the subject has been effectively restrained and his/her ability to resist despite being restrained.
- (k) The availability of other options and their possible effectiveness.
- (l) Seriousness of the suspected offense or reason for contact with the individual.
- (m) Training and experience of the officer.
- (n) Potential for injury to officers, suspects and others.
- (o) Whether the person appears to be resisting, attempting to evade arrest by flight or is attacking the officer.
- (p) The risk and reasonably foreseeable consequences of escape.
- (q) The apparent need for immediate control of the subject or a prompt resolution of the situation.
- (r) Whether the conduct of the individual being confronted no longer reasonably appears to pose an imminent threat to the officer or others.
- (s) Prior contacts with the subject or awareness of any propensity for violence.
- (t) Any other exigent circumstances.
- (u) Officers must strive to use the minimal amount of force necessary.

Given that no policy can realistically predict every possible situation an officer might encounter, officers are entrusted to use well-reasoned discretion in determining the appropriate use of force in each incident.

It is also recognized that circumstances may arise in which officers reasonably believe that it would be impractical or ineffective to use any of the tools, weapons, or methods provided by the Department. Officers may find it more effective or reasonable to improvise their response to rapidly unfolding conditions that they are confronting. In such circumstances, the use of any improvised device or method must nonetheless be objectively reasonable and utilized only to the degree that reasonably appears necessary to accomplish a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this policy requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

300.3.1 PAIN COMPLIANCE TECHNIQUES

Pain compliance techniques may be effective in controlling a physically or actively resisting individual. Officers may only apply those pain compliance techniques for which they have successfully completed department-approved training. Officers utilizing any pain compliance technique should consider:

- (a) The degree to which the application of the technique may be controlled given the level of resistance.
- (b) Whether the person can comply with the direction or orders of the officer

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

- (c) Whether the person has been given sufficient opportunity to comply.

The application of any pain compliance technique shall be discontinued once the officer determines that compliance has been achieved.

300.3.2 PERSONAL BODY WEAPONS

Personal body weapon strikes, punches, lifts or kicks for which the officer has received department-approved training, may be used when the officer reasonably believes that the use of such force appears necessary to further a legitimate law enforcement purpose.

Personal body weapon strikes, punches, or kicks to the rear of the head, neck or spine are prohibited. The only exception to this prohibition would be under exigent circumstances when deadly force is justified and reasonable.

300.3.3 CAROTID CONTROL HOLD

The use of the carotid restraint is prohibited. The only exception to this prohibition would be under exigent circumstances when deadly force is justified and reasonable.

300.3.4 USE OF FORCE TO SEIZE EVIDENCE

In general, officers may use reasonable force to lawfully seize evidence and to prevent the destruction of evidence. However, officers are discouraged from using force solely to prevent a person from swallowing evidence or contraband. In the instance when force is used, officers should not intentionally use any technique that restricts blood flow to the head, restricts respiration or which creates a reasonable likelihood that blood flow to the head or respiration would be restricted. Officers are encouraged to use techniques and methods taught by the Department for this specific purpose.

300.3.5 DRAWING/DEPLOYING A FIREARM

Whenever an officer draws/deploys a firearm during the performance of his/her duties to defend, detain or take any person into custody (the suspect is contacted or arrested, the officer is present and is within potential sight of the suspect), it is considered a use of force and an account of the incident must be made in a police report. The officer should include in the narrative of the report how the weapon was used in the incident, as well as the justification for such action. The documentation of how the weapon was used should include information on how the weapon was presented. The officer must notify a supervisor as soon as practical, and the supervisor will complete a Use of Force Investigation with accompanying documentation as outlined in this policy.

Whenever an officer draws/deploys a firearm during the performance of his/her duties in the presence of others, but does not use the firearm to defend, detain or take any person into custody (the suspect is not contacted or arrested), it is not considered a use of force and an account of the incident must be made in a police report.

Whenever an officer draws/deploys a firearm during the performance of his/her duties not in the presence of others, it is not considered a use of force and no documentation is required. [An](#)

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

example of that type of incident would include, but is not limited to, the search of an empty building or car where no person is contacted during the search.

300.4 DEADLY FORCE APPLICATIONS

If an objectively reasonable officer would consider it safe and feasible to do so under the totality of the circumstances, officers should evaluate the use of other reasonably available resources and techniques when determining whether to use deadly force. The use of deadly force is only justified in the following circumstances (Penal Code § 835a):

- (a) An officer may use deadly force to protect him/herself or others from what he/she reasonably believes is an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or another person.
- (b) An officer may use deadly force to apprehend a fleeing person for any felony that threatened or resulted in death or serious bodily injury, if the officer reasonably believes that the person will cause death or serious bodily injury to another unless immediately apprehended. Where feasible, the officer shall, prior to the use of force, make reasonable efforts to identify themselves as a peace officer and to warn that deadly force may be used, unless the officer has objectively reasonable grounds to believe the person is aware of those facts.

Officers shall not use deadly force against a person based on the danger that person poses to him/herself, if an objectively reasonable officer would believe the person does not pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or to another person (Penal Code § 835a).

An "imminent" threat of death or serious bodily injury exists when, based on the totality of the circumstances, a reasonable officer in the same situation would believe that a person has the present ability, opportunity, and apparent intent to immediately cause death or serious bodily injury to the officer or another person. An officer's subjective fear of future harm alone is insufficient as an imminent threat. An imminent threat is one that from appearances is reasonably believed to require instant attention (Penal Code § 835a).

300.4.1 SHOOTING AT OR FROM MOVING VEHICLES

Shots fired at or from a moving vehicle are rarely effective. It is also noted that in many circumstances, disabling the driver of a vehicle may increase the potential for harm to bystanders and/or the officer.

- Officers should move out of the path of an approaching vehicle instead of discharging their firearm at the vehicle or any of its occupants.
- Officers shall not intentionally and unnecessarily move into the path of an approaching vehicle to create their own exigent circumstance.
- Officers should not shoot at any part of a moving vehicle in an attempt to disable the vehicle.
- Officers shall not discharge a firearm at a moving vehicle or its occupants when there are other reasonable means available to avert the threat.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

- Officers shall not discharge a firearm from a moving vehicle when there are other reasonable means available to avert the present threat.
- Officers may only shoot at a moving vehicle under exigent circumstances, when the driver and/or occupants are targeting others with the intent to cause great bodily injury or death and there are no other reasonable means available to avert the threat. ▲

300.5 REPORTING THE USE OF FORCE

Any use of force by a member of this department shall be documented promptly, completely and accurately in an appropriate report, depending on the nature of the incident. The officer should articulate the factors perceived and why he/she believed the use of force was reasonable under the circumstances.

Supplemental reports will be completed by personnel who are present when force is used by another officer. Officers have a duty to report all pertinent facts known to them.

All police reports, inclusive of any supplemental reports, involving the documentation of a use of force must be reviewed and approved by a supervisor prior to the employee going off duty.

300.5.1 NOTIFICATION TO SUPERVISORS

Supervisory notification shall be made as soon as practicable following any Level 2, 3, or 4 application of force. Levels of force and the respective reporting, investigation, documentation, and review requirements are defined in section 300.5.2.

All use of force must be documented in a police report and reviewed by a supervisor.

300.5.2 USE OF FORCE INVESTIGATION, DOCUMENTATION, AND REVIEW

Upon receiving notification of a use of force, a supervisor who was not involved in the use of force incident, will determine the level of investigation and documentation.

The following categories and parameters will explain levels of force and the respective reporting, investigation, documentation, and review requirements. Incidents will be categorized as Level 1, Level 2, Level 3, or Level 4.

Level 1: Documentation in a Police Report Only

Level 1 Incident Parameters:

- (a) Subject allowed him/herself to be searched, escorted, and/or handcuffed. The officer did not use force to overcome resistance, nor did the officer use force in the absence of resistance.
- (b) Officer used any of the following, and the circumstances of the application would lead a reasonable officer to conclude that the subject did not experience more than momentary discomfort:
 1. Control holds/pressure point application
 2. Leverage
 3. Grab

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

4. Bodyweight
 5. The officer lowered the subject to a seated position or to the ground while partially or completely supporting the person's bodyweight.
- (c) Officer used any of following:
1. Professional presence and/or verbalization
 2. TASER/LLIMs Deployed (no activation)
 3. Drawn/deployed firearm, but no suspect contacted or arrested
- (d) Subject has no visible injury due to interaction with officer.
- (e) Subject has no complaint of injury or continuing pain.
- (f) Subject does not indicate intent to pursue litigation.
- (g) Subject was not rendered unconscious due to interaction with officer.
- (h) No allegation of misconduct against officer, regarding force.
- (i) Officer body camera was activated in a timely manner, prior to the enforcement contact, per policy.

Level 1 Incidents should be documented by an officer in an appropriate police report, citation, Field Interview, and/or CADS entry. Supervisors will review police report narratives for approval.

Level 2: Use of Force

Level 2 Incident Parameters:

- (a) No suspect injury or complaint of continuing pain due to interaction with officer.
- (b) No allegation of misconduct against officer, regarding force.
- (c) Officer body camera was activated in a timely manner, prior to the enforcement contact, per policy.
- (d) Officer's use of force was limited to the following:
 1. Any takedown, that did not appear to cause more than momentary discomfort.
 2. Firearm drawn/deployed but not fired, suspect contacted
 3. Control hold, pressure point, leverage, grab, and/or bodyweight, and the application would lead a reasonable officer to conclude that the individual may have experienced more than momentary discomfort.

An uninvolved supervisor will respond to the scene and conduct a Use of Force Investigation, ensuring that statements are taken from the suspect and witnesses, and that photos are taken of the involved parties. If the incident fits the parameters for a Level 2 incident, the supervisor will enter all applicable data into BlueTeam and attach a completed Use of Force Investigation Checklist with a brief summary.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

Witness statements from fire and medical personnel are not required under the following circumstance: an officer assists medical personnel to restrain and/or secure a subject to a gurney for medical transport in a non-criminal detention (i.e. 5150 or 5170 detention), and all of the following conditions are met:

- (a) The officer only used force options limited to the following: grab, hold, leverage, and/or bodyweight.
- (b) No subject injury or complaint of continuing pain due to interaction with officer.
- (c) No allegation of misconduct against officer, regarding force.
- (d) Officer body camera was activated in a timely manner, per policy.
- (e) The unit number for the fire and medical personnel is obtained.

Level 3: Use of Force

Level 3 Incident Parameters:

- (a) Would have otherwise been classified as a Level 2, except one or more of the following apply:
 1. Suspect injury or complaint of injury or continuing pain due to interaction with officer.
 2. Allegation of misconduct against officer, regarding force.
 3. Officer body camera was not activated in a timely manner, prior to the enforcement contact, per policy.
- (b) The use of force is Level 3 if the officer used any of the following force options:
 1. Any takedown, that appears to have caused more than momentary discomfort.
 2. TASER Activation/LLIMS Activation
 3. Chemical Agents/Munitions
 4. Impact Weapon Strikes
 5. Personal Body Weapons
 6. Police canine deployment resulting in injury

An uninvolved supervisor will respond to the scene and conduct a Use of Force Investigation, ensuring that statements are taken from the suspect and witnesses. If the incident fits the parameters for a Level 3 incident, the supervisor will enter all applicable data into BlueTeam and attach a completed Use of Force Investigation Checklist. The supervisor will also complete a Use of Force Investigation Report narrative for review through the Use of Force Review process. Suspect and witness statements from the crime report will be attached to the use of force investigation. Use of Force involving police canines will be documented and reviewed additionally per Policy 318.

Level 4: Use of Deadly Force

Level 4 Incident Parameters:

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

- (a) Use of firearm, officer involved shooting
- (b) Or any force likely to cause death or serious bodily injury

An uninvolved supervisor will respond to the scene. The incident will be investigated, documented, and reviewed in adherence to Policy 310.

300.5.3 REPORTING TO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

The Records Manager or the authorized designee shall ensure that data required by the Department of Justice (DOJ) regarding all officer-involved shootings and incidents involving use of force resulting in serious bodily injury is collected and forwarded to the DOJ as required by Government Code § 12525.2.

300.5.4 EMPLOYEES WHO USE FORCE WHILE ON A SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT

When a BART Police employee has a use of force as defined in this policy, the use of force must be reported to a BART Police supervisor and investigated in accordance with this policy.

When two or more BART Police officers are temporarily assigned to assist an outside agency or multi-agency task force in the performance of law enforcement activities, a BART police supervisor should also be present.

300.5.5 REPORTING TO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Statistical data regarding all officer-involved shootings and incidents involving use of force resulting in serious bodily injury is to be reported to the California Department of Justice as required by Government Code § 12525.2. See the Records Division policy.

300.6 MEDICAL CONSIDERATION FOLLOWING A USE OF FORCE

Prior to booking or release, and as soon as possible under the circumstances, medical assistance shall be obtained for any person who exhibits signs of physical distress, who has sustained visible injury, expresses a complaint of injury or continuing pain, or who was rendered unconscious. Any individual exhibiting signs of physical distress after an encounter should be continuously monitored until he/she can be medically assessed.

Based upon the officer's initial assessment of the nature and extent of the subject's injuries, medical assistance may consist of examination by fire personnel, paramedics, hospital staff or medical staff at the jail. If any such individual refuses medical attention, such a refusal shall be fully documented in related reports and, whenever practicable, should be witnessed by another officer and/or medical personnel. If a recording is made of the contact or an interview with the individual, any refusal should be included in the recording, if possible.

The on-scene supervisor or, if the on-scene supervisor is not available, the primary handling officer shall ensure that any person providing medical care or receiving custody of a person following any use of force is informed that the person was subjected to force. This notification shall include a **description of the force used and any other circumstances the officer reasonably believes would be potential safety or medical risks to the subject (e.g., prolonged struggle, extreme agitation, impaired respiration).**

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force ▲

Persons who exhibit extreme agitation, violent irrational behavior accompanied by profuse sweating, extraordinary strength beyond their physical characteristics and imperviousness to pain (sometimes called “excited delirium”), or who require a protracted physical encounter with multiple officers to be brought under control, may be at an increased risk of sudden death. Calls involving these persons should be considered medical emergencies. Officers who reasonably suspect a medical emergency should request medical assistance as soon as practicable and have medical personnel stage nearby if appropriate.

300.7 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITY

An uninvolved supervisor should respond to the scene of a Level 2, Level 3, or Level 4 use of force. The supervisor is expected to do the following:

- (a) Obtain the basic facts from the involved officers. Absent an allegation of misconduct or excessive force, this will be considered a routine contact in the normal course of duties.
- (b) Ensure that any injured parties are examined and treated.
- (c) Ensure that arrestees and witnesses are interviewed, and that the interviews are audio/video recorded. If the arrestee invokes their Miranda rights at any point, all interrogation shall cease as outlined per case law.
 - 1. Officers should take recorded suspect and witness statements related to all criminal charges as appropriate, including the circumstances involving the use of force. These statements will be documented in the crime report. If the responding supervisor conducts the interview, then the supervisor should document the statement in the crime report.
 - 2. In addition to the statement taken for the criminal report, supervisors conducting the use of force investigation should seek a voluntary statement regarding the use of force from suspects who have not invoked their Miranda rights. The interview should be audio/video recorded. If the statement contains information that is relevant to the criminal case but is not covered in the primary crime report, the supervisor will document the interview in a supplemental crime report.
 - 3. In the event that force is used on an individual with no criminal charges (i.e. psychiatric detentions), then the responding supervisor should interview the detainee regarding the use of force.
 - 4. In all cases, the responding supervisor should identify themselves as a supervisor to the arrestee/detainee. If the subject makes an allegation of misconduct, the supervisor will receive and forward the complaint to Internal Affairs.
- (d) Once any initial medical assessment has been completed or first aid has been rendered, ensure that photographs have been taken of any areas involving visible injury or complaint of pain, as well as overall photographs of uninjured areas. These photographs should be retained until all potential for civil litigation has expired.
- (e) Review the portion(s) of the Axon Flex video pertaining to the use of force and/or allegation of misconduct.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

- (f) Review and approve all related reports.

In the event that an uninvolved supervisor is unable to respond to the scene of an incident involving the reported application of force, the supervisor is still expected to complete as many of the above items as circumstances permit. The investigation will be documented in a Use of Force Investigation checklist and narrative as warranted.

When practical, involved supervisors, meaning those who use force in a given incident or those who witness the use of force by another officer in a given incident, should not obtain statements from other officers as part of a report on the use of force, as such is the responsibility of an uninvolved supervisor. Furthermore, involved supervisors and officers shall not attempt to influence other officers' or civilian witnesses' accounts of what occurred during the incident or otherwise compromise the integrity of the use of force investigation.

Use of Force Investigation Reports will be forwarded and reviewed through the chain of command.

300.7.1 WATCH COMMANDER RESPONSIBILITY

A watch commander will review the Use of Force Investigation Report to ensure compliance with this policy and that any training issues are addressed.

Nothing in the policy precludes the watch commander from requiring that a supervisor complete a Use of Force Investigation Report for any incident involving force.

The on-duty watch commander shall promptly notify the Office of the Independent Police Auditor in the event that a use of force resulted in significant (i.e. life threatening) injury, notwithstanding the notification requirements regarding officer-involved shootings and in-custody deaths pursuant to Policy 310.

300.8 TRAINING

Officers will receive annual training on this policy (at a minimum) and demonstrate their knowledge and understanding.

Departmental personnel authorized to carry lethal and less-lethal weapons will be issued copies of, and be instructed in, the policies of lethal and less-lethal force before being authorized to carry a weapon. The issuance and instruction shall be documented.

300.9 USE OF FORCE ANALYSIS

At least annually, the Operations Bureau Deputy Chief should prepare an analysis report on use of force incidents. The report should be submitted to the Chief of Police, the Office of the Independent Police Auditor, and the BART Police Citizen Review Board. The report should not contain the names of officers, suspects or case numbers, and should include:

- (a) The identification of any trends in the use of force by members.
- (b) Training needs recommendations.
- (c) Equipment needs recommendations.
- (d) Policy revision recommendations.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Use of Force

Summary
3/2/2020 05:58:08

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
New Policy	OLD Policy
5 pages (279 KB)	4 pages (278 KB)
3/2/2020 05:58:07	3/2/2020 05:58:07

Used to display results.

[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Handcuffing and Restraints

306.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines for the use of handcuffs and other restraints during detentions and arrests.

306.2 POLICY

The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department authorizes the use of restraint devices in accordance with this policy, the Use of Force Policy, and department training. Restraint devices shall not be used to punish, to display authority, or as a show of force.

306.3 USE OF RESTRAINTS

Only members who have successfully completed Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department-approved training on the use of restraint devices described in this policy are authorized to use these devices.

When deciding whether to use any restraint, officers should carefully balance officer safety concerns with factors that include but are not limited to:

- The circumstances or crime leading to the arrest.
- The demeanor and behavior of the arrested person.
- The age and health of the person.
- Whether the person is known to be pregnant.
- Whether the person has a hearing or speaking disability. In such cases, consideration should be given, safety permitting, to handcuffing to the front in order to allow the person to sign or write notes.
- Whether the person has any other apparent disability.

306.3.1 RESTRAINT OF DETAINEES

Situations may arise where it may be reasonable to restrain a person who may, after brief investigation, be released without arrest. Unless arrested, the use of restraints on detainees should continue only for as long as is reasonably necessary to ensure the safety of officers and others. When deciding whether to remove restraints from a detainee, officers should continuously weigh the safety interests at hand against the continuing intrusion upon the detainee.

306.3.2 RESTRAINT OF PREGNANT PERSONS

Persons who are known to be pregnant should be restrained in the least restrictive manner that is effective for officer safety. Leg irons, waist chains, or handcuffs behind the body should not be used unless the officer has a reasonable suspicion that the person may resist, attempt escape, injure self or others, or damage property.

Handcuffing and Restraints

No person who is in labor, **delivery**, or recovery after delivery shall be handcuffed or restrained except in extraordinary circumstances and only when a supervisor makes an individualized determination that such restraints are necessary for the safety of the arrestee, **officers**, or others (Penal Code § 3407; Penal Code § 6030).

306.3.3 RESTRAINT OF JUVENILES

A juvenile under 14 years of age should not be restrained unless he/she is suspected of a dangerous felony or when the officer has a reasonable suspicion that the juvenile may resist, attempt escape, injure him/herself, injure the **officer**, or damage property.

306.3.4 NOTIFICATIONS

Whenever an officer transports a person with the use of restraints other than handcuffs, the officer shall inform the jail staff upon arrival at the jail that restraints were used. This notification should include information regarding any other circumstances the officer reasonably believes would be potential safety concerns or medical risks to the **person** (e.g., prolonged struggle, extreme agitation, impaired respiration) that may have occurred prior to, or **during**, transportation to the jail.

306.4 APPLICATION OF HANDCUFFS OR PLASTIC CUFFS

Handcuffs, including temporary nylon or plastic cuffs, may be used only to restrain a person's hands to ensure officer safety.

Although recommended for most arrest situations, handcuffing is discretionary and not an absolute requirement of the Department. Officers should consider handcuffing any person they reasonably believe warrants that degree of restraint. However, officers should not conclude that in order to avoid risk every person should be handcuffed, regardless of the circumstances.

In most **situations**, handcuffs should be applied with the hands behind the person's back. When feasible, handcuffs should be double-locked to prevent tightening, which may cause undue discomfort or injury to the hands or wrists.

In situations where one pair of handcuffs does not appear sufficient to restrain the **person** or may cause unreasonable discomfort due to the person's size, officers should consider alternatives, such as using an additional set of handcuffs or multiple plastic cuffs.

Handcuffs should be removed as soon as it is reasonable or after the person has been searched and is safely confined within a detention facility.

306.5 APPLICATION OF SPIT HOODS

Spit **hoods** are temporary protective devices designed to prevent the wearer from biting and/or transferring or transmitting fluids (saliva and mucous) to others.

Spit hoods may be placed upon persons in custody when the officer reasonably believes the person will bite or spit, either on a person or in an inappropriate place. They are generally used during application of a physical restraint, while the person is restrained, or during or after transport.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Handcuffing and Restraints

Officers utilizing spit hoods should ensure that the spit hood is fastened properly to allow for adequate ventilation and so that the restrained person can breathe normally. Officers should provide assistance during the movement of a restrained person due to the potential for impairing or distorting that person's vision. Officers should avoid comingling those wearing spit hoods with other detainees.

Spit hoods should not be used in situations where the restrained person is bleeding profusely from the area around the mouth or nose, or if there are indications that the person has a medical condition, such as difficulty breathing or vomiting. In such cases, prompt medical care should be obtained. If the person vomits while wearing a spit hood, the spit hood should be promptly removed and discarded. Persons who have been sprayed with oleoresin capsicum (OC) spray should be thoroughly decontaminated, including hair, head, and clothing, prior to application of a spit hood.

Those who have been placed in a spit hood should be continually monitored and shall not be left unattended until the spit hood is removed. Spit hoods shall be discarded after each use.

306.6 APPLICATION OF AUXILIARY RESTRAINT DEVICES

Auxiliary restraint devices include transport belts, waist or belly chains, transportation chains, leg irons, and other similar devices. Auxiliary restraint devices are intended for use during long-term restraint or transportation. They provide additional security and safety without impeding breathing, while permitting adequate movement, comfort, and mobility.

Only department-authorized devices may be used. Any person in auxiliary restraints should be monitored as reasonably appears necessary.

306.7 APPLICATION OF LEG RESTRAINT DEVICES

Leg restraints may be used to restrain the legs of a violent or potentially violent person when it is reasonable to do so during the course of detention, arrest, or transportation. Only restraint devices approved by the Department shall be used.

In determining whether to use the leg restraint, officers should consider:

- (a) Whether the officer or others could be exposed to injury due to the assaultive or resistant behavior of a person.
- (b) Whether it is reasonably necessary to protect the person from his/her own actions (e.g., hitting his/her head against the interior of the patrol vehicle, running away from the arresting officer while handcuffed, kicking at objects or officers).
- (c) Whether it is reasonably necessary to avoid damage to property (e.g., kicking at windows of the patrol vehicle).

306.7.1 GUIDELINES FOR USE OF LEG RESTRAINTS

When applying leg restraints, the following guidelines should be followed:

- (a) If practicable, officers should notify a supervisor of the intent to apply the leg restraint device. In all cases, a supervisor shall be notified as soon as practicable after the application of the leg restraint device.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Handcuffing and Restraints

- (b) Once applied, absent a medical or other emergency, restraints should remain in place until the officer arrives at the jail or other facility or the person no longer reasonably appears to pose a threat.
- (c) Once secured, the person should be placed in a seated or upright position, secured with a seat belt, and shall not be placed on his/her stomach for an extended period, as this could reduce the person's ability to breathe.
- (d) The restrained person should be continually monitored by an officer while in the leg restraint. The officer should ensure that the person does not roll onto and remain on his/her stomach.
- (e) The officer should look for signs of labored breathing and take appropriate steps to relieve and minimize any obvious factors contributing to this condition.
- (f) When transported by **emergency medical services**, the restrained person should be accompanied by an officer when requested by medical personnel. The transporting officer should describe to medical personnel any unusual behaviors or other circumstances the officer reasonably believes would be potential safety or medical risks to the **person** (e.g., prolonged struggle, extreme agitation, impaired respiration).

306.8 REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION

If a **person** is restrained and released without an arrest, the officer shall document the details of the detention and the need for handcuffs or other restraints.

If a **person** is arrested, the use of **handcuffs or other** restraints shall be documented in the related report.

Officers should **document the following information in reports**, as **appropriate, when restraints other than handcuffs are used on a person**:

- (a) The **factors that led to the decision to use restraints.**
- (b) **Supervisor notification and approval of restraint use.**
- (c) **The types of restraint used.**
- (d) **The amount of time the person was restrained.**
- (e) **How the person was transported and the position of the person during transport.**
- (f) **Observations of the person's behavior and any signs of physiological problems.**
- (g) **Any known or suspected drug use or other medical problems.**

306.9 TRAINING

Subject to available resources, the Personnel and Training Lieutenant should ensure that officers receive periodic training on the proper use of handcuffs and other restraints, including:

- (a) **Proper placement and fit of handcuffs and other restraint devices approved for use by the Department.**
- (b) **Response to complaints of pain by restrained persons.**

Handcuffing and Restraints

- (c) Options for restraining those who may be pregnant without the use of leg irons, waist chains, or handcuffs behind the body.
- (d) Options for restraining amputees or those with medical conditions or other physical conditions that may be aggravated by being restrained.

Summary
3/2/2020 05:59:45

Differences exist between documents.


New Document:	Old Document:
New Policy	OLD Policy
9 pages (292 KB)	9 pages (291 KB)
3/2/2020 05:59:44	3/2/2020 05:59:44

Used to display results.


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

 indicates a change.

 indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

310.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this policy is to establish policy and procedures for the investigation of an incident in which a person is injured or dies as the result of an officer-involved shooting or dies as a result of other action of an officer.

In other incidents not covered by this policy, the Chief of Police may decide that the investigation will follow the process provided in this policy.

310.2 POLICY

The policy of the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department is to ensure that officer-involved shootings and deaths are investigated in a thorough, fair and impartial manner.

310.3 TYPES OF INVESTIGATIONS

Officer-involved shootings and deaths involve several separate investigations. The investigations may include:

- A criminal investigation of the suspect's actions.
- A criminal investigation of the involved officer's actions.
- An administrative investigation as to policy compliance by involved officers.
- A civil investigation to determine potential liability.

310.4 CONTROL OF INVESTIGATIONS

Investigators from surrounding agencies may be assigned to work on the criminal investigation of officer-involved shootings and deaths. This may include at least one investigator from the agency that employs the involved officer.

Jurisdiction is determined by the location of the shooting or death and the agency employing the involved officer. The following scenarios outline the jurisdictional responsibilities for investigating officer-involved shootings and deaths.

310.4.1 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OF SUSPECT ACTIONS

The investigation of any possible criminal conduct by the suspect is controlled by the agency in whose jurisdiction the suspect's crime occurred. For example, the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department would control the investigation if the suspect's crime occurred in San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District.

If multiple crimes have been committed in multiple jurisdictions, identification of the agency that will control the investigation may be reached in the same way as with any other crime. The investigation may be conducted by another agency, at the discretion of the Chief of Police and with concurrence from the other agency.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

310.4.2 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICER ACTIONS

The control of the criminal investigation into the involved officer's conduct during the incident will be determined by the employing agency's protocol. When an officer from this department is involved, the criminal investigation will be handled according to the Criminal Investigation section of this policy.

Requests made of this department to investigate a shooting or death involving an outside agency's officer shall be referred to the Chief of Police or the authorized designee for approval.

310.4.3 ADMINISTRATIVE AND CIVIL INVESTIGATION

Regardless of where the incident occurs, the administrative and civil investigation of each involved officer is controlled by the respective employing agency.

310.4.4 INVESTIGATION RESPONSIBILITY MATRIX

The following table helps identify the possible scenarios and responsibilities for the investigation of officer-involved shootings. This may vary based on the incident county and their protocol.

	Criminal Investigation of Suspect(s)	Criminal Investigation of Officer(s)	Civil Investigation	Administrative Investigation
BPD Officer in This Jurisdiction	BPD Investigators	BPD Investigators w District Attorney's Office	BART Legal Counsel	BPD Office of Professional Standards / BART Office of the Independent Police Auditor
Allied Agency's Officer in This Jurisdiction	BPD Investigators	District Attorney's Office	Involved Officer's Department	Involved Officer's Department
BPD Officer in Another Jurisdiction	Agency where incident occurred	Decision made by agency where incident occurred	BART Legal Counsel	BPD Office of Professional Standards / BART Office of the Independent Police Auditor

310.5 INVESTIGATION PROCESS

The following procedures are guidelines used in the investigation of an officer-involved shooting or death. The following checklist is a guideline that should be followed following an incident involving an officer-involved shooting or death;

- The BART Police Department officer assigned to the original call is in command of the scene until relieved by a supervisor or other person of higher authority. This includes those instances where more than one agency is participating or assisting in the investigation in BART's jurisdiction.
- Ensure that all necessary life-saving efforts are undertaken for any injured persons.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

- The Incident Commander is responsible for deployment of personnel and equipment necessary to provide for the containment of the crime scene, security of the surrounding area, preservation of evidence, and compliance with all reporting requirements. The Incident Commander shall request all necessary resources to fulfill this responsibility.
- All necessary notifications shall be made in accordance with section 310.5.3 of this policy
- Obtain a public safety statement from involved officer(s) to establish crime scene boundaries, location of injured persons or other information to ensure the safety of the public regarding the incident.
- Assign an officer to ride with injured suspect(s) in the ambulance with a recording device to maintain custody of arrestee, document any spontaneous statements / dying declarations, and preserve any physical evidence.
- Only assigned investigative and emergency medical personnel will be allowed within the perimeter of the crime scene, unless authorized by the Incident Commander or higher authority.
- Locate, identify and seek voluntary compliance of witnesses to remain at the scene or other designated area to be interviewed regarding the incident.
- Coordinate a systematic canvass of the area for other witnesses, locating unaccounted vehicles, weapons, people, etc.
- Ensure radio broadcasts and teletypes regarding outstanding suspects, vehicles or witnesses are transmitted as soon as practical.
- The Incident Commander should conduct an on scene briefing once all investigative entities have arrived on scene. The briefing should include basic factual information known at that time such as type of call, date and time of incident, number of officers involved, summary of public safety statement(s), etc.
- Coordinate the preservation / collection of all evidence, including but not limited to, the officer's body worn camera, gunshot residue, etc. with Evidence Technicians and the Criminal Investigations Division.
- All personnel entering the scene will check in with the crime scene scribe and record the entry / exit time and reason for entering on the crime scene log.
- Any person(s) entering the crime scene may be ordered by the Incident Commander to prepare a supplemental report regarding their reasons for entering the crime scene and their actions while there.
- All officers directly involved in the shooting should be isolated from the immediate crime scene as soon as practical. Another officer or supervisor should be assigned to remain with these officers to attend to any personal needs that may arise until the arrival of assigned investigative units.
- If more than one officer is directly involved in the shooting, the directly involved officers should be separated as soon as possible and should remain separated until after they have given a statement to the investigators.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

- The officer's weapon will become evidence if the suspect was struck or if there is any indication that the weapon malfunctioned.
- The Criminal Investigations Division Lieutenant, or designee, will be responsible for ensuring that a replacement weapon is issued when the officer's weapon is taken as evidence.
- Coordinate with the Criminal Investigations Division / Internal Affairs Division to ensure that a drug / alcohol test is administered to the involved officer(s) (requested through the Operations Control Center (OCC) Manager). Accommodations should be made for the involved officer(s) to be later driven to his / her place of residence after the conclusion of the initial investigation.
- In cases of officer involved shootings where a fatality is involved, the Chief of Police should designate a Department representative to be assigned as a liaison to the family of the decedent. To the extent allowed by law, the liaison shall be responsible for keeping the family informed about updates to the investigation and the status of involved personnel.

310.5.1 OFFICER IN CHARGE - RESPONSIBILITIES

Upon arrival at the scene of an officer-involved shooting, the first uninvolved BART PD officer will be the officer-in-charge and will assume the responsibilities of a supervisor until properly relieved. This officer should, as appropriate:

- (a) Secure the scene and identify and eliminate hazards for all those involved.
- (b) Take reasonable steps to obtain emergency medical attention for injured individuals.
- (c) Request additional resources from the Department or other agencies.
- (d) Coordinate a perimeter or pursuit of suspects.
- (e) Check for injured persons and evacuate as needed.
- (f) Brief the supervisor upon arrival.

310.5.2 WATCH COMMANDER RESPONSIBILITIES

Upon learning of an officer-involved shooting or death, the Watch Commander shall be responsible for coordinating all aspects of the incident until he/she is relieved by the Chief of Police or a Division Commander.

All outside inquiries about the incident shall be directed to the Watch Commander.

310.5.3 NOTIFICATIONS

The following notifications shall be made soon as practical after an officer involved shooting:

- BART Police Communications Center
- On-duty supervisor and officers responsible for the area in which the incident occurred
- On-duty Watch Commander or on-call command officer
- If other than BART Police, the agency having primary investigative responsibility

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

- Detective Sergeant and on-call detective
- Chief of Police and appropriate command officers
- Operations Control Center (If necessary)
- County Officer Involved Shooting Protocol rollout team
- Department of Media Affairs as directed by the Chief
- Coroner (if necessary)
- BPMA/BPOA Representative
- Trauma Response Team
- Office of the Independent Police Auditor

All outside inquiries about the incident shall be directed to the Watch Commander.

310.6 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

The District Attorney's Office is responsible for the criminal investigation into the circumstances of any officer-involved shooting or death.

If available, investigative personnel from this department may be assigned to partner with investigators from outside agencies or the District Attorney's Office to avoid duplicating efforts in related criminal investigations.

Once public safety issues have been addressed, criminal investigators should be given the opportunity to obtain a voluntary statement from involved officers and to complete their interviews.

The following shall be considered for the involved officer:

- (a) BART PD supervisors and Internal Affairs Division personnel should not participate directly in any voluntary interview of BART PD officers. This will not prohibit such personnel from monitoring interviews or providing the criminal investigators with topics for inquiry. The BART Independent Police Auditor and Independent Police Investigators shall be provided with the same opportunity to monitor interviews and provide criminal investigators with topics for inquiry.
- (b) If requested, any involved officer will be afforded the opportunity to consult individually with a representative of his/her choosing or an attorney prior to speaking with criminal investigators (Government Code § 3303(i)). However, in order to maintain the integrity of each involved officer's statement, involved officers shall not consult or meet with a representative or an attorney collectively or in groups prior to being interviewed.
- (c) If any involved officer is physically, emotionally or otherwise not in a position to provide a voluntary statement when interviewed by criminal investigators, consideration should be given to allowing a reasonable period for the officer to schedule an alternate time for the interview.
- (d) Any voluntary statement provided by an involved officer will be made available for inclusion in any related investigation, including administrative investigations. However, no administratively coerced statement will be provided to any criminal investigators.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

310.6.1 **REPORTS BY INVOLVED BART PD OFFICERS**

In the event that suspects remain outstanding or subject to prosecution for related offenses, this department shall retain the authority to require involved BART PD officers to provide sufficient information for related criminal reports to facilitate the apprehension and prosecution of those individuals (Government Code § 3304(a)).

While the involved BART PD officer may write the report, it is generally recommended that such reports be completed by assigned investigators, who should interview all involved officers as victims/witnesses. Since the purpose of these reports will be to facilitate criminal prosecution, statements of involved officers should focus on evidence to establish the elements of criminal activities by suspects. Care should be taken not to duplicate information provided by involved officers in other reports.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to deprive an involved BART PD officer of the right to consult with legal counsel prior to completing any such criminal report.

Reports related to the prosecution of criminal suspects will be processed according to normal procedures but should also be included for reference in the investigation of the officer-involved shooting or death.

310.6.2 **WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND INTERVIEWS**

Because potential witnesses to an officer-involved shooting or death may become unavailable or the integrity of their statements compromised with the passage of time, a supervisor should take reasonable steps to promptly coordinate with criminal investigators to utilize available personnel for the following:

- (a) Identification of all persons present at the scene and in the immediate area.
 1. When feasible, a recorded statement should be obtained from those persons who claim not to have witnessed the incident but who were present at the time it occurred.
 2. Any potential witness who is unwilling or unable to remain available for a formal interview should not be detained absent reasonable suspicion to detain or probable cause to arrest. Without detaining the individual for the sole purpose of identification, officers should attempt to identify the witness prior to his/her departure.
- (b) Witnesses who are willing to provide a formal interview should be asked to meet at a suitable location where criminal investigators may obtain a recorded statement. Such witnesses, if willing, may be transported by a member of the Department.
 1. A written, verbal or recorded statement of consent should be obtained prior to transporting a witness. When the witness is a minor, consent should be obtained from the parent or guardian, if available, prior to transportation.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

- (c) Promptly contacting the suspect's known family and associates to obtain any available and untainted background information about the suspect's activities and state of mind prior to the incident.

310.7 ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION

In addition to all other investigations associated with an officer-involved shooting or death, this department will conduct an internal administrative investigation of BART PD officers to determine conformance with department policy. The investigation will be conducted under the supervision of the Internal Affairs Division and will be considered a confidential officer personnel file.

Interviews of members shall be subject to department policies and applicable laws (see the Personnel Complaints Policy).

- (a) Any officer involved in a shooting or death may be requested or administratively compelled to provide a blood sample for alcohol/drug screening. Absent consent from the officer, such compelled samples and the results of any such testing shall not be disclosed to any criminal investigative agency.
- (b) If any officer has voluntarily elected to provide a statement to criminal investigators, the assigned administrative investigator should review that statement before proceeding with any further interview of that involved officer.
 1. If a further interview of the officer is deemed necessary to determine policy compliance, care should be taken to limit the inquiry to new areas with minimal, if any, duplication of questions addressed in the voluntary statement. The involved officer shall be provided with a copy of his/her prior statement before proceeding with any subsequent interviews.
- (c) In the event that an involved officer has elected to not provide criminal investigators with a voluntary statement, the assigned administrative investigator shall conduct an administrative interview to determine all relevant information.
 1. Although this interview should not be unreasonably delayed, care should be taken to ensure that the officer's physical and psychological needs have been addressed before commencing the interview.
 2. If requested, the officer shall have the opportunity to select an uninvolved representative to be present during the interview. However, in order to maintain the integrity of each individual officer's statement, involved officers shall not consult or meet with a representative or attorney collectively or in groups prior to being interviewed (Government Code § 3303(i)).
 3. Administrative interviews should be recorded by the investigator. The officer may also record the interview (Government Code § 3303(g)).
 4. The officer shall be informed of the nature of the investigation. If an officer refuses to answer questions, he/she should be given his/her *Lybarger* or *Garrity* rights and ordered to provide full and truthful answers to all questions. The officer shall be informed that the interview will be for administrative purposes only and that the statement cannot be used criminally.

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

5. The Internal Affairs Division shall compile all relevant information and reports necessary for the Department to determine compliance with applicable policies.
6. Regardless of whether the use of force is an issue in the case, the completed administrative investigation shall be submitted to the Use of Force Review Board, which will restrict its findings as to whether there was compliance with the Use of Force Policy.
7. Any other indications of potential policy violations shall be determined in accordance with standard disciplinary procedures.

310.8 MEDIA RELATIONS

Any media release shall be prepared with input and concurrence from the supervisor and department representative responsible for each phase of the investigation. Releases will be available to the Watch Commander, Criminal Investigations Division Commander and Media Relations in the event of inquiries from the media.

The Department shall not subject any involved BART PD officer to visits by the media (Government Code § 3303(e)). No involved BART PD officer shall make any comment to the media unless he/she is authorized by the Chief of Police or a Division Commander. Department members receiving inquiries regarding officer-involved shootings or deaths occurring in other jurisdictions shall refrain from public comment and will direct those inquiries to the agency having jurisdiction and primary responsibility for the investigation.

310.9 DEBRIEFING

Following an officer-involved shooting or death, the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department shall conduct both a critical incident/stress debriefing and a tactical debriefing.

310.9.1 TACTICAL DEBRIEFING

A tactical debriefing should take place to identify any training or areas of policy that need improvement. The Chief of Police should identify the appropriate participants. This debriefing should not be conducted until all involved members have provided recorded or formal statements to criminal and/or administrative investigators.

310.9.2 CRITICAL INCIDENT/STRESS DEBRIEFING

A critical incident/stress debriefing should occur as soon as practicable. The Support Services Division Commander is responsible for organizing the debriefing. Notes and recorded statements should not be taken because the sole purpose of the debriefing is to help mitigate the stress-related effects of a traumatic event.

The debriefing is not part of any investigative process. Care should be taken not to release or repeat any communication made during a debriefing unless otherwise authorized by policy, law, or a valid court order.

Attendance at the debriefing shall only include those members of the Department directly involved in the incident, which can include support personnel (e.g., dispatchers, other civilian personnel).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths

Family or other support personnel may attend with the concurrence of those involved in the incident. The debriefing shall be closed to the public and should be closed to all other members of the Department, including supervisory and Internal Affairs Division personnel.

310.10 REPORTING

If the death of an individual occurs in the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department jurisdiction and qualifies to be reported to the state as a justifiable homicide or an in-custody death, the Operations Division Commander will ensure that the Records Supervisor is provided with enough information to meet the reporting requirements (Penal Code § 196; Penal Code § 13022; Government Code § 12525).

310.11 OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT POLICE AUDITOR (OIPA)

- (a) After notification of any officer-involved shooting or death has been made to OIPA pursuant to Section 310.5.3, the BART Independent Police Auditor and the Independent Police Investigator(s) shall be granted access to the scene(s) of the incident(s) in the same manner as granted to BPD Internal Affairs Investigators.
- (b) The BART Independent Police Auditor and the Independent Police Investigator(s) shall be granted the same opportunity as BPD Internal Affairs investigators to monitor criminal investigation interviews and to submit requests for questions to the criminal interviewers.

310.12 RETURN TO ACTIVE DUTY

The following checklist guideline outlines the protocol which should be followed to facilitate the involved officer's return to active duty after an officer involved shooting where death / serious bodily injury occurs.

- The involved officer(s) are not cleared for regular patrol duties until the results of the mandatory drug testing individually clears the involved officer(s).
- The involved officer(s) successfully completes an individual session with a Department approved psychologist.
- The Chief of Police, or designee, may keep the officer(s) from active duty if they believe, based upon the totality of the circumstances, that placing the employee on active duty poses an unreasonable safety and/or security risk to the Department, employees or the public.
- The Chief of Police, or designee, may keep the officer(s) from active duty if a preliminary determination appears to show that the officer's conduct was not in compliance with policy.
- A member of Command Staff should consult with the involved officer(s) individually to confirm that they feel ready to return to full duty.
- The involved officer(s) shall successfully complete a firearms qualification with the Department Rangemaster.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:18:18

Differences exist between documents.


New Document: Lexipol 312 - Current 14 pages (44 KB) 6/3/2020 07:18:17	Old Document: Lexipol 312 - Old 14 pages (303 KB) 6/3/2020 07:18:17
--	---

Used to display results.

[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

 indicates a change.

 indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Firearms

312.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines for issuing firearms, the safe and legal carrying of firearms, firearms maintenance and firearms training.

This policy does not apply to issues related to the use of firearms that are addressed in the Use of Force or Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths policies.

This policy only applies to those members who are authorized to carry firearms.

312.1.1 PERSONNEL AUTHORIZED TO POSSESS FIREARMS WHILE ON-DUTY

- (a) All sworn personnel who have successfully completed a department authorized course of firearms instruction and qualified with the firearm at a department firearms qualification.
- (b) Other police department employees performing the duties of Revenue Protection Guard and after successful completion of the PC 832 course of firearms instruction and qualified with the firearm at a department firearms qualification.

312.2 POLICY

The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department will equip its members with firearms to address the risks posed to the public and department members by violent and sometimes well-armed persons. The Department will ensure firearms are appropriate and in good working order and that relevant training is provided as resources allow.

312.3 AUTHORIZED FIREARMS, AMMUNITION AND OTHER WEAPONS

Members shall only use firearms that are issued or approved by the Department and have been thoroughly inspected by the Rangemaster. Except in an emergency or as directed by a supervisor, no firearm shall be carried by a member who has not qualified with that firearm at an authorized department range.

All other weapons not provided by the Department, including but not limited to edged weapons, chemical or electronic weapons, impact weapons or any weapon prohibited or restricted by law or that is not covered elsewhere by department policy, may not be carried by members in the performance of their official duties without the express written authorization of the member's Division Commander. This exclusion does not apply to the carrying of a single folding pocketknife that is not otherwise prohibited by law.

312.3.1 DUTY HANDGUNS

The authorized departmental issued handgun is the Sig Sauer P320 chambered in 9mm parabellum. The department will issue weapons only to personnel who will carry the Sig Sauer P320 as their primary duty weapon while in uniform and/or plainclothes assignments. Members of the Range Staff may be issued Sig Sauer P320 pistols for training purposes. ▲

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

Personnel may select and have issued an appropriate sized Sig Sauer grip module to obtain a satisfactory firing grip on the P320 pistol (subject to availability).

(a) Duty Handgun Modifications:

Department issued P320 firearms shall not be altered in any way to change its general appearance or function without written Rangemaster approval. A copy of the written approval will be kept in the employees' personnel file. This includes modifications of the grip module, change or modification of the Fire control unit (i.e. Sig Sauer flat/straight triggers), change or addition of sights (including laser sights), slide or any mechanism. All modifications will be at the expense of the desiring officer. Any department firearm returned to the department must be returned in its original configuration.

Modifications that will not be approved include:

1. A polymer frame with any finish other than black.
2. A barrel length that has been altered from the original manufacturer's specifications.
3. The surface engraved, etched, or inlaid with other than a personal alpha/numeric identifier unless approved by the Rangemaster.

Only department armorers may remove the firing control unit from the grip module and change sights. Any substitution of the grip module must be performed by a Department Armorer.

Any substitution of the grip module or other change to the P320 will require personnel to conduct a test fire of the firearm at a department approved range.

b. Authorized Optional Duty Handguns:

1. Personnel who requested and were authorized (2018 and prior) to carry an optional duty handgun may continue to carry their previously authorized duty weapon, however any personnel hired after 2017 will only be authorized to carry a department issued Sig Sauer P320 as a duty weapon. Personnel choosing not to carry the department issued pistol may carry any 9mm, .40 S&W, or .45 ACP caliber pistol approved by the Support Services Deputy Chief. Authorized manufacturers include: Beretta, Glock, Heckler & Koch, Sig Sauer, Smith & Wesson, Colt, Kimber, Springfield Armory, Para Ordinance, STI, Walther, and others as approved by the Rangemaster. A copy of the authorization will be kept in the employees personnel file.

2. The pistol may be a single-action or double-action/safe-action type, semi-automatic, capable of carrying at least seven (7) rounds in its magazine. Personnel may carry a single-action type pistol after successfully completing a departmental single-action pistol training course or equivalent training and successfully completing a duty qualification course.

- i. Authorized finishes include blue, black, parkerized, nickel or stainless steel.
- ii. Personnel primarily assigned to administrative assignments may (subject to availability) be issued an additional Sig p320 pistol but in a compact size. Compact pistol

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

configurations shall be returned to the department when member concludes service in the administrative assignment.

All expenses incurred with regards to the optional firearm will be borne by the member choosing to carry the weapon, except for duty ammunition and a reasonable amount of practice ammunition annually.

Any firearm used during an officer-involved shooting, may be retained as evidence for at least one year without compensation to the employee.

312.3.2 SECONDARY (BACK-UP) HANDGUNS ARE NOT AUTHORIZED BY THIS DEPARTMENT

312.3.3 SHOTGUNS

The department-issued shotgun is a Remington Model 870 pump action 12-gauge shotgun. Shotguns, when carried in police vehicles or District armored vehicles, must be secured in locking gun racks. The shotgun shall be carried in the "cruiser-ready" configuration (magazine tube loaded to capacity with 12-gauge, double-ought (00) buckshot, hammer forward on an empty chamber, and safety on). Additional rounds of double-ought buckshot shall be carried in the side saddle ammunition carrier attached to the weapon. Personnel shall carry only department authorized ammunition. Authorized ammunition is Federal Premium 00 Buck. Use of the police shotgun in enforcement situations shall be guided by officer/revenue guard discretion when warranted by the seriousness and hazards of the situation confronting the officer/revenue protection guard.

Shotguns will be removed from vehicles whenever the vehicle will not be driven on the on-coming shift.

312.3.4 PATROL RIFLES

The authorized department-issued patrol rifles are the Colt 6920 .223 and Sig Sauer M400 chambered in .223 and/or 5.56 mm.

Members may deploy the patrol rifle in any circumstance where the member can articulate a reasonable expectation that the rifle may be needed. Examples of some general guidelines for deploying the patrol rifle may include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Situations where the member reasonably anticipates an armed encounter.
- (b) When a member is faced with a situation that may require accurate and effective fire at long range.
- (c) Situations where a member reasonably expects the need to meet or exceed a suspect's firepower.
- (d) When a member reasonably believes that there may be a need to fire on a barricaded person or a person with a hostage.
- (e) When a member reasonably believes that a suspect may be wearing body armor.
- (f) When authorized or requested by a supervisor.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

- (g) When needed to euthanize an animal.

When not deployed, the patrol rifle shall be properly secured consistent with department training in a locking weapons rack in the patrol vehicle.

312.3.5 AUTHORIZED OFF-DUTY FIREARMS

The carrying of firearms by members while off-duty is permitted by the Chief of Police but may be rescinded should circumstances dictate (e.g., administrative leave). Members who choose to carry a firearm while off-duty, based on their authority as peace officers, will be required to meet the following guidelines:

- (a) The member may use his/her duty firearm or may use a personally owned firearm that is carried and inspected in accordance with the Personally Owned Duty Firearms requirements in this policy. A member carrying his/her duty firearm will be deemed to have complied with (c), (d) and (e) of this section.
 1. The purchase of the personally owned firearm and ammunition shall be the responsibility of the member.
- (b) The firearm shall be carried concealed at all times and in such a manner as to prevent accidental unintentional cocking, discharge or loss of physical control.
- (c) It will be the responsibility of the member to submit the firearm to the Rangemaster for inspection prior to being personally carried. Thereafter the firearm shall be subject to periodic inspection by the Rangemaster.
- (d) Prior to carrying any off-duty firearm, the member shall demonstrate to the Rangemaster that he/she is proficient in handling and firing the firearm and that it will be carried in a safe manner.
- (e) The member will successfully qualify with the firearm prior to it being carried.
- (f) Members shall provide written notice of the make, model, color, serial number and caliber of the firearm to the Rangemaster, who will maintain a list of the information.
- (g) If a member desires to use more than one firearm while off-duty, he/she may do so, as long as all requirements set forth in this policy for each firearm are met.
- (h) Members shall only carry department-authorized ammunition.
- (i) When armed, officers shall carry their badges and Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department identification cards under circumstances requiring possession of such identification.

312.3.6 AMMUNITION

Members shall carry only department-authorized ammunition. Members shall be issued fresh duty ammunition in the specified quantity for all department-issued firearms during the member's firearms qualification. Replacements for unserviceable or depleted ammunition issued by the Department shall be dispensed by the Rangemaster when needed, in accordance with established policy.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

The department authorized handgun ammunition is 9mm Federal Premium HST 147 grain, .40 caliber Federal Premium HST 180 grain and .45 caliber Federal Premium HST 230 grain.

The only authorized ammunition for the 12 gauge shotgun is Federal Premium 00 Buck.

The only authorized ammunition for the AR15 platform is Federal Premium Hi-Shok SP 64 grain and Federal Premium Bonded SP 62 grain.

Members carrying personally owned authorized firearms of a caliber differing from department-issued firearms shall be responsible for obtaining fresh duty ammunition in accordance with the above, at their own expense.

312.3.7 SPECIAL WEAPONS AND TACTICS (SWAT) WEAPONS AND EQUIPMENT

Members assigned to SWAT may modify and utilize firearms, ammunitions, and accessories other than those listed in the previous sections of this policy manual with Team Commander and Department Rangemaster approval. Said weapons, modifications, and accessories may be utilized on patrol as well as in a SWAT capacity.

- SWAT members are allowed to utilize firearms chambered in .308.
 - Said members must successfully complete a semi-annual Department approved SWAT Sniper Qualification Course.
 - The department approved and issued .308 caliber ammunitions are the Ruag Swiss P Styx 167 grain, Tactical 164 grain, and Amour Piercing (AP) 196 grain.
- SWAT members are allowed to utilize weapons of various colors as long as it serves a tactical purpose as approved by the Department Rangemaster.
- Members who have been assigned to SWAT are authorized to utilize variable power optics, night vision devices (including visible and infrared lasers), thermal imaging devices, and suppressors other than the Sig Sauer SRD556.

312.4 EQUIPMENT

Firearms carried on- or off-duty shall be maintained in a clean, serviceable condition. Maintenance and repair of authorized personally owned firearms are the responsibility of the individual member.

312.4.1 REPAIRS OR MODIFICATIONS

Each member shall be responsible for promptly reporting any damage or malfunction of an assigned firearm to a supervisor or the Rangemaster.

Firearms that are the property of the Department or personally owned firearms that are approved for department use may be repaired or modified only by a person who is department-approved and certified as an armorer or gunsmith in the repair of the specific firearm. Such modification or repair must be authorized in advance by the Rangemaster.

Any repairs or modifications to the member's personally owned firearm shall be done at his/her expense and must be approved by the Rangemaster.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

312.4.2 HOLSTERS

Only department-approved holsters shall be used and worn by members. Members shall periodically inspect their holsters to make sure they are serviceable and provide the proper security and retention of the handgun.

312.4.3 TACTICAL WEAPON MOUNTED LIGHTS

Tactical lights may only be installed on a firearm carried on- or off-duty after they have been examined and approved by the Rangemaster. Once the approved tactical lights have been properly installed on any firearm, the member shall qualify with the firearm to ensure proper functionality and sighting of the firearm prior to carrying it.

Personnel may utilize weapon-mounted lights on their duty and off-duty firearms. Weapon-mounted lights are intended to be used for searching, threat assessment, and target acquisition of potentially dangerous persons. Weapon-mounted lights shall not be used as ordinary flashlights for routine lighting purposes.

Authorized brands include Blackhawk, Surefire, Streamlight, and any others approved by the Rangemaster.

Weapon-mounted lights are subject to the following requirements:

- (a) Personnel must demonstrate proficiency with the weapon-mounted light prior to carrying it in an on-duty capacity.
- (b) The weapon shall be carried with the light affixed to it. This will require a holster designed to accommodate the weapon and light combination.
- (c) Personnel equipped with a weapon-mounted light shall also have a handheld light readily available to them at all times while on-duty.

312.5 SAFE HANDLING, INSPECTION AND STORAGE

Members shall maintain the highest level of safety when handling firearms and shall consider the following:

- (a) Members shall not unnecessarily display or handle any firearm.
- (b) Members shall be governed by all rules and regulations pertaining to the use of the range and shall obey all orders issued by the Rangemaster. Members shall not dry fire or practice quick draws except as instructed by the Rangemaster or other firearms training staff.
- (c) Members shall not clean, repair, load or unload a firearm anywhere in the Department, except where clearing barrels are present.
- (d) Shotguns or rifles removed from vehicles or the equipment storage room shall be loaded and unloaded in the parking lot and outside of the vehicle, using clearing barrels.
- (e) Members shall not place or store any firearm, ammunition, or other weapon on department premises, or at any other location, except where the place of storage is locked. No one shall carry firearms into the jail section or any part thereof when

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

securing or processing an arrestee, but shall place all firearms in a secured location. Members providing access to the jail section to persons from outside agencies are responsible for ensuring firearms are not brought into the jail section.

- (f) Members shall not use any automatic firearm, heavy caliber rifle, gas or other type of chemical weapon or firearm from the armory, except with approval of a supervisor.
- (g) Any firearm authorized by the Department to be carried on- or off-duty that is determined by a member to be malfunctioning or in need of service or repair shall not be carried. It shall be promptly presented to the Department or a Rangemaster approved by the Department for inspection and repair. Any firearm deemed in need of repair or service by the Rangemaster will be immediately removed from service. If the firearm is the member's primary duty firearm, a replacement firearm will be issued to the member until the duty firearm is serviceable.

312.5.1 FIREARMS RANGE

The Department utilizes firearms ranges located throughout the Bay Area open to law enforcement use. Range training is held in order to improve and enhance public and officer safety. The annual training shall include marksmanship, weapon manipulation, qualifications, low light operation, mindset, officer safety, use of force, less lethal application, communication, stress inoculation, weapon transitions, active shooter, tactical first aid other tactical topics.

Weapons used during departmental range training may consist of departmental issued and/or authorized platforms: pistol, rifle, shotgun, LLIMS, and TASER. Targets used at department ranges will be approved by the Rangemaster

Range restrictions depend on the various rules of ranges used and will be determined by the range owner. All officers attending department training shall abide by the four basic firearms safety rules:

- (a) Consider all guns to be loaded unless positively confirmed otherwise.
- (b) Never let the muzzle cover anything you are not prepared to shoot.
- (c) Keep finger off trigger until sights are on the target and you have made a conscious decision to shoot.
- (d) Be sure of your target (positive I.D.) and what is beyond it.

Firearms Safety rules shall be reviewed at all department firearms training by range staff. Staff shall also identify the locations of the trauma and first aid kit with all attendees.

Most types of new factory ammunition for the above weapon platforms are approved for range use. The only exceptions are tracer rounds and armor piercing rounds (unless on SWAT). Caution should also be used when using metal targets. Instructors should ensure proper safety measures have been addressed (i.e. distance, safety glasses for students, target/shooter angle). Minimum range safety equipment shall include eye protection, ear protection, trauma kit, first aid kit, and cell phone for communication with 911.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

312.5.2 RANGE STAFF DUTIES

Members of the Range Staff will be assigned to assist the Rangemaster and/or Range Sergeants in conducting the established training program and will report directly to the Rangemaster or Range Sergeant. The range officers, under the direction of the Rangemaster or Range Sergeant, shall have authority to enforce rules and policies established by the Chief of Police.

At the range, the senior firearms instructor shall serve as the range supervisor if no range sergeant is present. It will be the responsibility of the range sergeant/supervisors to ensure range safety protocols are being followed.

All firearms instructors are required to attend basic firearms instructor school. Firearms instructor update training and Tactical Medicine Training is recommended every 5 years. Firearm Instructors may also serve as Department armorers. Armorers are required to re-certify every three years to maintain their armorer status.

312.5.3 INSPECTION AND STORAGE

All Department firearms will be inspected annually by the Rangemaster a qualified armorer, weapons instructor or designee.

Handguns shall be inspected regularly and upon access or possession by another person. Shotguns and rifles shall be inspected at the beginning of the shift by the member to whom the weapon is issued. The member shall ensure that the firearm is carried in the proper condition and loaded with approved ammunition. Inspection of the shotgun and rifle shall be done while standing outside of the patrol vehicle. All firearms shall be pointed in a safe direction or into clearing barrels.

Firearms may be safely stored in lockers at the end of the shift. Handguns may remain loaded if they are secured in an appropriate holster. Shotguns and rifles shall be unloaded in a safe manner outside the building and then stored.

If a firearm fails any field function test, it will be deemed unsafe and taken out of service. As soon as possible, notification should be made to the Rangemaster. A replacement firearm will be issued and a qualification (if applicable) be conducted as soon as possible. The unsafe firearm(s) will be stored unloaded in a police department safe as to not be re-issued until an inspection by the Rangemaster or designee. The firearm shall not be returned to service until inspected by the Rangemaster or designee.

312.5.4 INVENTORY

An accurate inventory of all department firearms shall be maintained by the Department Rangemaster and quartermaster. The data collection mechanisms include TMS (Training Management System), Armorerlink and Excel.

312.5.5 STORAGE AT HOME

Members shall ensure that all firearms and ammunition are locked and secured while in their homes, vehicles or any other area under their control, and in a manner that will keep them inaccessible to children and others who should not have access. Members shall not permit department-issued firearms to be handled by anyone not authorized by the Department to do so.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

Members should be aware that negligent storage of a firearm could result in civil and criminal liability (Penal Code § 25100).

312.5.6 STORAGE IN VEHICLES

When leaving a handgun in an unattended vehicle, members shall ensure that it is locked in the trunk, or in a locked container that is placed out of view, or in a locked container that is permanently affixed to the vehicle's interior and not in plain view, or in a locked toolbox or utility box permanently affixed to the vehicle (Penal Code § 16850; Penal Code § 25140; Penal Code § 25452).

If the vehicle does not have a trunk or a locked container, then the firearm should be locked within the center utility console that can be locked with a padlock, keylock, combination lock, or other similar locking device (Penal Code § 25140).

Officers are exempt from these requirements during circumstances requiring immediate aid or action in the course of official duties (Penal Code § 25140).

312.5.7 ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

Firearms shall not be carried by any member, either on- or off-duty, who has consumed an amount of an alcoholic beverage, taken any drugs or medication, or has taken any combination thereof that would tend to adversely affect the member's senses or judgment.

312.6 FIREARMS TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS

All members who carry a firearm while on-duty are required to successfully complete training semi-annually with their duty firearms. In addition to semi-annually training, all members will qualify at least annually with their duty firearms. Members will qualify with off-duty firearms at least once a year. Training and qualifications must be on an approved range course.

At least annually, all members carrying a firearm should receive practical training designed to simulate field situations including low-light shooting.

312.6.1 NON-CERTIFICATION OR NON-QUALIFICATION

If any member fails to meet minimum standards for firearms training or qualification for any reason, including injury, illness, duty status or scheduling conflict, that member shall submit a memorandum to his/her immediate supervisor prior to the end of the required training or qualification period.

Those who fail to meet minimum standards or qualify on their first shooting attempt shall be provided remedial training and will be subject to the following requirements:

- (a) Additional range assignments may be scheduled to assist the member in demonstrating consistent firearm proficiency.
- (b) Members shall be given credit for a range training or qualification when obtaining a qualifying score or meeting standards after remedial training.
- (c) No range credit will be given for the following:
 1. Unauthorized range make-up

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

2. Failure to meet minimum standards or qualify after remedial training

Members who repeatedly fail to meet minimum standards will be removed from field assignment and may be subject to disciplinary action.

312.6.2 FAILURE TO QUALIFY WITH DUTY HANDGUN

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the end of their scheduled shift or the conclusion of the training day (whichever comes first) shall be reassigned to a non-armed administrative position and prohibited from carrying a firearm off-duty. The Rangemaster or on-site Range Sergeant shall, as soon as practicable, notify the on-duty Watch Commander of the failure to qualify. Progressive discipline may be implemented at the level of a Letter of Discussion. The Rangemaster or designee shall arrange for a 4-hour remedial firearms training session as soon as practicable for the non-qualifying personnel

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the conclusion of the first remedial firearms training session, shall remain assigned to a non-armed administrative position, restricted from carrying a firearm off-duty and shall be scheduled to attend a second 4-hour remedial firearms training session. Personnel will progress to the next level in progressive discipline, not less than an oral counseling.

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the conclusion of the second remedial firearms training session, shall remain assigned to a non-armed administrative position, restricted from carrying a firearm off-duty, and a third 4-hour remedial firearms training session will be scheduled. Personnel will progress to the next level in the discipline system, not less than a written reprimand.

Personnel that are unable to qualify by the conclusion of the third remedial firearms training session, shall remain assigned to a non-armed administrative position and restricted from carrying a firearm off-duty. Another opportunity to qualify will be scheduled. Personnel will progress to the next level in progressive discipline, not less than a pay-step reduction. The member/employee should be examined by a District medical doctor to ensure no medical condition exists that prevents the employee from qualifying.

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the conclusion of their fourth remedial firearms training session, shall remain assigned to a non-armed administrative position, restricted from carrying a firearm off-duty, and subject to the next step in progressive discipline, suspension without pay.

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the conclusion of their fifth remedial firearms training session, shall remain assigned to a non-armed administrative position, restricted from carrying a firearm off-duty, and are subject to the fourth level of progressive discipline, demotion, or the final level of discipline, termination.

312.6.3 FAILURE TO QUALIFY WITH OFF-DUTY HANDGUN

Sworn personnel that fail to annually qualify with their secondary and/or off-duty handguns shall be prohibited from carrying those firearms until they successfully complete the required departmental qualification course.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

312.6.4 PATROL RIFLE QUALIFICATION

(applies to Patrol Rifle Operators only, refer to policy 432)

- Day Light and Low Light: annually

312.6.5 FAILURE TO QUALIFY WITH SHOTGUN OR RIFLE

Personnel who fail to attain the minimum passing qualification score with the shotgun or rifle shall be allowed a minimum of one additional opportunity to qualify during that training day. Those personnel that are still unable to qualify may receive additional firearms training that day if training time and a sufficient number of Range Staff are available. In situations involving personnel that are unable to qualify by the end of their scheduled shift or the conclusion of the training day (whichever comes first), the Rangemaster or on-site Range Sergeant shall, as soon as practicable, notify the employee's immediate supervisor or the on-duty Watch Commander of the failure to qualify.

Non-qualifying personnel shall not be authorized to deploy the shotgun or rifle in the performance of their duties until they are able to demonstrate acceptable proficiency and achieve a passing qualification score. Progressive discipline will be implemented at the level of a Letter of Discussion.

The Rangemaster or designee shall arrange for a 4-hour remedial shotgun or rifle training session as soon as practical for the non-qualifying personnel. Personnel that are unable to qualify by the conclusion of the first remedial firearms training session, shall not be authorized to deploy the shotgun or rifle in the performance of their duties, and a second 4-hour remedial shotgun or rifle training session will be scheduled. Progressive discipline will be administered in the same manner as described under the Failure to Qualify with Duty Handgun section.

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the conclusion of the second remedial firearms training session, shall not be authorized to deploy the shotgun or rifle in the performance of their duties, and a third 4-hour remedial shotgun or rifle training session will be scheduled. The member/employee should be examined by a District medical doctor to ensure no medical condition exists that prevents the employee from qualifying. Progressive discipline will move to the next step.

Personnel who are unable to qualify by the conclusion of the third remedial firearms training session, shall not be authorized to deploy the shotgun or rifle in the performance of their duties. The employee may, at the discretion of the Chief of Police, progress to the next level in progressive discipline.

312.7 REMEDIAL TRAINING

Any employee who handles a firearm in a grossly unsafe manner, demonstrates dangerous and/or extremely poor decision-making skills in the deployment of and/or application of any use of force-related task, in the field or in training, may be required to attend mandatory remedial training prior to returning to full-duty status.

If in the opinion of the supervisor/primary trainer at the site of the training, the employee's actions/decision-making is so unsafe that if they were returned to full duty, the employee may be a safety hazard to themselves, fellow employees, and/or the public; the supervisor/primary trainer shall recommend mandatory remedial training for the employee. The primary trainer shall contact the

Firearms

on-duty Watch Commander as soon as practicable and make the recommendation for mandatory remedial training.

If the request for mandatory remedial training is approved, the employee shall be removed from a firearm-carrying capacity and placed into a temporary administrative position until such time as that employee satisfactorily completes the mandatory remedial training.

Repeated failures to correct such deficiencies may result in implementation of progressive discipline.

Nothing in this policy precludes the department from requiring an employee to successfully complete remedial training to correct lesser safety violations and/or marginal tactical decision-making skills, without the employee first being removed from full-duty status.

312.8 FIREARM DISCHARGE

Except during training or recreational use, any member who discharges a firearm intentionally or unintentionally, on- or off-duty, shall make a verbal report to his/her supervisor as soon as circumstances permit. If the discharge results in injury or death to another person, additional statements and reports shall be made in accordance with the Officer-Involved Shootings and Deaths Policy. If a firearm was discharged as a use of force, the involved member shall adhere to the additional reporting requirements set forth in the Use of Force Policy.

In all other cases, written reports shall be made as follows:

- (a) If on-duty at the time of the incident, the member shall file a written report with his/her Division Commander or provide a recorded statement to investigators prior to the end of shift, unless otherwise directed.
- (b) If off-duty at the time of the incident, the member shall file a written report or provide a recorded statement no later than the end of the next regularly scheduled shift, unless otherwise directed by a supervisor.

312.8.1 DANGEROUS ANIMALS

Members are authorized to use firearms to stop an animal in circumstances where the animal reasonably appears to pose an imminent threat to human safety and alternative methods are not reasonably available or would likely be ineffective.

In circumstances where there is sufficient advance notice that a potentially dangerous animal may be encountered, department members should develop reasonable contingency plans for dealing with the animal (e.g., fire extinguisher, TASER® device, oleoresin capsicum (OC) spray, animal control officer). Nothing in this policy shall prohibit any member from shooting a dangerous animal if circumstances reasonably dictate that a contingency plan has failed or becomes impractical.

312.8.2 INJURED ANIMALS

With the approval of a supervisor, a member may euthanize an animal that is so badly injured that human compassion requires its removal from further suffering and where other dispositions are impractical.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

Stray or abandoned injured animals that may be moved or taken to an available veterinarian should not be euthanized. With supervisor approval, abandoned injured animals (with the exception of dogs and cats) may only be euthanized after a reasonable search to locate the owner has been made. Injured dogs and cats found without their owners shall be taken to an appropriate veterinarian for determination of whether they should be treated or humanely destroyed (Penal Code § 597.1).

312.8.3 WARNING AND OTHER SHOTS

Generally, warning shots or shots fired for the purpose of summoning aid are discouraged. Warning shots are only allowed when lethal force is justifiable.

312.9 RANGEMASTER DUTIES

The rangemaster falls under the Personnel and Training Division and reports to the Personnel and Training Division Lieutenant.

The range will be under the exclusive control of the Rangemaster. All members attending will follow the directions of the Rangemaster. The Rangemaster will maintain a roster of all members attending the range and will submit the roster to the Personnel and Training Lieutenant after each range date. Failure of any member to sign in and out with the Rangemaster may result in non-qualification.

The range shall remain operational and accessible to Department members during hours established by the Department.

The Rangemaster has the responsibility of making periodic inspection, at least once a year, of all duty firearms carried by members of this department to verify proper operation. The Rangemaster has the authority to deem any department-issued or personally owned firearm unfit for service. The member will be responsible for all repairs to his/her personally owned firearm and it will not be returned to service until inspected by the Rangemaster.

The Rangemaster has the responsibility for ensuring each member meets the minimum requirements during training shoots and, on at least a yearly basis, can demonstrate proficiency in the care, cleaning and safety of all firearms the member is authorized to carry. At a minimum, the Rangemaster shall conduct a semiannual inventory of all firearms under the Department's control.

The Rangemaster shall complete and submit to the Personnel and Training Lieutenant documentation of the training courses provided. Documentation shall include the qualifications of each instructor who provides the training, a description of the training provided and, on a form that has been approved by the Department, a list of each member who completes the training. The Rangemaster should keep accurate records of all training shoots, qualifications, repairs, maintenance or other records as directed by the Personnel and Training Lieutenant.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Firearms

312.10 ALCOHOL & DRUGS

Firearms shall not be carried by any personnel who have consumed an amount of an alcoholic beverage or taken any drugs that would tend to adversely affect the employee's senses or judgment.

312.11 RETIREES

The Office of the Chief shall issue honorably retired sworn personnel an identification card with a CCW endorsement, see Policy Manual § 220.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:17:42

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
Lexipol 314 - Current	Lexipol 314 - Old
10 pages (32 KB)	10 pages (292 KB)
6/3/2020 07:17:40	6/3/2020 07:17:40

Used to display results.


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Vehicle Pursuits

314.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Vehicle pursuits expose innocent citizens, law enforcement officers and fleeing violators to the risk of serious injury or death. The primary purpose of this policy is to provide officers with guidance in balancing the safety of the public and themselves against law enforcement's duty to apprehend violators of the law. Another purpose of this policy is to reduce the potential for pursuit-related collisions. Vehicular pursuits require officers to exhibit a high degree of common sense and sound judgment. Officers must not forget that the immediate apprehension of a suspect is generally not more important than the safety of the public and pursuing officers.

Deciding whether to pursue a motor vehicle is a critical decision that must be made quickly and under difficult and unpredictable circumstances. In recognizing the potential risk to public safety created by vehicular pursuits, no officer or supervisor shall be criticized or disciplined for deciding not to engage in a vehicular pursuit because of the risk involved. This includes circumstances where department policy would permit the initiation or continuation of the pursuit. It is recognized that vehicular pursuits are not always predictable and decisions made pursuant to this policy will be evaluated according to the totality of the circumstances reasonably available at the time of the pursuit.

Officers must remember that the most important factors to the successful conclusion of a pursuit are proper self-discipline and sound professional judgment. Officer's conduct during the course of a pursuit must be objectively reasonable; that is, what a reasonable officer would do under the circumstances. An unreasonable individual's desire to apprehend a fleeing suspect at all costs has no place in professional law enforcement.

314.1.1 VEHICLE PURSUIT DEFINED

A vehicle pursuit is an event involving one or more law enforcement officers attempting to apprehend a suspect, who is attempting to avoid arrest while operating a motor vehicle by using high-speed driving or other evasive tactics, such as driving off a highway, turning suddenly, or driving in a legal manner but willfully failing to yield to an officer's signal to stop.

314.2 OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES

It shall be the policy of this department that a vehicle pursuit shall be conducted only with red light and siren as required by Vehicle Code § 21055 for exemption from compliance with the rules of the road. The following policy is established to provide officers with guidelines for driving with due regard and caution for the safety of all persons using the highway as required by Vehicle Code § 21056.

314.2.1 WHEN TO INITIATE A PURSUIT

Officers are authorized to initiate a pursuit when it is reasonable to believe that a suspect is attempting to evade arrest or detention by fleeing in a vehicle.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

The following factors individually and collectively shall be considered in deciding whether to initiate a pursuit:

- (a) Seriousness of the known or reasonably suspected crime and its relationship to community safety.
- (b) The importance of protecting the public and balancing the known or reasonably suspected offense and the apparent need for immediate capture against the risks to officers, innocent motorists and others.
- (c) Apparent nature of the fleeing suspects (e.g., whether the suspects represent a serious threat to public safety).
- (d) The identity of the suspects has been verified and there is comparatively minimal risk in allowing the suspects to be apprehended at a later time.
- (e) Safety of the public in the area of the pursuit, including the type of area, time of day, the amount of vehicular and pedestrian traffic and the speed of the pursuit relative to these factors.
- (f) Pursuing officers familiarity with the area of the pursuit, the quality of radio communications between the pursuing units and the dispatcher/supervisor and the driving capabilities of the pursuing officers under the conditions of the pursuit.
- (g) Weather, traffic and road conditions that substantially increase the danger of the pursuit beyond the worth of apprehending the suspect.
- (h) Performance capabilities of the vehicles used in the pursuit in relation to the speeds and other conditions of the pursuit.
- (i) Vehicle speeds.
- (j) Other persons in or on the pursued vehicle (e.g., passengers, co-offenders and hostages).
- (k) Availability of other resources such as helicopter assistance.
- (l) The police unit is carrying passengers other than police officers. Pursuits should not be undertaken with a prisoner in the police vehicle.

314.2.2 WHEN TO TERMINATE A PURSUIT

Pursuits should be discontinued whenever the totality of objective circumstances known or which reasonably ought to be known to the officer or supervisor during the pursuit indicates that the present risks of continuing the pursuit reasonably appear to outweigh the risks resulting from the suspect's escape.

The factors listed in When to Initiate a Pursuit of this policy are expressly included herein and will apply equally to the decision to discontinue as well as the decision to initiate a pursuit. Officers and supervisors must objectively and continuously weigh the seriousness of the offense against the potential danger to innocent motorists and themselves when electing to continue a pursuit. In

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

the context of this policy, the term “terminate” shall be construed to mean discontinue or to stop chasing the fleeing vehicle.

In addition to the factors listed in When to Initiate a Pursuit of this policy, the following factors should also be considered in deciding whether to terminate a pursuit:

- (a) Distance between the pursuing officers and the fleeing vehicle is so great that further pursuit would be futile or require the pursuit to continue for an unreasonable time and/or distance.
- (b) Pursued vehicle’s location is no longer definitely known.
- (c) Officer’s pursuit vehicle sustains any type of damage that renders it unsafe to drive.
- (d) Extended pursuits of violators for misdemeanors not involving violence or risk of serious harm (independent of the pursuit) are discouraged.
- (e) There are hazards to uninvolved bystanders or motorists.
- (f) If the identity of the offender is known and it does not reasonably appear that the need for immediate capture outweighs the risks associated with continuing the pursuit, officers should strongly consider discontinuing the pursuit and apprehending the offender at a later time.
- (g) Pursuit is terminated by a supervisor.

314.2.3 SPEED LIMITS

The speed of a pursuit is a factor that should be evaluated on a continuing basis by the officer and supervisor. Evaluation of vehicle speeds shall take into consideration public safety, officer safety and the safety of the occupants of the fleeing vehicle.

Should high vehicle speeds be reached during a pursuit, officers and supervisors shall also consider these factors when determining the reasonableness of the speed of the pursuit:

- (a) Pursuit speeds have become unreasonably unsafe for the surrounding conditions.
- (b) Pursuit speeds have exceeded the driving ability of the officer.
- (c) Pursuit speeds are beyond the capabilities of the pursuit vehicle thus making its operation unsafe.

314.2.4 TERMINATING A PURSUIT

The primary officer, monitoring supervisor, or watch commander may terminate a vehicle pursuit at anytime. The primary officer shall terminate a pursuit when any of the criteria for terminating a pursuit, listed in this policy, have been met, or when directed by a supervisor.

Pursuits should be discontinued whenever the totality of objective circumstances known or which reasonably ought to be known to the officer or supervisor during the pursuit indicates that the present risks (i.e., the immediate danger to bystanders, other motorists, or involved officers) of

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

continuing the pursuit reasonably appear to be greater than the potential danger resulting from the suspect(s) remaining at large.

The factors listed in this policy are expressly included herein and will apply equally to the decision to discontinue as well as the decision to initiate a pursuit. Officers and supervisors must objectively and continuously weigh the seriousness of the offense against the potential danger to innocent motorists and themselves when electing to continue a pursuit. In the context of this policy, the term "terminate" shall be construed to mean discontinue or to stop chasing the fleeing vehicle(s).

In addition to the factors listed in this policy the following factors should also be considered in deciding whether to terminate a pursuit:

- Distance between the pursuing officers and the fleeing vehicle(s) is so great that further pursuit would be futile or require the pursuit to continue for an unreasonable time and/or distance
- Pursued vehicle's location is no longer definitely known
- Officer's pursuit vehicle sustains any type of damage that renders it unsafe to drive
- The suspect can be identified to the point where later apprehension will likely be accomplished, and the pursuing officers reasonably believe that the public and/or victim are not placed in greater danger by the suspect remaining at large

314.2.5 PROTOCOL FOR TERMINATING A PURSUIT

Whenever a pursuit is terminated, the termination shall be broadcast over the police radio. All officers involved in the pursuit shall acknowledge the broadcast and immediately deactivate all emergency equipment, while returning to normal driving, unless otherwise advised by the monitoring supervisor or Watch Commander.

314.2.6 PROTOCOL AT PURSUIT TERMINATION POINT

When a pursuit ends, the primary officer is responsible for notification to the Communications Center so that other responding units can shut down their emergency equipment if necessary.

When the pursued vehicle is lost, the primary unit should broadcast pertinent information to assist other units in locating suspects. The primary unit will be responsible for coordinating any further search for the pursued vehicle.

If the pursuit ends because the suspect vehicle stops, the primary officer is responsible for coordinating the response of other units until relieved by a supervisor. Coordinating the response of other units entails giving updates as to the status of the suspect(s), direction of flight if fleeing on foot, whether or not other units need to continue Code-3, and to broadcast any assignments and/or resources that are needed.

The monitoring supervisor shall proceed directly to the termination point of any pursuit to confer with the primary officer and provide supervision/coordination at the scene.

Vehicle Pursuits

314.3 PURSUIT UNITS

Pursuit units should be limited to three vehicles (two units and a supervisor); however, the number of units involved will vary with the circumstances. An officer or supervisor may request additional units to join a pursuit if, after assessing the factors outlined above, it appears that the number of officers involved would be insufficient to safely arrest the suspects. All other officers should stay out of the pursuit, but should remain alert to its progress and location. Any officer who drops out of a pursuit may then, if necessary, proceed to the termination point at legal speeds, following the appropriate rules of the road.

314.3.1 SECONDARY UNIT RESPONSIBILITIES

The secondary officer(s) in the pursuit is responsible for the following:

- (a) Immediately notify the dispatcher of entry into the pursuit
- (b) Remain a safe distance behind the primary unit unless directed to assume the role of primary officer, or if the primary unit is unable to continue the pursuit
- (c) Broadcasting the progress of the pursuit unless the situation indicates otherwise

314.3.2 PRIMARY UNIT RESPONSIBILITIES

The initial pursuing unit will be designated as the primary pursuit unit and will be responsible for the conduct of the pursuit unless it is unable to remain reasonably close enough to the violator's vehicle. The primary responsibility of the officer initiating the pursuit is the apprehension of the suspects without unreasonable danger to him/herself or other persons.

Notify Integrated Security Response Center that a vehicle pursuit has been initiated and as soon as practicable provide information including, but not limited to:

- (a) Reason for the pursuit.
- (b) Location and direction of travel.
- (c) Speed of the fleeing vehicle.
- (d) Description of the fleeing vehicle and license number, if known.
- (e) Number of known occupants.
- (f) The identity or description of the known occupants.
- (g) Information concerning the use of firearms, threat of force, injuries, hostages or other unusual hazards.

Unless relieved by a supervisor or secondary unit, the officer in the primary unit shall be responsible for the broadcasting of the progress of the pursuit. Unless practical circumstances indicate otherwise, and in order to concentrate on pursuit driving, the primary officer should relinquish the responsibility of broadcasting the progress of the pursuit to a secondary unit or aircraft joining the pursuit.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

314.3.3 SECONDARY UNITS RESPONSIBILITIES

The second officer in the pursuit is responsible for the following:

- (a) The officer in the secondary unit should immediately notify the dispatcher of entry into the pursuit.
- (b) Remain a safe distance behind the primary unit unless directed to assume the role of primary officer, or if the primary unit is unable to continue the pursuit.
- (c) The secondary officer should be responsible for broadcasting the progress of the pursuit unless the situation indicates otherwise.

314.3.4 PURSUIT DRIVING TACTICS

The decision to use specific driving tactics requires the same assessment of considerations outlined in the factors to be considered concerning pursuit initiation and termination. The following are tactics for units involved in the pursuit:

- (a) Officers, considering their driving skills and vehicle performance capabilities, will space themselves from other involved vehicles such that they are able to see and avoid hazards or react safely to maneuvers by the fleeing vehicle.
- (b) Because intersections can present increased risks, the following tactics should be considered:
 - 1. Available units not directly involved in the pursuit may proceed safely to controlled intersections ahead of the pursuit in an effort to warn cross traffic.
 - 2. Pursuing units should exercise due caution when proceeding through controlled intersections.
- (c) As a general rule, officers should not pursue a vehicle driving left of center (wrong way) on a freeway. In the event that the pursued vehicle does so, the following tactics should be considered:
 - 1. Requesting assistance from an air unit.
 - 2. Maintaining visual contact with the pursued vehicle by paralleling it on the correct side of the roadway.
 - 3. Requesting other units to observe exits available to the suspects.
- (d) Notifying the California Highway Patrol (CHP) and/or other jurisdictional agency if it appears that the pursuit may enter their jurisdiction.
- (e) Officers involved in a pursuit should not attempt to pass other units unless the situation indicates otherwise or they are requested to do so by the primary unit.

314.4 SUPERVISORY CONTROL AND RESPONSIBILITY

It is the policy of this department that available supervisory and management control will be exercised over all vehicle pursuits involving officers from this department.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

The field supervisor of the officer initiating the pursuit, or if unavailable, the nearest field supervisor will be responsible for the following:

- (a) Upon becoming aware of a pursuit, immediately ascertaining all reasonably available information to continuously assess the situation and risk factors associated with the pursuit in order to ensure that the pursuit is conducted within established department guidelines.
- (b) Engaging in the pursuit, when appropriate, to provide on-scene supervision.
- (c) Exercising management and control of the pursuit even if not engaged in it.
- (d) Ensuring that no more than the number of required police units needed are involved in the pursuit under the guidelines set forth in this policy.
- (e) Directing that the pursuit be terminated if, in his/her judgment, it is unjustified to continue the pursuit under the guidelines of this policy.
- (f) Ensuring that aircraft are requested if available.
- (g) Ensuring that the proper radio channel is being used.
- (h) Ensuring the notification and/or coordination of outside agencies if the pursuit either leaves or is likely to leave the jurisdiction of this agency.
- (i) Controlling and managing BART PD units when a pursuit enters another jurisdiction.
- (j) Preparing post-pursuit critique and analysis of the pursuit for training purposes.

314.4.1 WATCH COMMANDER RESPONSIBILITY

Upon becoming aware that a pursuit has been initiated, the Watch Commander should monitor and continually assess the situation and ensure the pursuit is conducted within the guidelines and requirements of this policy. The Watch Commander has the final responsibility for the coordination, control and termination of a vehicle pursuit and shall be in overall command.

The Watch Commander shall review all pertinent reports for content and forward to the Division Commander.

314.5 COMMUNICATIONS

If the pursuit is confined within the District limits, radio communications will be conducted on the primary channel unless instructed otherwise by a supervisor or communications dispatcher. If the pursuit leaves the jurisdiction of this department or such is imminent, involved units should, whenever available, switch radio communications to an emergency channel most accessible by participating agencies and units.

314.5.1 COMMUNICATION CENTER RESPONSIBILITIES

Upon notification that a pursuit has been initiated, Integrated Security Response Center will:

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

- (a) Coordinate pursuit communications of the involved units and personnel.
- (b) Notify and coordinate with other involved or affected agencies as practicable.
- (c) Ensure that a field supervisor is notified of the pursuit.
- (d) Assign an incident number and log all pursuit activities.
- (e) Broadcast pursuit updates as well as other pertinent information as necessary.
- (f) Notify the Watch Commander as soon as practicable.

314.6 INTER-JURISDICTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

When a pursuit enters another agency's jurisdiction, the primary officer or supervisor, taking into consideration distance traveled, unfamiliarity with the area and other pertinent facts, should determine whether to request the other agency to assume the pursuit. Unless entry into another jurisdiction is expected to be brief, it is generally recommended that the primary officer or supervisor ensure that notification is provided to each outside jurisdiction into which the pursuit is reasonably expected to enter, regardless of whether such jurisdiction is expected to assist.

314.6.1 ASSUMPTION OF PURSUIT BY ANOTHER AGENCY

Units originally involved will discontinue the pursuit when advised that another agency has assumed the pursuit and assistance of the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department is no longer needed. Upon discontinuing the pursuit, the primary unit may proceed upon request, with or at the direction of a supervisor, to the termination point to assist in the investigation.

The role and responsibilities of officers at the termination of a pursuit initiated by this department shall be coordinated with appropriate consideration of the units from the agency assuming the pursuit.

Notification of a pursuit in progress should not be construed as a request to join the pursuit. Requests to or from another agency to assume a pursuit should be specific. Because of communication limitations between local agencies and CHP units, a request for CHP assistance will mean that they will assume responsibilities for the pursuit. For the same reasons, when a pursuit leaves the freeway and a request for assistance is made to this department, the CHP should relinquish control.

314.6.2 PURSUITS EXTENDING INTO THIS JURISDICTION

The agency that initiates a pursuit shall be responsible for conducting the pursuit. Units from this department should not join a pursuit unless specifically requested to do so by the agency whose officers are in pursuit. The exception to this is when a single unit from the initiating agency is in pursuit. Under this circumstance, a unit from this department may join the pursuit until sufficient units from the initiating agency join the pursuit.

When a request is made for this department to assist or take over a pursuit from another agency that has entered this jurisdiction, the supervisor should consider these additional following factors:

Vehicle Pursuits

- (a) Ability to maintain the pursuit
- (b) Circumstances serious enough to continue the pursuit
- (c) Adequate staffing to continue the pursuit
- (d) The public's safety within this jurisdiction
- (e) Safety of the pursuing officers

As soon as practicable, a supervisor or the Watch Commander should review a request for assistance from another agency. The Watch Commander or supervisor, after consideration of the above factors, may decline to assist in, or assume the other agency's pursuit.

Assistance to a pursuing allied agency by officers of this department will terminate at the District limits provided that the pursuing officers have sufficient assistance from other sources. Ongoing participation from this department may continue only until sufficient assistance is present.

In the event that a pursuit from another agency terminates within this jurisdiction, officers shall provide appropriate assistance to officers from the allied agency including, but not limited to, scene control, coordination and completion of supplemental reports and any other assistance requested or needed.

314.7 PURSUIT INTERVENTION

Pursuit intervention is an attempt to terminate the ability of a suspect to continue to flee in a motor vehicle through tactical application of technology, road spikes, blocking, boxing, PIT (Pursuit Intervention Technique), ramming or roadblock procedures. In this context, ramming shall be construed to mean maneuvering the police unit into contact with the pursued vehicle to mechanically disable or forcibly position it such that further flight is not possible or practicable. Pursuit interventions are not authorized by this department.

314.7.1 USE OF FIREARMS

The use of firearms to disable a pursued vehicle is not generally an effective tactic and involves all the dangers associated with discharging firearms. Officers should not utilize firearms during an ongoing pursuit unless the conditions and circumstances dictate that such use reasonably appears necessary to protect life. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit any officer from using a firearm to stop a suspect from using a vehicle as a deadly weapon.

314.7.2 APPREHENSION OF SUSPECTS

Proper self-discipline and sound professional judgment are the keys to a successful conclusion of a pursuit and apprehension of evading suspects. Officers shall use only that amount of force, which reasonably appears necessary under the circumstances, to properly perform their lawful duties.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Vehicle Pursuits

Unless relieved by a supervisor the primary officer should coordinate efforts to apprehend the suspect(s) following the pursuit. Officers should consider safety of the public and the involved officers when formulating plans to contain and capture the suspect.

314.8 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following reports should be completed upon conclusion of all pursuits:

- (a) The primary officer should complete appropriate crime/arrest reports.
- (b) The zone sergeant, or designated supervisor, shall ensure that an Allied Agency Vehicle Pursuit Report (form CHP 187A) is filed with the CHP not later than 30 days following the pursuit (Vehicle Code § 14602.1).
- (c) The zone sergeant, or designated supervisor, is responsible for completing **a Pursuit Incident in BlueTeam.**

314.8.1 REGULAR AND PERIODIC PURSUIT TRAINING

In addition to initial and supplementary Police Officer Standard Training (POST) training on pursuits required by Penal Code § 13519.8, all sworn members of this department will participate no less than annually in regular and periodic department training addressing this policy and the importance of vehicle safety and protecting the public at all times, including a recognition of the need to balance the known offense and the need for immediate capture against the risks to officers and others (Vehicle Code § 17004.7(d)).

314.8.2 POLICY REVIEW

Each sworn member of this department shall certify in writing that they have received, read and understand this policy initially and upon any amendments. The POST attestation form, or an equivalent form, may be used to document the compliance and should be retained in the member's training file.

314.9 APPLICATION OF VEHICLE PURSUIT POLICY

This policy is expressly written and adopted pursuant to the provisions of Vehicle Code § 17004.7, with additional input from the POST Vehicle Pursuit Guidelines.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:17:05

Differences exist between documents.

New Document: Lexipol 332 - Current 6 pages (21 KB) 6/3/2020 07:17:04	Old Document: Lexipol 332 - Old 6 pages (281 KB) 6/3/2020 07:17:04
---	--

Used to display results.


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Missing Persons

332.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidance for handling missing person investigations.

332.1.1 DEFINITIONS

At risk - Includes, but is not limited to (Penal Code § 14215):

- A victim of a crime or foul play.
- A person missing and in need of medical attention.
- A missing person with no pattern of running away or disappearing.
- A missing person who may be the victim of parental abduction.
- A mentally impaired missing person, including cognitively impaired or developmentally disabled.

Missing person - Any person who is reported missing to law enforcement when the person's location is unknown. This includes a child who has been taken, detained, concealed, enticed away or kept by a parent in violation of the law (Penal Code § 277 et seq.). It also includes any child who is missing voluntarily, involuntarily or under circumstances that do not conform to his/her ordinary habits or behavior, and who may be in need of assistance (Penal Code § 14215).

Missing person networks - Databases or computer networks available to law enforcement and that are suitable for information related to missing persons investigations. These include the National Crime Information Center (NCIC), the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS), Missing Person System (MPS) and the Unidentified Persons System (UPS).

332.2 POLICY

The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department does not consider any report of a missing person to be routine and assumes that the missing person is in need of immediate assistance until an investigation reveals otherwise. The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department gives missing person cases priority over property-related cases and will not require any time frame to pass before beginning a missing person investigation (Penal Code § 14211).

332.3 REQUIRED FORMS AND BIOLOGICAL SAMPLE COLLECTION KITS

The Criminal Investigations supervisor should ensure the forms and kits are developed and available in accordance with this policy, state law, federal law and the California Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) Missing Persons Investigations guidelines, including:

- Department report form for use in missing person cases
- Missing person investigation checklist that provides investigation guidelines and resources that could be helpful in the early hours of a missing person investigation (Penal Code § 13519.07)

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Missing Persons

- Missing person school notification form
- Medical records release form from the California Department of Justice
- California DOJ missing person forms as appropriate
- Biological sample collection kits

332.4 ACCEPTANCE OF REPORTS

Any member encountering a person who wishes to report a missing person or runaway shall render assistance without delay (Penal Code § 14211). This can be accomplished by accepting the report via telephone or in-person and initiating the investigation. Those members who do not take such reports or who are unable to render immediate assistance shall promptly dispatch or alert a member who can take the report.

A report shall be accepted in all cases and regardless of where the person was last seen, where the person resides or any other question of jurisdiction (Penal Code § 14211).

332.5 INITIAL INVESTIGATION

Officers or other members conducting the initial investigation of a missing person should take the following investigative actions, as applicable:

- (a) Respond to a dispatched call for service as soon as practicable.
- (b) Interview the reporting party and any witnesses to determine whether the person qualifies as a missing person and, if so, whether the person may be at risk.
- (c) Notify a supervisor immediately if there is evidence that a missing person is either at risk or may qualify for a public alert, or both (see the Public Alerts Policy).
- (d) Broadcast a "Be on the Look-Out" (BOLO) bulletin if the person is under 21 years of age or there is evidence that the missing person is at risk. The BOLO should be broadcast as soon as practicable but in no event more than one hour after determining the missing person is under 21 years of age or may be at risk (Penal Code § 14211).
- (e) Ensure that entries are made into the appropriate missing person networks as follows:
 1. Immediately, when the missing person is at risk.
 2. In all other cases, as soon as practicable, but not later than two hours from the time of the initial report.
- (f) Complete the appropriate report forms accurately and completely and initiate a search as applicable under the facts.
- (g) Collect and/or review:
 1. A photograph and a fingerprint card of the missing person, if available.
 2. A voluntarily provided biological sample of the missing person, if available (e.g., toothbrush, hairbrush).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Missing Persons

3. Any documents that may assist in the investigation, such as court orders regarding custody.
 4. Any other evidence that may assist in the investigation, including personal electronic devices (e.g., cell phones, computers).
- (h) When circumstances permit and if appropriate, attempt to determine the missing person's location through his/her telecommunications carrier.
- (i) Contact the appropriate agency if the report relates to a previously made missing person report and another agency is actively investigating that report. When this is not practical, the information should be documented in an appropriate report for transmission to the appropriate agency. If the information relates to an at-risk missing person, the member should notify a supervisor and proceed with reasonable steps to locate the missing person.

332.6 REPORT PROCEDURES AND ROUTING

Employees should complete all missing person reports and forms promptly and advise the appropriate supervisor as soon as a missing person report is ready for review.

332.6.1 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

The responsibilities of the supervisor shall include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Reviewing and approving missing person reports upon receipt.
 1. The reports should be promptly sent to the Records Division.
- (b) Ensuring resources are deployed as appropriate.
- (c) Initiating a command post as needed.
- (d) Ensuring applicable notifications and public alerts are made and documented.
- (e) Ensuring that records have been entered into the appropriate missing persons networks.
- (f) Taking reasonable steps to identify and address any jurisdictional issues to ensure cooperation among agencies.

If the case falls within the jurisdiction of another agency, the supervisor should facilitate transfer of the case to the agency of jurisdiction.

332.6.2 RECORDS DIVISION RESPONSIBILITIES

The receiving member shall:

- (a) As soon as reasonable under the circumstances, notify and forward a copy of the report to the law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the missing person's residence in cases where the missing person is a resident of another jurisdiction (Penal Code § 14211).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Missing Persons

- (b) Notify and forward a copy of the report to the law enforcement agency in whose jurisdiction the missing person was last seen (Penal Code § 14211).
- (c) Notify and forward a copy of the report to the law enforcement agency having jurisdiction over the missing person's intended or possible destination, if known.
- (d) Forward a copy of the report to the Criminal Investigations Division.
- (e) Coordinate with the NCIC Terminal Contractor for California to have the missing person record in the NCIC computer networks updated with additional information obtained from missing person investigations (34 USC § 41308).

332.7 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION FOLLOW-UP

In addition to completing or continuing any actions listed above, the investigator assigned to a missing person investigation:

- (a) Shall ensure that the missing person's school is notified within 10 days if the missing person is a juvenile.
 - 1. The notice shall be in writing and should also include a photograph (Education Code § 49068.6).
 - 2. The investigator should meet with school officials regarding the notice as appropriate to stress the importance of including the notice in the child's student file, along with contact information if the school receives a call requesting the transfer of the missing child's files to another school.
- (b) Should recontact the reporting person and/or other witnesses within 30 days of the initial report and within 30 days thereafter to determine if any additional information has become available via the reporting party.
- (c) Should consider contacting other agencies involved in the case to determine if any additional information is available.
- (d) Shall verify and update CLETS, **NCIC**, and any other applicable missing person networks within 30 days of the original entry into the networks and every 30 days thereafter until the missing person is located (34 USC § 41308).
- (e) Should continue to make reasonable efforts to locate the missing person and document these efforts at least every 30 days.
- (f) Shall maintain a close liaison with state and local child welfare systems and the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children® (NCMEC) if the missing person is under the age of 21 and shall promptly notify NCMEC when the person is missing from a foster care family home or childcare institution (34 USC § 41308).
- (g) Should make appropriate inquiry with the Coroner.
- (h) Should obtain and forward medical and dental records, photos, **X-rays**, and biological samples pursuant to Penal Code § 14212 and Penal Code § 14250.
- (i) Shall attempt to obtain the most recent photograph for persons under 18 years of age if it has not previously been obtained and forward the photograph to California DOJ (Penal Code § 14210) and enter the photograph into applicable missing person networks (34 USC § 41308).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Missing Persons

- (j) Should consider making appropriate entries and searches in the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs).
- (k) In the case of an at-risk missing person or a person who has been missing for an extended time, should consult with a supervisor regarding seeking federal assistance from the FBI and the U.S. Marshals Service (28 USC § 566).

332.8 WHEN A MISSING PERSON IS FOUND

When any person reported missing is found, the assigned investigator shall document the location of the missing person in the appropriate report, notify the relatives and/or reporting party, as appropriate, and other involved agencies and refer the case for additional investigation if warranted.

The Records Supervisor shall ensure that, upon receipt of information that a missing person has been located, the following occurs (Penal Code § 14213):

- (a) Notification is made to California DOJ.
- (b) The missing person's school is notified.
- (c) Entries are made in the applicable missing person networks.
- (d) Immediately notify the Attorney General's Office.
- (e) Notification shall be made to any other law enforcement agency that took the initial report or participated in the investigation within 24 hours.

332.8.1 UNIDENTIFIED PERSONS

Department members investigating a case of an unidentified person who is deceased or a living person who cannot assist in identifying him/herself should:

- (a) Obtain a complete description of the person.
- (b) Enter the unidentified person's description into the NCIC Unidentified Person File.
- (c) Use available resources, such as those related to missing persons, to identify the person.

332.9 CASE CLOSURE

The Criminal Investigations Division supervisor may authorize the closure of a missing person case after considering the following:

- (a) Closure is appropriate when the missing person is confirmed returned or evidence has matched an unidentified person or body.
- (b) If the missing person is reported to this department, the case should be kept under active investigation for as long as the person may still be alive. Exhaustion of leads in the investigation should not be a reason for closing a case.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Missing Persons

- (c) If this department is not the lead agency, the case can be made inactive if all investigative leads have been exhausted, the lead agency has been notified and entries are made in the applicable missing person networks as appropriate.
- (d) A missing person case should not be closed or reclassified because the person would have reached a certain age or adulthood or because the person is now the subject of a criminal or civil warrant.

332.10 TRAINING

Subject to available resources, the Personnel and Training Lieutenant should ensure that members of this department whose duties include missing person investigations and reports receive regular training that includes:

- (a) The initial investigation:
 - 1. Assessments and interviews
 - 2. Use of current resources, such as Mobile Audio Video (MAV)
 - 3. Confirming missing status and custody status of minors
 - 4. Evaluating the need for a heightened response
 - 5. Identifying the zone of safety based on chronological age and developmental stage
- (b) Briefing of department members at the scene.
- (c) Identifying NCIC Missing Person File categories (e.g., disability, endangered, involuntary, juvenile and catastrophe).
- (d) Verifying the accuracy of all descriptive information.
- (e) Initiating a neighborhood investigation.
- (f) Investigating any relevant recent family dynamics.
- (g) Addressing conflicting information.
- (h) Key investigative and coordination steps.
- (i) Managing a missing person case.
- (j) Additional resources and specialized services.
- (k) Update procedures for case information and descriptions.
- (l) Preserving scenes.
- (m) Internet and technology issues (e.g., Internet use, cell phone use).
- (n) Media relations.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:16:32

Differences exist between documents.

New Document: Lexipol 334 - Current 5 pages (18 KB) 6/3/2020 07:16:31	Old Document: Lexipol 334 - Old 5 pages (277 KB) 6/3/2020 07:16:31
---	--

Used to display results.


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Public Alerts

334.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this policy is to provide guidelines for alerting the public to important information and soliciting public aid when appropriate.

334.2 POLICY

Public alerts may be employed using the Emergency Alert System (EAS), local radio, television and press organizations and other groups to notify the public of incidents, or enlist the aid of the public, when the exchange of information may enhance the safety of the community. Various types of alerts may be available based upon each situation and the alert system's individual criteria.

334.3 RESPONSIBILITIES

334.3.1 EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBILITIES

Employees of the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department should notify their supervisor, Watch Commander or Criminal Investigations Division Supervisor as soon as practicable upon learning of a situation where public notification, a warning or enlisting the help of the media and public could assist in locating a missing person, apprehending a dangerous person or gathering information.

334.3.2 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

A supervisor apprised of the need for a public alert is responsible to make the appropriate notifications based upon the circumstances of each situation. The supervisor shall promptly notify the Chief of Police, the appropriate Division Commander and the Media Relations when any public alert is generated.

The supervisor in charge of the investigation to which the alert relates is responsible for the following:

- (a) Updating alerts
- (b) Canceling alerts
- (c) Ensuring all appropriate reports are completed
- (d) Preparing an after-action evaluation of the investigation to be forwarded to the Division Commander

334.4 AMBER ALERTS

The AMBER Alert™ Program is a voluntary partnership between law enforcement agencies, broadcasters, transportation agencies and the wireless industry, to activate urgent bulletins in child abduction cases.

334.4.1 CRITERIA FOR AMBER ALERT

The following conditions must be met before activating an AMBER Alert (Government Code § 8594(a)):

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Public Alerts

- (a) A child has been abducted or taken by anyone, including but not limited to a custodial parent or guardian.
- (b) The victim is 17 years of age or younger, or has a proven mental or physical disability.
- (c) The victim is in imminent danger of serious injury or death.
- (d) There is information available that, if provided to the public, could assist in the child's safe recovery.

334.4.2 PROCEDURE FOR AMBER ALERT

The supervisor in charge will ensure the following:

- (a) An initial press release is prepared that includes all available information that might aid in locating the child:
 - 1. The child's identity, age and description
 - 2. Photograph if available
 - 3. The suspect's identity, age and description, if known
 - 4. Pertinent vehicle description
 - 5. Detail regarding location of incident, direction of travel, potential destinations, if known
 - 6. Name and telephone number of the Media Relations or other authorized individual to handle media liaison
 - 7. A telephone number for the public to call with leads or information
- (b) The local California Highway Patrol communications center should be contacted to initiate a multi-regional or statewide EAS broadcast, following any policies and procedures developed by CHP (Government Code § 8594).
- (c) The press release information is forwarded to the Sheriff's Department Emergency Communications Bureau so that general broadcasts can be made to local law enforcement agencies.
- (d) Information regarding the missing person should be entered into the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System (CLETS).
- (e) Information regarding the missing person should be entered into the California Department of Justice Missing and Unidentified Persons System (MUPS)/National Crime Information Center (NCIC).
- (f) The following resources should be considered as circumstances dictate:
 - 1. The local FBI office
 - 2. National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC)

334.5 BLUE ALERTS

Blue Alerts may be issued when an officer is killed, injured or assaulted and the suspect may pose a threat to the public or other law enforcement personnel.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Public Alerts

334.5.1 CRITERIA FOR BLUE ALERTS

All of the following conditions must be met before activating a Blue Alert (Government Code § 8594.5):

- (a) A law enforcement officer has been killed, suffered serious bodily injury or has been assaulted with a deadly weapon, and the suspect has fled the scene of the offense.
- (b) The investigating law enforcement agency has determined that the suspect poses an imminent threat to the public or other law enforcement personnel.
- (c) A detailed description of the suspect's vehicle or license plate is available for broadcast.
- (d) Public dissemination of available information may help avert further harm or accelerate apprehension of the suspect.

334.5.2 PROCEDURE FOR BLUE ALERT

The supervisor in charge should ensure the following:

- (a) An initial press release is prepared that includes all available information that might aid in locating the suspect:
 - 1. The license number and/or any other available description or photograph of the vehicle
 - 2. Photograph, description and/or identification of the suspect
 - 3. The suspect's identity, age and description, if known
 - 4. Detail regarding location of incident, direction of travel, potential destinations, if known
 - 5. Name and telephone number of the Media Relations or other authorized individual to handle media liaison
 - 6. A telephone number for the public to call with leads or information
- (b) The local California Highway Patrol communications center is contacted to initiate a multi-regional or statewide EAS broadcast.
- (c) The information in the press release is forwarded to the Sheriff's Department Emergency Communications Bureau so that general broadcasts can be made to local law enforcement agencies.
- (d) The following resources should be considered as circumstances dictate:
 - 1. Entry into the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System (CLETS)
 - 2. The FBI local office

334.6 SILVER ALERTS

Silver Alerts® is an emergency notification system for people who are 65 years of age or older, developmentally disabled or cognitively impaired and have been reported missing (Government Code § 8594.10).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Public Alerts

334.6.1 CRITERIA FOR SILVER ALERTS

All of the following conditions must be met before activating a Silver Alert (Government Code § 8594.10):

- (a) The missing person is 65 years of age or older, developmentally disabled or cognitively impaired.
- (b) The department has utilized all available local resources.
- (c) The investigating officer or supervisor has determined that the person is missing under unexplained or suspicious circumstances.
- (d) The investigating officer or supervisor believes that the person is in danger because of age, health, mental or physical disability, environment or weather conditions, that the person is in the company of a potentially dangerous person, or that there are other factors indicating that the person may be in peril.
- (e) There is information available that, if disseminated to the public, could assist in the safe recovery of the missing person.

334.6.2 PROCEDURE FOR SILVER ALERT

Requests for a Silver Alert shall be made through the California Highway Patrol (Government Code § 8594.10).

334.7 MUTUAL AID

The experiences of other law enforcement jurisdictions that have implemented similar plans indicate a public alert will generate a high volume of telephone calls to the handling agency.

The Sheriff's Department emergency communications facilities and staff can be made available in the event of a high call volume.

If the Watch Commander or Criminal Investigations Division Supervisor elects to use the services of the Sheriff's Department, the following will apply:

- (a) Notify the Sheriff's Department Watch Commander of the incident and the request for assistance. He/she will provide you with a telephone number for the public to call.
- (b) In the press release, direct the public to the telephone number provided by the Sheriff's Department Watch Commander.
- (c) The Media Relations will continue to handle all press releases and media inquiries. Any press inquiries received by the Sheriff's Department will be referred back to this department.

The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department shall assign a minimum of two detectives/officers to respond to the Sheriff's Department emergency communications facility to screen and relay information and any clues received from incoming calls. As circumstances dictate, more staff resources from the handling law enforcement agency may be necessary to assist the staff at the emergency communications facility.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Public Alerts

334.8 ADDITIONAL ALERTS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY EMERGENCIES

Additional public safety emergency alerts may be authorized that utilize wireless emergency alert system (WEA) and emergency alert system (EAS) equipment for alerting and warning the public to protect lives and save property (Government Code § 8593.7).

334.8.1 CRITERIA

Public safety emergency alerts may be issued to alert or warn the public about events including but not limited to:

- (a) Evacuation orders (including evacuation routes, shelter information, key information).
- (b) Shelter-in-place guidance due to severe weather.
- (c) Terrorist threats.
- (d) HazMat incidents.

334.8.2 PROCEDURE

Public safety emergency alerts should be activated by following the guidelines issued by the Office of Emergency Services (Government Code § 8593.7).

Summary
6/3/2020 07:15:58


Differences exist between documents.

New Document: Lexipol 380 - Current 4 pages (15 KB) 6/3/2020 07:15:58 Used to display results.	Old Document: Lexipol 380 - Old 4 pages (275 KB) 6/3/2020 07:15:58
---	--


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

 indicates a change.

 indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Child and Dependent Adult Safety

380.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines to ensure that children and dependent adults are not left without appropriate care in the event their caregiver or guardian is arrested or otherwise prevented from providing care due to actions taken by members of this department (Penal Code § 833.2(a)).

This policy does not address the actions to be taken during the course of a child abuse or dependent adult investigation. These are covered in the Child Abuse and Adult Abuse policies.

380.2 POLICY

It is the policy of this department to mitigate, to the extent reasonably possible, the stressful experience individuals may have when their parent or caregiver is arrested. The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department will endeavor to create a strong, cooperative relationship with local, state and community-based social services to ensure an effective, collaborative response that addresses the needs of those affected, including call-out availability and follow-up responsibilities.

380.3 PROCEDURES DURING AN ARREST

When encountering an arrest or prolonged detention situation, officers should make reasonable attempts to determine if the arrestee is responsible for children or dependent adults. In some cases this may be obvious, such as when children or dependent adults are present. However, officers should inquire if the arrestee has caregiver responsibilities for any children or dependent adults who are without appropriate supervision. The following steps should be taken (Penal Code § 13517.7(b)(1)):

- (a) Inquire about and confirm the location of any children or dependent adults.
- (b) Look for evidence of children and dependent adults. Officers should be mindful that some arrestees may conceal the fact that they have a dependent for fear the individual may be taken from them.
- (c) Consider inquiring of witnesses, neighbors, friends and relatives of the arrestee as to whether the person is responsible for a child or dependent adult.

Whenever reasonably possible, officers should take reasonable steps to accomplish the arrest of a parent, guardian or caregiver out of the presence of his/her child or dependent adult. Removing children or dependent adults from the scene in advance of the arrest will generally ensure the best outcome for the individual.

Whenever it is safe to do so, officers should allow the parent or caregiver to assure children or dependent adults that they will be provided care. If this is not safe or if the demeanor of the parent or caregiver suggests this conversation would be non-productive, the officer at the scene should explain the reason for the arrest in age-appropriate language and offer reassurance to the child or dependent adult that he/she will receive appropriate care.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Child and Dependent Adult Safety

380.3.1 AFTER AN ARREST

Whenever an arrest is made, the officer should take all reasonable steps to ensure the safety of the arrestee's disclosed or discovered children or dependent adults.

Officers should allow the arrestee reasonable time to arrange for care of children and dependent adults. Temporary placement with family or friends may be appropriate. However, any decision should give priority to a care solution that is in the best interest of the child or dependent adult. In such cases the following guidelines should be followed:

- (a) Allow the person reasonable time to arrange for the care of children and dependent adults with a responsible party, as appropriate.
 - 1. Officers should consider allowing the person to use his/her cell phone to facilitate arrangements through access to contact phone numbers, and to lessen the likelihood of call screening by the recipients due to calls from unknown sources.
- (b) Unless there is evidence to the contrary (e.g., signs of abuse, drug use, unsafe environment), officers should respect the parent or caregiver's judgment regarding arrangements for care. It is generally best if the child or dependent adult remains with relatives or family friends that he/she knows and trusts because familiarity with surroundings and consideration for comfort, emotional state and safety are important.
 - 1. Except when a court order exists limiting contact, the officer should attempt to locate and place children or dependent adults with the non-arrested parent, guardian or caregiver.
- (c) Provide for the immediate supervision of children or dependent adults until an appropriate caregiver arrives.
- (d) Notify Child Protective Services or the Division of Aging and Adult Services, if appropriate.
- (e) Notify the field supervisor or Watch Commander of the disposition of children or dependent adults.

If children or dependent adults are at school or another known location outside the household at the time of arrest, the arresting officer should attempt to contact the school or other known location and inform the principal or appropriate responsible adult of the caregiver's arrest and of the arrangements being made for the care of the arrestee's dependent. The result of such actions should be documented in the associated report.

380.3.2 DURING THE BOOKING PROCESS

During the booking process the arrestee shall be allowed to make additional telephone calls to relatives or other responsible individuals as is reasonably necessary to arrange for the care of any child or dependent adult. These telephone calls should be given as soon as practicable and are in addition to any other telephone calls allowed by law (Penal Code § 851.5(c)).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Child and Dependent Adult Safety

If an arrestee is unable to resolve the care of any child or dependent adult through this process, a supervisor should be contacted to determine the appropriate steps to arrange for care. These steps may include additional telephone calls or contacting a local, county or state services agency.

380.3.3 REPORTING

- (a) For all arrests where children are present or living in the household, the reporting member will document the following information:
 - 1. Name
 - 2. Sex
 - 3. Age
 - 4. Special needs (e.g., medical, mental health)
 - 5. How, where and with whom or which agency the child was placed
 - 6. Identities and contact information for other potential caregivers
 - 7. Notifications made to other adults (e.g., schools, relatives)
- (b) For all arrests where dependent adults are present or living in the household, the reporting member will document the following information:
 - 1. Name
 - 2. Sex
 - 3. Age
 - 4. Whether he/she reasonably appears able to care for him/herself
 - 5. Disposition or placement information if he/she is unable to care for him/herself

380.3.4 SUPPORT AND COUNSELING REFERRAL

If, in the judgment of the handling officers, the child or dependent adult would benefit from additional assistance, such as counseling services, contact with a victim advocate or a crisis telephone number, the appropriate referral information may be provided.

380.4 DEPENDENT WELFARE SERVICES

Whenever an arrestee is unwilling or incapable of arranging for the appropriate care of any child or **dependent** adult, the handling officer should contact the appropriate welfare service or other department-approved social service to determine whether protective custody is appropriate (Welfare and Institutions Code § 305).

Only when other reasonable options are exhausted should a child or dependent adult be transported to the police facility, transported in a marked patrol **car**, or taken into formal protective custody.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Child and Dependent Adult Safety

Under no circumstances should a child or dependent adult be left unattended or without appropriate care.

380.5 TRAINING

The Personnel and Training Lieutenant is responsible to ensure that all personnel of this department who may be involved in arrests affecting children or dependent adults receive approved POST-approved training on effective safety measures when a parent, guardian or caregiver is arrested (Penal Code § 13517.7).

Summary
6/3/2020 07:15:28

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
Lexipol 448 - Current	Lexipol 448 - Old
3 pages (13 KB)	3 pages (273 KB)
6/3/2020 07:15:28	6/3/2020 07:15:28
Used to display results.	


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Mobile Data Computer Use

448.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this policy is to establish guidelines for the proper access, use and application of the Mobile Data Computer (MDC) system in order to ensure appropriate access to confidential records from local, state and national law enforcement databases, and to ensure effective electronic communications between department members and Integrated Security Response Center.

448.2 POLICY

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department members using the MDC shall comply with all appropriate federal and state rules and regulations and shall use the MDC in a professional manner, in accordance with this policy.

448.3 PRIVACY EXPECTATION

Members forfeit any expectation of privacy with regard to messages accessed, transmitted, received or reviewed on any department technology system (see the Information Technology Use Policy for additional guidance).

448.4 RESTRICTED ACCESS AND USE

MDC use is subject to the Information Technology Use and Protected Information policies. The introduction of unauthorized software programs or other files to the MDC is strictly prohibited. The manipulation or alteration of current software running on the MDC, with the exception of adjustments to the display, is also prohibited.

Members shall not access the MDC system if they have not received prior authorization and the required training. Members shall immediately report unauthorized access or use of the MDC by another member to their supervisors or Watch Commanders.

Use of the MDC system to access law enforcement databases or transmit messages is restricted to official activities, business-related tasks and communications that are directly related to the business, administration or practices of the Department. In the event that a member has questions about sending a particular message or accessing a particular database, the member should seek prior approval from his/her supervisor.

Sending derogatory, defamatory, obscene, disrespectful, sexually suggestive, harassing or any other inappropriate messages on the MDC system is prohibited and may result in discipline.

Messages may be reviewed by supervisors at any time without prior notification. It shall be the responsibility of the supervisors to enforce this policy and to **monitor** messages being sent by employees to ensure compliance with professional standards and policy.

It is a violation of this policy to transmit a message or access a law enforcement database under another member's name or to use the password of another member to log in to the MDC system

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Mobile Data Computer Use

unless directed to do so by a supervisor. Members are required to log off the MDC or secure the MDC when it is unattended. This added security measure will minimize the potential for unauthorized access or misuse.

448.4.1 USE WHILE DRIVING

Use of the MDC by the vehicle operator should be limited to times when the vehicle is stopped. Information that is required for immediate enforcement, investigative, tactical or safety needs should be transmitted over the radio.

Short transmissions, such as a license plate check, are permitted if it reasonably appears that it can be done safely. In no case shall an operator attempt to send or review lengthy messages while the vehicle is in motion.

448.5 DOCUMENTATION OF ACTIVITY

Except as otherwise directed by the Watch Commander or other department-established protocol, all calls for service assigned by a dispatcher should be communicated by voice over the police radio and electronically via the MDC unless security or confidentiality prevents such broadcasting.

MDC and voice transmissions are used to document the member's daily activity. To ensure accuracy:

- (a) All contacts or activity shall be documented at the time of the contact.
- (b) Whenever the activity or contact is initiated by voice, it should be documented by a dispatcher.
- (c) Whenever the activity or contact is not initiated by voice, the member shall document it via the MDC.

448.5.1 STATUS CHANGES

All changes in status (e.g., arrival at scene, meal periods, in service) will be transmitted over the police radio or through the MDC system.

Members responding to in-progress calls should advise changes in status over the radio to assist other members responding to the same incident. Other changes in status can be made on the MDC when the vehicle is not in motion.

448.5.2 EMERGENCY ACTIVATION

If there is an emergency activation and the member does not respond to a request for confirmation of the need for emergency assistance or confirms the need, available resources will be sent to assist in locating the member. If the location is known, the nearest available officer should respond in accordance with the Officer Response to Calls Policy.

Members should ensure a field supervisor and the Watch Commander are notified of the incident without delay.

Officers not responding to the emergency shall refrain from transmitting on the police radio until a no-further-assistance broadcast is made or if they are also handling an emergency.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Mobile Data Computer Use

448.6 EQUIPMENT CONSIDERATIONS

448.6.1 BOMB CALLS

When investigating reports of possible bombs, members should not communicate on their MDCs when in the evacuation area of a suspected explosive device. Radio frequency emitted by the MDC could cause some devices to detonate.

448.6.2 MALFUNCTIONING MDC

Whenever possible, members will not use vehicles with malfunctioning MDCs. Whenever members must drive a vehicle in which the MDC is not working, they shall notify their immediate supervisor.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:14:53


Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
Lexipol 521 - Current	Lexipol 521 - Old
4 pages (18 KB)	9 pages (290 KB)
6/3/2020 07:14:52	6/3/2020 07:14:52
Used to display results.	

[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

 indicates a change.

 indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Parking Enforcement

521.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this policy is to delineate the District's parking programs, restricted parking areas, regulations, and departmental parking-enforcement procedures.

It shall be the policy of this department to regularly enforce parking violations in District parking facilities by the issuance of parking citations, parking violation warning notices, or verbal warnings. When parking citations are issued, appropriate BART Resolution or California Vehicle Code sections will be used. Parking citations shall only be issued by sworn personnel and community service officers.

District parking is governed by respective union/association contracts, designated parking spaces, and District parking programs and regulations listed herein as applicable. ⚠

(a) **Board of Director Permits:** ⚠

- ⚠ 1. ⚠ Directors employed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) are issued permits by the MTC. Parking for these permitted vehicles is located in the MTC parking lot located at 101-8th Street, Oakland. These vehicles are allowed to park in designated parking areas located beneath the building's overhang. All other vehicles parked in violation of the posted signs designating restricted hours should be issued citations or warning notices as appropriate.

- (b) ⚠ **Special Permits:** The District's Parking Division, as well as the chief of police, may at times issue special parking permits for various reasons. These permits may allow a vehicle to be legally parked in areas that it may not otherwise be permitted. If in doubt as to the validity or restrictions of any particular permit, ⚠ the citing employee shall contact the Parking Division, the department's traffic officer, or the employee's supervisor for clarification.

- (c) ⚠ **Warning Notices:** Warning notices shall be issued for a pre-determined length of time to vehicles that are parked illegally but are subject to a modified or newly adopted regulation. The pre-determined length of time will be determined by the department. Warning notices should also be considered if there has been significant disruption in regular enforcement or if markings or signage is not reasonably clear.

- (d) ⚠ **Verbal Warnings:** Verbal warnings shall be given to drivers who stop and wait in a no-parking zone to drop off or pick-up passengers. Drivers will be told to move their vehicles. Those who refuse to heed the warning should be cited for the parking violation, not for failure to obey traffic control signs. Exceptions would be drivers who clearly violate posted "Do Not Enter" and "Bus Zone" signs.

For additional Definitions on District parking programs visit <https://www.bart.gov/guide/parking>

521.2 PROCEDURES ⚠

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Parking Enforcement

521.2.1 ISSUING PARKING CITATIONS

Prior to issuance, parking citations shall be completed in their entirety. Handwritten citations shall be completed using a pen containing black ink only. The Parking Violation Notices are two-part (no carbon required) and the pen must have a hard writing point so that the written information is transferred from the top copy of the citation to the copy below. Once the required date, time observed, time issued location, vehicle identifying information, violation, fine amount, and additional remarks are completed, the issuing employee shall print his/her name and badge number in the space provided. Citations shall be printed clearly and legibly, including the name and ID number of the issuing employee.

Electronically-generated citations may also be issued if authorized handheld electronic units are available. As above, these citations must also be completed in their entirety.

Completed citations shall be left under the vehicle's driver side windshield wiper. If the vehicle does not have windshield wipers, some other secure method of attachment should be utilized and the citation should be placed where it is obvious to the returning driver.

If the issuing employee encounters a situation in which a car is parked in violation of parking restrictions, and it appears to the employee that it may not be clear to a reasonable person that the vehicle was parked in violation (e.g., missing or poorly located signage, very worn curb markings), the employee shall refrain from issuing a citation until the problem is rectified. If it is questionable as to the clarity of the signage or marking, a police supervisor may be consulted and/or a warning notice may be issued in lieu of citation.

Specific problems with poor or unclear signage or markings will be reported via e-mail to the administrative traffic supervisor, who will convey the need for corrective measures to the Parking Division and/or other appropriate department.

Handwritten citations shall be turned in by end of the issuing employee's shift and forwarded to the Traffic Division for sending to the Citation Processing Center. Electronic citations shall be uploaded by the end of the employee's shift.

521.2.2 VOIDING PARKING CITATIONS

If a citation has been started or completed and prior to issuance mitigating circumstances arise that support that the citation should not be issued, the citation may be voided. To do this, the issuing employee shall write "VOID" in large letters, across the face of the citation. The voided citation shall then be forwarded to the Traffic Division for processing or for sending to the Citation Processing Center for processing. For electronic citations, the issuing employee should void the citation via assigned handheld. If unable to do so, the issuing employee should send an email to the citation processing center to request a void of the citation.

Once a parking citation has been completed and secured to the vehicle, employees may not normally void the citation. Even if the issuing employee or supervisor determines that the citation should have not been issued or it should be dismissed in the interest of justice. When such instances occur, the person receiving the citation should be instructed to appeal it via the instructions on the back of the citation (see below).

Parking Enforcement

There is an exception to this process. If the citation was issued for BR-2495-4, Sign Violation-Fee Required, the citation may be voided after issuance if it falls within certain parameters. If, after issuance, the person receiving the citation reports that the citation was issued in error and provides a receipt proving that the required parking fee was paid to or in close proximity to the issuance of the citation, then the receiving employee shall collect the citation (Note: "close proximity" as used in the preceding sentence is defined as the few minutes that it would take a customer to park and proceed into the station to pay for parking, while a citation could be issued prior to payment). The citation shall then be voided and forwarded, along with the receipt provided by the person receiving the citation, to LMA for sending to the Citation Processing Center. The receiving employee shall also issue the person a Parking Control Machine Verification Receipt (form #1400).

521.2.3 APPEAL PROCESS

For those receiving a parking citation, 40215(a) CVC allows for an initial review of the citation to determine if the violation did not occur, the registered owner was not responsible for the violation, or that extenuating circumstances make dismissal of the citation appropriate in the interest of justice. If, during the review, any of the above listed reasons are found to be prevailing, the traffic office may cancel the citation or will contact the Citation Processing Center and instruct them to cancel the citation. The citation review must be received within 21 calendar days of the issuance of the citation or 14 calendar days from the mailing of the notice of delinquent parking violation. The review may be made by electronically, in writing via the Citation Processing Center, or in person. Per 40215(b) CVC, if the subject contesting the citation is dissatisfied with the results of the initial review, the person may request an administrative hearing of the violation(s) no later than 21 calendar days following the mailing of the results of the initial review. This hearing may take place by mail, electronically via the Citation Processing Center website, or in person scheduled via the Citation Processing Center.

521.2.4 MONTHLY RESERVED PARKING

Both monthly reserved and airport/long term-parking permits are assigned numbers which are printed on the permits. These permit numbers can be input into a cellular telephone equipped with a Web browser provided by Parking Permit vendor in order to check the permit's validity and expiration date. Those CSOs that have access to the enforcement cell phones should regularly check vehicles displaying these permits to assure permit validity.

521.2.5 VEHICLE CODE REGISTRATION AND EQUIPMENT VIOLATIONS

Unattended vehicles may be issued parking citations for registration violations (e.g., expired registration, missing registration tabs. Citations for expired registration will not be issued unless a registration has been expired for more than six (6) months. Unattended vehicles will not be issued citations for equipment violations (e.g., cracked windshields, missing gas cap, no front license plate).

521.2.6 APPLICABLE PARKING ENFORCEMENT CODE SECTIONS

Applicable parking enforcement code sections can be found at <https://www.bart.gov/guide/parking/citations>.

Parking Enforcement ▲

521.3 TOWING OF VEHICLES FOR PARKING VIOLATIONS

521.3.1 EXCESSIVE OUTSTANDING PARKING CITATIONS

California Vehicle Code Section 22651 (i) allows for the towing and impounding of any vehicle, excluding rental vehicles, that are known to have been issued five (5) or more notices of parking violations to which the owner or person in control of the vehicle has not responded to within 21 calendar days of citation issuance, or 14 calendar days of the mailing of a notice of delinquent parking violations, or the registered owner has not paid the applicable fines, or has failed to appear in court to adjudicate the outstanding citations. It shall be the policy of this department to tow only after (10) or more parking citations are on file. In such cases, the employee seeking to tow and impound of the vehicle in question, shall contact the department's traffic officer for confirmation through DMV and/or Citation Processing Center of the outstanding citations. If the traffic officer cannot confirm that at least 10 outstanding parking citations meet the above criteria, the vehicle shall not be towed. If the vehicle has five (5) confirmed notices of parking violations and is involved in a criminal investigation, it can be towed without exception. All tows done under section 22651(i) CVC must be approved in advance by the zone sergeant.

521.3.2 PARKING WITH REGISTRATION EXPIRED IN EXCESS OF SIX MONTHS

California Vehicle code section 22651(o) allows for the towing and impounding of vehicles in which the registration is expired in excess of six (6) months. ▲

521.3.3 PARKING AND ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION CONTACTS

The District's Parking Division may be contacted at parking@bart.gov. This division is responsible for establishing and managing the District's parking programs. The Parking Division is also responsible for managing, ordering, and follow-up on placement and replacement of parking signage, repaving, pavement paintings and markings, and stall numbers.

District parking information may be found at www.bart.gov/guide/parking. This Web site also directs parties to the parking permit vendor. The parking permit vendor stores permit-user databases and collects the fees paid for these programs. Their systems may also be contacted by telephone at (877) 700-PARK (7275).

The Citation Processing Center tabulates issued parking citations, collects fines for parking violations, and administers the citation-dispute process. The Citation Processing Center may be contacted at:

Citation Processing Center-BART

P.O. Box 10479

Newport Beach, CA 92648-0479

Telephone: (800) 989-2058

Summary
3/2/2020 06:20:39

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:

[New Policy](#)

6 pages (283 KB)

3/2/2020 06:20:38

Used to display results.

Old Document:

[OLD Policy](#)


6 pages (283 KB)


3/2/2020 06:20:38


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

 indicates a change.

 indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Sexual Assault Investigations

602.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this policy is to establish guidelines for the investigation of sexual assaults. These guidelines will address some of the unique aspects of such cases and the effects that these crimes have on the victims.

Mandatory notifications requirements are addressed in the Child Abuse and Adult Abuse policies.

602.1.1 DEFINITIONS

Definitions related to this policy include:

Sexual assault - Any crime or attempted crime of a sexual nature, to include but not limited to offenses defined in Penal Code § 243.4, Penal Code § 261 et seq., and Penal Code § 285 et seq.

Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) - A multidisciplinary team generally comprised of advocates; law enforcement officers; forensic medical examiners, including sexual assault forensic examiners (SAFEs) or sexual assault nurse examiners (SANEs) if possible; forensic laboratory personnel; and prosecutors. The team is designed to coordinate a broad response to sexual assault victims.

602.2 POLICY

It is the policy of the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department that its members, when responding to reports of sexual assaults, will strive to minimize the trauma experienced by the victims, and will aggressively investigate sexual assaults, pursue expeditious apprehension and conviction of perpetrators, and protect the safety of the victims and the community.

602.3 QUALIFIED INVESTIGATORS

Qualified investigators should be available for assignment of sexual assault investigations. These investigators should:

- (a) Have specialized training in, and be familiar with, interview techniques and the medical and legal issues that are specific to sexual assault investigations.
- (b) Conduct follow-up interviews and investigation.
- (c) Present appropriate cases of alleged sexual assault to the prosecutor for review.
- (d) Coordinate with other enforcement agencies, social service agencies and medical personnel as needed.
- (e) Provide referrals to therapy services, victim advocates and support for the victim.
- (f) Participate in or coordinate with SART.

Sexual Assault Investigations

602.4 REPORTING

In all reported or suspected cases of sexual assault, a report should be written and assigned for follow-up investigation. This includes incidents in which the allegations appear unfounded or unsubstantiated.

602.5 VICTIM INTERVIEWS

The primary considerations in sexual assault investigations, which begin with the initial call to Integrated Security Response Center, should be the health and safety of the victim, the preservation of evidence, and preliminary interviews to determine if a crime has been committed and to attempt to identify the suspect.

Whenever possible, a member of SART should be included in the initial victim interviews. An in-depth follow-up interview should not be conducted until after the medical and forensic examinations are completed and the personal needs of the victim have been met (e.g., change of clothes, bathing). The follow-up interview may be delayed to the following day based upon the circumstances. Whenever practicable, the follow-up interview should be conducted by a qualified investigator.

No opinion of whether the case is unfounded shall be included in the report.

Victims shall not be asked or required to take a polygraph examination (34 USC § 10451; Penal Code § 637.4).

Victims should be apprised of applicable victim's rights provisions, as outlined in the Victim and Witness Assistance Policy.

602.5.1 VICTIM RIGHTS

Whenever there is an alleged sexual assault, the assigned officer shall accomplish the following:

- (a) Advise the victim in writing of the right to have a victim advocate and a support person of the victim's choosing present at any interview or contact by law enforcement, any other rights of a sexual assault victim pursuant to Penal Code § 680.2, and the right to have a person of the same or opposite gender present in the room during any interview with a law enforcement official unless no such person is reasonably available (Penal Code § 679.04).
- (b) If the victim is transported to a hospital for any medical evidentiary or physical examination, the officer shall immediately cause the local rape victim counseling center to be notified (Penal Code § 264.2).
 1. The officer shall not discourage a victim from receiving a medical evidentiary or physical examination (Penal Code § 679.04).
 2. A support person may be excluded from the examination by the officer or the medical provider if his/her presence would be detrimental to the purpose of the examination (Penal Code § 264.2).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Sexual Assault Investigations

602.5.2 VICTIM CONFIDENTIALITY

Officers investigating or receiving a report of an alleged sex offense shall inform the victim, or the victim's parent or guardian if the victim is a minor, that his/her name will become a matter of public record unless the victim requests that his/her name not be made public. The reporting officer shall document in his/her report that the victim was properly informed and shall include any related response made by the victim, or if a minor, any response made by the victim's parent or guardian (Penal Code § 293).

Except as authorized by law, members of this department shall not publicly disclose the name of any victim of a sex crime who has exercised his/her right to confidentiality (Penal Code § 293).

602.6 COLLECTION AND TESTING OF BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

Whenever possible, a SART member should be involved in the collection of forensic evidence from the victim.

When the facts of the case indicate that collection of biological evidence is warranted, it should be collected regardless of how much time has elapsed since the reported assault.

If a drug-facilitated sexual assault is suspected, urine and blood samples should be collected from the victim as soon as practicable.

Subject to requirements set forth in this policy, biological evidence from all sexual assault cases, including cases where the suspect is known by the victim, should be submitted for testing.

Victims who choose not to assist with an investigation, do not desire that the matter be investigated, or wish to remain anonymous may still consent to the collection of evidence under their control. In these circumstances, the evidence should be collected and stored appropriately.

602.6.1 COLLECTION AND TESTING REQUIREMENTS

Members investigating a sexual assault offense should take every reasonable step to ensure that DNA testing of such evidence is performed in a timely manner and within the time periods prescribed by Penal Code § 803(g). SAFE kits should be submitted to the crime lab within 20 days after being booked into evidence (Penal Code § 680).

In order to maximize the effectiveness of such testing and identify the perpetrator of any sexual assault, the assigned officer shall ensure that an information profile for the SAFE kit evidence has been created in the California Department of Justice (DOJ) SAFE-T database within 120 days of collection and should further ensure that the results of any such test have been timely entered into and checked against both the DOJ Cal-DNA database and the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) (Penal Code § 680.3).

If the assigned officer determines that a SAFE kit submitted to a private laboratory for analysis has not been tested within 120 days after submission, the officer shall update the SAFE-T database to reflect the reason for the delay in testing. The assigned officer shall continue to update the status every 120 days thereafter until the evidence has been analyzed or the statute of limitations has run (Penal Code § 680.3).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Sexual Assault Investigations

If, for any reason, DNA evidence in a sexual assault case in which the identity of the perpetrator is in issue and is not going to be analyzed within 18 months of the crime, the assigned officer shall notify the victim of such fact in writing no less than 60 days prior to the expiration of the 18-month period (Penal Code § 680).

Additional guidance regarding evidence retention and destruction is found in the Property and Evidence Policy.

602.6.2 DNA TEST RESULTS

A SART member should be consulted regarding the best way to deliver biological testing results to a victim so as to minimize victim trauma, especially in cases where there has been a significant delay in getting biological testing results (e.g., delays in testing the evidence or delayed DNA databank hits). Members should make reasonable efforts to assist the victim by providing available information on local assistance programs and organizations as provided in the Victim and Witness Assistance Policy.

- (a) Upon receipt of a written request from a sexual assault victim or the victim's authorized designee, members investigating sexual assault cases shall inform the victim of the status of the DNA testing of any evidence from the victim's case (Penal Code § 680).
 1. Although such information may be communicated orally, the assigned officer should thereafter follow-up with and retain a copy of confirmation by either written or electronic mail.
 2. Absent a written request, no member of this department is required to, but may, communicate with the victim or the victim's authorized designee regarding the status of any DNA testing.
- (b) Subject to the commitment of sufficient resources to respond to requests for information, sexual assault victims shall further have the following rights (Penal Code § 680):
 1. To be informed if a DNA profile of the assailant was obtained from the testing of the SAFE kit or other crime scene evidence from their case.
 2. To be informed if there is a match between the DNA profile of the assailant developed from the evidence and a DNA profile contained in the DOJ Convicted Offender DNA Database, providing that disclosure would not impede or compromise an ongoing investigation.
 3. To be informed if the DNA profile of the assailant developed from the evidence has been entered into the DOJ Databank of case evidence.
- (c) Provided that the sexual assault victim or the victim's authorized designee has kept the assigned officer informed with regard to current address, telephone number, and email address (if available), any victim or the victim's authorized designee shall, upon request, be advised of any known significant changes regarding the victim's case (Penal Code § 680).

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Sexual Assault Investigations

1. Although such information may be communicated orally, the assigned officer should thereafter follow-up with and retain a copy of confirmation by either written or electronic mail.
2. No officer shall be required or expected to release any information which might impede or compromise any ongoing investigation.

602.6.3 STANDARDIZED SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC MEDICAL EVIDENCE KIT

The Property and Evidence Section supervisor should make California standardized sexual assault forensic medical evidence (SAFE) kits available to members who may investigate sexual assault cases. Members investigating a sexual assault should use these SAFE kits when appropriate and follow related usage guidelines issued by the California Clinical Forensic Medical Training Center (Penal Code § 13823.14).

602.7 DISPOSITION OF CASES

If the assigned investigator has reason to believe the case is without merit, the case may be classified as unfounded only upon review and approval of the Criminal Investigations Division supervisor.

Classification of a sexual assault case as unfounded requires the Criminal Investigations Division supervisor to determine that the facts have significant irregularities with reported information and that the incident could not have happened as it was reported. When a victim has recanted his/her original statement, there must be corroborating evidence that the allegations were false or baseless (i.e., no crime occurred) before the case should be determined as unfounded.

602.8 CASE REVIEW

The Criminal Investigations Division supervisor should ensure case dispositions are reviewed on a periodic basis, at least annually, using an identified group that is independent of the investigation process. The reviews should include an analysis of:

- Case dispositions.
- Decisions to collect biological evidence.
- Submissions of biological evidence for lab testing.

The SART and/or victim advocates should be considered for involvement in this audit. Summary reports on these reviews should be forwarded through the chain of command to the Chief of Police.

602.9 RELEASING INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC

In cases where the perpetrator is not known to the victim, and especially if there are multiple crimes where more than one appear to be related, consideration should be given to releasing information to the public whenever there is a reasonable likelihood that doing so may result in developing helpful investigative leads. The Criminal Investigations Division supervisor should weigh the risk of alerting the suspect to the investigation with the need to protect the victim and the public, and to prevent more crimes.

Sexual Assault Investigations

602.10 TRAINING

Subject to available resources, periodic training **should** be provided to:

- (a) Members who are first responders. Training should include:
 - 1. Initial response to sexual assaults.
 - 2. Legal issues.
 - 3. Victim advocacy.
 - 4. Victim's response to trauma.
 - 5. Proper use and handling of the California standardized SAFE kit (Penal Code § 13823.14).
- (b) Qualified **investigators**, who should receive advanced training on additional topics. Advanced training should include:
 - 1. Interviewing sexual assault victims.
 - 2. SART.
 - 3. Medical and legal aspects of sexual assault investigations.
 - 4. Serial crimes investigations.
 - 5. Use of community and other federal and state investigative resources, such as the Violent Criminal Apprehension Program (ViCAP).
 - 6. Techniques for communicating with victims to minimize trauma.

Summary
3/2/2020 06:22:25

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
New Policy	OLD Policy
2 pages (272 KB)	2 pages (272 KB)
3/2/2020 06:22:24	3/2/2020 06:22:24

Used to display results.


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Cash Handling, Security and Management

710.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines to ensure department members handle cash appropriately in the performance of their duties.

This policy does not address cash-handling issues specific to the Property and Evidence and Informants policies.

710.2 POLICY

It is the policy of the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department to properly handle and document cash transactions and to maintain accurate records of cash transactions in order to protect the integrity of department operations and ensure the public trust. The terms "fund manager" and "petty cash custodian" are synonymous for the purpose of this policy.

710.3 PETTY CASH FUNDS

The Chief of Police shall designate a person as the fund manager responsible for maintaining and managing the petty cash fund, including the dispersal of cash reimbursements..

Each petty cash fund requires the creation and maintenance of an accurate and current transaction ledger and the filing of invoices, receipts, cash transfer forms and expense reports by the fund manager. The fund manager shall maintain a balance sheet or ledger that identifies initial balance, credits (cash income received), debits (cash disbursed) and the balance on hand. He/she shall maintain receipts for documentation for cash fund transactions. The fund manager is responsible for the petty cash box. The locking petty cash box is not to exceed \$500 and will be kept out of site in a locked location when not in use.

710.4 PETTY CASH TRANSACTIONS

The fund manager shall document all transactions on the ledger and any other appropriate forms. Cash fund distributions must be authorized by the Budget Administrative Supervisor. The Support Services Bureau Lieutenant may authorize transactions in the Budget Administrative Supervisor's absence. The fund manager must record and document all cash reimbursement transactions. Each petty cash transaction should not exceed \$100. Cash reimbursements over \$100 must be approved by the Chief of Police.

The fund manager will generate a petty cash receipt. This receipt will be signed by Budget Administrative Supervisor, or the Support Services Bureau Lieutenant in their absence, documenting the transaction. Only the employee seeking reimbursement may sign for and receive the cash reimbursement. Any exceptions will be documented in writing in advance of the reimbursement dispersal.

Transactions that are not documented by a receipt, invoice or cash transfer form require an expense report.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Cash Handling, Security and Management

710.5 PETTY CASH AUDITS

The Budget Administrative Supervisor shall perform an audit **quarterly**. This audit requires that the Budget Administrative Supervisor and at least one command staff member, selected by the Chief of Police, review the transaction ledger and verify the accuracy of the accounting. The Budget Administrative Supervisor and the participating member shall sign or otherwise validate the ledger attesting to the accuracy of all documentation and fund accounting. A discrepancy in the audit requires documentation by those performing the audit and an immediate reporting of the discrepancy to the Chief of Police.

Transference of fund management to another member shall require a separate petty cash audit and involve a command staff member.

A separate audit of each petty cash fund should be completed on a random date, approximately once each year by the Chief of Police or the District.

710.6 ROUTINE CASH HANDLING

Those who handle cash as part of their property or Criminal Investigations Division supervisor duties shall discharge those duties in accordance with the Property and Evidence and Informants policies.

Members who routinely accept payment for department services shall discharge those duties in accordance with the procedures established for those tasks.

710.7 OTHER CASH HANDLING

Members of the Department who, within the course of their duties, are in possession of cash that is not their property or that is outside their defined cash-handling responsibilities shall, as soon as practicable, verify the amount, summon another member to verify their accounting, and process the cash for safekeeping or as evidence or found property, in accordance with the Property and Evidence Policy.

Cash in excess of \$1,000 requires immediate notification of a supervisor, special handling, verification and accounting by the supervisor. Each member involved in this process shall complete an appropriate report or record entry.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:14:18

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
Lexipol 1010 - Current	Lexipol 1010 - Old
2 pages (12 KB)	2 pages (273 KB)
6/3/2020 07:14:17	6/3/2020 07:14:17
Used to display results.	


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Reporting of Employee Convictions

1010.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Convictions of certain offenses may restrict or prohibit an employee's ability to properly perform official duties. Therefore, all employees shall be required to promptly notify the Department of any past and current criminal convictions. ⚠

1010.2 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CONVICTIONS, OUTSTANDING WARRANTS AND RESTRAINING ORDERS

California and federal law prohibit individuals convicted of, or having an outstanding warrant for, certain offenses and individuals subject to certain court orders from lawfully possessing a firearm. Such convictions and court orders often involve allegations of the use or attempted use of force or threatened use of a weapon on any individual in a domestic relationship (e.g., spouse, cohabitant, parent, child) (18 USC § 922; Penal Code § 29805).

All members are responsible for ensuring that they have not been disqualified from possessing a firearm by any such conviction or court order and shall promptly report any such conviction or court order to a supervisor, as provided in this policy.

1010.3 OTHER CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS AND COURT ORDERS

Government Code § 1029 prohibits any person convicted of a felony from being a peace officer in the State of California. This prohibition applies regardless of whether the guilt was established by way of a verdict, guilty or nolo contendere plea.

Convictions of certain violations of the Vehicle Code and other provisions of law may also place restrictions on an employee's ability to fully perform the duties of the job.

Outstanding warrants as provided in Penal Code § 29805 also place restrictions on a member's ability to possess a firearm.

Moreover, while legal restrictions may or may not be imposed by statute or by the courts upon conviction of any criminal offense, criminal conduct by members of this department may be inherently in conflict with law enforcement duties and the public trust. ⚠

1010.4 REPORTING PROCEDURE

All members of this department and all retired officers with an identification card issued by the Department shall promptly notify their immediate supervisor (or the Chief of Police in the case of retired officers) in writing of any past or current criminal arrest, outstanding warrant or conviction regardless of whether or not the matter is currently on appeal and regardless of the penalty or sentence, if any.

All members and all retired officers with an identification card issued by the Department shall further promptly notify their immediate supervisor (or the Chief of Police in the case of retired

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Reporting of Employee Convictions

officers) in writing if the member or retiree becomes the subject of a domestic violence restraining order or similar court order or becomes the subject of an outstanding warrant.

Any member whose criminal conviction unduly restricts or prohibits that member from fully and properly performing his/her duties may be disciplined including, but not limited to, being placed on administrative leave, reassignment and/or termination. Any effort to remove such disqualification or restriction shall remain entirely the responsibility of the member on his/her own time and expense.

Any member failing to provide prompt written notice pursuant to this policy shall be subject to discipline.

1010.5 PROCEDURE FOR RELIEF

Pursuant to Penal Code § 29855, a peace officer may petition the court for permission to carry a firearm following a conviction under state law. Federal law, however, does not provide for any such similar judicial relief and the granting of a state court petition under Penal Code § 29855 will not relieve one of the restrictions imposed by federal law. Therefore, relief for any employee falling under the restrictions imposed by federal law may only be obtained by expungement of the conviction. Each employee shall seek relief from firearm restrictions on their own time and through their own resources.

Pursuant to Family Code § 6389(h), an individual may petition the court for an exemption to any restraining order, which would thereafter permit the individual to carry a firearm as a part of their employment. Relief from any domestic violence or other restriction shall also be pursued through the employee's own resources and on the employee's own time.

Pending satisfactory proof of relief from any legal restriction imposed on an employee's duties, the employee may be placed on administrative leave, reassigned or disciplined. The Department may, but is not required to return an employee to any assignment, reinstate any employee or reverse any pending or imposed discipline upon presentation of satisfactory proof of relief from any legal restriction set forth in this policy.

1010.5.1 NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The Support Services Supervisor shall submit within 30 days of final disposition a notice to the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) of a felony conviction or Government Code § 1029 reason that disqualifies any current peace officer employed by this department or any former peace officer if this department was responsible for the investigation (11 CCR 1003).

The Support Services Supervisor shall submit within 30 days a notice to POST of any appointment, termination, reinstatement, name change, or status change regarding any peace officer, reserve peace officer, public safety dispatcher, and records supervisor employed by this department (11 CCR 1003).

Personnel Complaints

1020.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy provides guidelines for the reporting, investigation and disposition of complaints regarding the conduct of members of the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department. This policy shall not apply to any questioning, counseling, instruction, informal verbal admonishment or other routine or unplanned contact of a member in the normal course of duty, by a supervisor or any other member, nor shall this policy apply to a criminal investigation.

1020.1.1 DEFINITIONS

Personnel complaints shall be defined as any allegation of misconduct or improper job performance against any Department employee that, if true, would constitute a violation of Department policy, federal, state or local law. When an allegation warrants investigation, such complaints will be referred to the Internal Affairs Unit for assignment.

If a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

Definitions:

- (a) **Allegation:** An unproven accusation that a member of the Police Department violated Department/District policy or procedure, or the law.
- (b) **Misconduct:** An act or omission by a Department member that is a violation of Department/District policy or procedure, or the law; which if sustained, could result in disciplinary action.
- (c) **Formal Complaint:** An expression of dissatisfaction or disapproval in regards to the performance of a Police Department employee.
- (d) **Informal Complaint:** A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where either the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated (with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee), or the Department determines that the nature of the allegation(s) indicates that the investigation should be handled as an Informal Complaint.
- (e) **Supervisor Referral:** For instances involving an Informal Complaint, the Internal Affairs Unit may address the issue through a Supervisor Referral. An assigned supervisor would then address the issue informally with the involved employee and document the content of the conversation in a memorandum to the Internal Affairs Unit.
 - 1. If the alleged involved employee cannot be identified by the complainant or through investigation by Internal Affairs, then the Supervisory Referral will be attributed to “unknown” employee.
 - 1. If the involved employee has a repeated history of similar complaints, the incident may be formally investigated.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

2. If the nature of the allegation(s) warrants investigation, then a formal investigation will be conducted.
 3. Generally, the following will not be addressed through a Supervisory Referral: allegations of excessive/improper force, racial animus, bias-based policing, or workplace discrimination (exceptions may only be approved by the Chief of Police or his/her designee).
- (f) **Complaint Mediation Program:** For instances involving an Informal Complaint, the issue may also be addressed through Complaint Mediation as defined and specified in Policy 1021. Internal Affairs personnel will advise complainants of the Mediation Program option if the complaint is eligible for mediation.
1. Participation in the Complaint Mediation Program must be voluntary for both the complainant(s) and the involved employee(s).
 2. Complaints that include any of the following allegations will not be eligible for the Complaint Mediation program:
 - (a) Use of Deadly Force
 - (b) Suspicious and Wrongful Deaths
 - (c) Unnecessary or Excessive Use of Force
 - (d) Truthfulness
 - (e) Racial Animus
 - (f) Bias-Based Policing and/or Racial Profiling
 - (g) Sexual Orientation Bias
 - (h) Sexual Harassment
 - (i) Arrest or Detention
 - (j) Search or Seizure
 - (k) Reporting Misconduct
 - (l) Workplace Discrimination/Harassment
 - (m) Supervision
 - (n) Substantial injury suffered by any of the involved parties
 3. The Chief of Police, or the Chief's designee, at his or her discretion, may deem any complaint ineligible for mediation.
- (g) **Inquiry:** A question or comment regarding the actions of a Department employee or the implementation of Department policy, with no allegation of misconduct. An inquiry could also be a circumstance where a complainant initiates a complaint with Internal Affairs; however it is later determined that the involved party is not a BART Police employee. The case will be referred to the correct agency or department. The BART inquiry will be Administratively Closed.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (h) **Service Review:** When a citizen/customer raises a concern pertaining to a global practice throughout the Department such as Department policy, procedure and/or tactics. Depending on the circumstances, the concern may be evaluated and addressed through a Service Review conducted by Internal Affairs, a designated review committee, or a member of Command Staff. When appropriate, a Service Review could result in a change to Department policy, training and/or tactics.
- (i) **Administrative Closure:** Allegations that are received and documented; however the Chief of Police or his/her designee determines, based on a preliminary investigation, that further investigation is not warranted. Under these circumstances, the complaint will be Administratively Closed and documented in a summary memorandum to the case file. Employees will be documented as witnesses only, not as subjects to the complaint. Internal Affairs will send a letter to the complainant notifying them that the case was closed following a preliminary investigation.

A case may be administratively closed under (but not limited to) the following circumstances:

- The complaint fails to articulate an act, or failure to act, which would constitute a violation of policy, procedure or law that could lead to discipline if proven true.
- The complaint is received after one year or more has elapsed from the date of the incident, making it difficult to investigate the incident in a thorough, fair, and complete manner. (Particularly, allegations such as courtesy or minor procedural violations may be Administratively Closed if the Department is not made aware of the complaint in a timely manner.)
- The complaint lacks specificity and the complainant either refuses to cooperate or becomes unavailable to provide information necessary to investigate the incident.
- Complaints limited to parking or infraction citations, where there is no allegation of misconduct, shall be referred to the parking citation appeals process or the respective court.
- Complaints that appear to not be based in reality may be administratively closed, including but not limited to the following:
 - The complaint appears hallucinatory and/or fantastical, and there does not appear to be facts available to ground the complaint in reality.
 - The complaint is grossly illogical and/or incomprehensible.
 - The complaint centers on the alleged existence of a broad conspiracy; however there are no articulated facts to be investigated.
 - The complaint is largely similar in content and/or nature to a previous complaint brought by the same complainant, and the previous complaint resulted in a finding of "Unfounded."

A complaint may also be administratively closed under the following circumstances:

- If the incident giving rise to the complaint is recorded on video (body-worn video, surveillance video, and/or any other available video), and the video directly and completely refutes all allegations, then the complaint may be administratively closed based on the following conditions:

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- Internal Affairs reviews the complaint and the video and determines that the allegation is either unfounded or exonerated based on the video, and
- Internal Affairs determines that no further investigation is necessary to unfound or exonerate the allegation and
- The BART Office of Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) exercises its authority under the BART Citizen Oversight Model to review the Internal Affairs investigative processes and findings and determines that the findings and administrative closure are justified.
- In these circumstances, and only after review by OIPA pursuant to the Model, Internal Affairs will document the allegation, summarize the video, and justify a disposition of unfounded or exonerated in an Administrative Closure memorandum to file.
- If OIPA determines that the evidence does not support a finding of unfounded or exonerated and/or that an administrative closure is inappropriate, then a full Internal Affairs investigation will be conducted.

If a complaining party initiates a complaint but then either refuses to cooperate with the investigation or becomes unavailable, the Internal Affairs Unit will exercise due diligence and proceed with an investigation. Based on a lack of information, the Chief of Police or his/her designee may determine that a matter does not need to be classified as a personnel complaint and the investigation may be administratively closed. However, depending on the seriousness of the complaint and the availability of information, further investigation may be conducted by the Internal Affairs Unit.

1020.1.2 ALLEGATION CLASSIFICATIONS

The following classifications of allegations will be used. The purpose of these classifications is to objectively characterize the potential misconduct while avoiding the use of value-laden words that could prejudice the investigation.

- (a) **Arrest or Detention:** An allegation that an arrest lacked probable cause or a detention lacked reasonable suspicion.
- (b) **Bias-Based Policing:** An allegation that a Department member engaged in conduct based on a person's race, religion (religious creed), age, marital status, national origin, ancestry, sex, sexual orientation, actual or perceived gender identify, medical condition, or disability.
- (c) **Courtesy:** An allegation that a Department member inappropriately used profane or derogatory language, obscene gesture, or an unprofessional demeanor during a contact with a member of the public.
- (d) **Conduct Unbecoming:** An allegation that a member's conduct, either on or off-duty, was conduct that a reasonable person would find unbecoming a police employee. The nature of the conduct could potentially reflect adversely upon the Department.
- (e) **Force:** An allegation that the amount of force used by a Department member was not objectively reasonable.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (f) **Neglect of Duty:** An allegation that a Department member neglected his/her duties and failed to take action as required by law, or by Department policy or procedure, or in compliance with a lawfully given order from a supervisor.
- (g) **Performance of Duty:** An allegation that a Department member did not exercise an appropriate amount of effort to meet Department expectations in the performance of his/her duty per Department policy, practice or procedure.
- (h) **Policy/Procedure:** An allegation that action taken by a Department member did not follow appropriate Department/District policy, procedures or guidelines.
- (i) **Policy Complaint:** An allegation regarding a current Department policy that was properly implemented by a Department member; but which the complainant believes is inappropriate or not valid. A policy complaint is not grounds for discipline.
- (j) **Reporting Misconduct:** An allegation that a Department member failed to notify a Department supervisor of misconduct that threatens the rights of private persons and/or the well being and reputation of the Department.
- (k) **Racial Animus:** An alleged expression or act of animosity toward an individual or group based on race or ethnicity.
- (l) **Supervision:** An allegation that a supervisor did not detect a pattern of misconduct by a Department member that he/she reasonably should have known about; and/or an allegation that a supervisor did not properly supervise and take corrective action for misconduct that he/she knows or reasonably should have known about.
- (m) **Search Or Seizure:** An allegation that a search or seizure was conducted by a Department member in violation of the 4th Amendment.
- (n) **Truthfulness:** An allegation that a Department member knowingly made a false statement or purposely omitted pertinent facts to a supervisor, in a police report, court testimony, or investigative interview conducted by the Department; or the fabrication or destruction of evidence.
- (o) **Workplace Discrimination and (WH) Workplace Harassment:** See BART Operations Rules and Procedures Manual section 1307.

The definitions of Discrimination and Harassment only apply to workplace interactions between BART employees and to Department Initiated Investigations that arise from allegations of workplace discrimination and harassment. Discrimination or harassment by Department members toward members of the public shall be characterized as an allegation of Bias Based Policing (BBP) and/or Racial Animus.

1020.2 POLICY

The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department takes seriously all complaints regarding the service provided by the Department and the conduct of its members.

The Department will accept and address all complaints of misconduct in accordance with this policy and applicable federal, state and local law, municipal and county rules and the requirements of any collective bargaining agreements.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

It is also the policy of this department to ensure that the community can report misconduct without concern for reprisal or retaliation.

1020.2.1 ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLAINTS

A complaint may be filed in person, in writing, by e-mail, or by telephoning the Department.

- (a) Any Department employee who is informed of potential misconduct shall immediately notify a supervisor.
- (b) During normal operational hours, allegations of misconduct shall be referred to the Internal Affairs Unit. When an Internal Affairs investigator is unavailable, the complainant will be put in contact with a Watch Commander, or lastly a Zone Sergeant.
- (c) Depending on the urgency and seriousness of the allegations involved, complaints from juveniles should generally be taken only with their parents or guardians present and after the parents or guardians have been informed of the circumstances prompting the complaint.
- (d) Supervisors shall receive and document all complaints from any source alleging misconduct of an employee.
 - (a) If the reporting party states that they would like to make a Formal Complaint, the supervisor shall use a complaint intake form to document the reporting person's contact information and the nature of the allegation. The supervisor shall then forward the information to the Internal Affairs Unit.
 - (b) If the reporting person makes an Informal Complaint, the receiving supervisor will forward the information to the Internal Affairs Unit.
 - (c) Even in the absence of a Formal or Informal Complaint request, if the nature of the allegation(s) warrants investigation and/or could result in discipline, then the statements made by the reporting person and their contact information shall be documented on a complaint intake form and forwarded to the Internal Affairs Unit
- (e) When a complainant is intoxicated to a degree that his/her physical state may significantly inhibit his/her ability to give a thorough and complete statement, a supervisor should not attempt to take a detailed statement at that time. Instead, the supervisor should take a brief recorded statement, obtain the complainant's contact information, and give the complainant a complaint form for future reference. The Office of Internal Affairs will take appropriate actions to contact the complainant and take a detailed statement at a time when the complainant is no longer impaired due to intoxication.

1020.2.2 DEPARTMENT INITIATED INVESTIGATIONS

Allegations of misconduct generated within the police department that cannot be addressed at the supervisory level will be investigated through the Internal Affairs Unit.

- (a) Any Department employee who witnesses potential misconduct shall immediately notify a supervisor.
- (b) Allegations of misconduct may be reported to the Department by employees, union representatives, or supervisors.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (c) The Internal Affairs Unit may initiate an allegation of misconduct based on cause.
- (d) If an investigation discloses misconduct or improper job performance which was not included in the original allegation(s), the investigation will address the additional allegation(s).

1020.3 PERSONNEL COMPLAINTS

Personnel complaints include any allegation of misconduct or improper job performance that, if true, would constitute a violation of department policy or of federal, state or local law, policy or rule. Personnel complaints may be generated internally or by the public.

Inquiries about conduct or performance that, if true, would not violate department policy or federal, state or local law, policy or rule may be handled informally by a supervisor and shall not be considered a personnel complaint. Such inquiries generally include clarification regarding policy, procedures or the response to specific incidents by the Department.

1020.3.1 SOURCES OF COMPLAINTS

The following applies to the source of complaints:

- (a) Individuals from the public may make complaints in any form, including in writing, by email, in person or by telephone.
- (b) Any department member becoming aware of alleged misconduct shall immediately notify a supervisor.
- (c) Supervisors shall initiate a complaint based upon observed misconduct or receipt from any source alleging misconduct that, if true, could result in disciplinary action.
- (d) Anonymous and third-party complaints should be accepted and investigated to the extent that sufficient information is provided.
- (e) Tort claims and lawsuits may generate a personnel complaint.

1020.4 AVAILABILITY AND ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLAINTS

1020.4.1 COMPLAINT FORMS

Personnel complaint forms will be maintained in a clearly visible location in the public area of the police facility and be accessible through the department website. Forms may also be available at other District facilities.

Personnel complaint forms in languages other than English may also be provided, as determined necessary or practicable.

1020.4.2 ACCEPTANCE

All complaints will be courteously accepted by any department member and promptly given to the appropriate supervisor. Although written complaints are preferred, a complaint may also be filed orally, either in person or by telephone. Such complaints will be directed to a supervisor. If a supervisor is not immediately available to take an oral complaint, the receiving member shall

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

obtain contact information sufficient for the supervisor to contact the complainant. The supervisor, upon contact with the complainant, shall complete and submit a complaint form as appropriate.

Although not required, complainants should be encouraged to file complaints in person so that proper identification, signatures, photographs or physical evidence may be obtained as necessary.

A complainant shall be provided with a copy of his/her statement at the time it is filed with the Department (Penal Code § 832.7). The Office of Internal Affairs will additionally provide complainants with a brochure from the BART Office of the Independent Police Auditor.

1020.4.3 AVAILABILITY OF WRITTEN PROCEDURES

The Department shall make available to the public a written description of the investigation procedures for complaints (Penal Code § 832.5).

1020.5 DOCUMENTATION

Supervisors shall ensure that all formal and informal complaints are documented on a complaint form and emailed to the Office of Internal Affairs. The supervisor shall ensure that the nature of the complaint is defined as clearly as possible.

1020.6 ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS

Allegations of misconduct will be administratively investigated as follows.

1020.6.1 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

In general, the primary responsibility for the investigation of a personnel complaint shall rest with the member's immediate supervisor, unless the supervisor is the complainant, or the supervisor is the ultimate decision-maker regarding disciplinary action or has any personal involvement regarding the alleged misconduct. The Chief of Police or the authorized designee may direct that another supervisor investigate any complaint.

A supervisor who becomes aware of alleged misconduct shall take reasonable steps to prevent aggravation of the situation.

The responsibilities of supervisors include but are not limited to:

- (a) Ensuring that upon receiving or initiating any formal complaint, a complaint form is completed.
 - (a) The original complaint form will be directed to the Office of Internal Affairs of the accused member, via the chain of command, who will take appropriate action and/or determine who will have responsibility for the investigation.
 - (b) In circumstances where the integrity of the investigation could be jeopardized by reducing the complaint to writing or where the confidentiality of a complainant is at issue, a supervisor shall orally report the matter to the member's Deputy Chief or the Chief of Police, who will initiate appropriate action.
- (b) Responding to all complainants in a courteous and professional manner.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (c) Resolving those personnel complaints that can be resolved immediately.
 - (a) Follow-up contact with the complainant should be made within 24 hours of the Department receiving the complaint.
 - (b) If the matter is resolved and no further action is required, the supervisor will note the resolution on a complaint form and forward the form to the Office of Internal Affairs.
- (d) Ensuring that upon receipt of a complaint involving allegations of a potentially serious nature, the Office of Internal Affairs and the Chief of Police are notified via the chain of command as soon as practicable.
- (e) Promptly contacting the Human Resources Department and the Office of Internal Affairs for direction regarding their roles in addressing a complaint that relates to sexual, racial, ethnic or other forms of prohibited harassment or discrimination.
- (f) Forwarding unresolved personnel complaints to the Office of Internal Affairs, who will determine whether to contact the complainant or assign the complaint for investigation.
- (g) Informing the complainant of the investigator's name and the complaint number within three days after assignment.
- (h) Investigating a complaint as follows:
 - 1. Making reasonable efforts to obtain names, addresses and telephone numbers of witnesses.
 - 2. When appropriate, ensuring immediate medical attention is provided and photographs of alleged injuries and accessible uninjured areas are taken.
- (i) Ensuring that the procedural rights of the accused member are followed (Government Code § 3303 et seq.).
- (j) Ensuring interviews of the complainant are generally conducted during reasonable hours.

1020.6.2 ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

Whether conducted by a supervisor or a member of the Internal Affairs Division, the following applies to members covered by the Public Safety Officers Procedural Bill of Rights Act (POBR) (Government Code § 3303):

- (a) Interviews of an accused member shall be conducted during reasonable hours and preferably when the member is on-duty. If the member is off-duty, he/she shall be compensated.
- (b) Unless waived by the member, interviews of an accused member shall be at the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department or other reasonable and appropriate place.
- (c) No more than two interviewers should ask questions of an accused member.
- (d) Prior to any interview, a member shall be informed of the nature of the investigation, the name, rank and command of the officer in charge of the investigation, the interviewing officers and all other persons to be present during the interview.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (e) All interviews shall be for a reasonable period and the member's personal needs should be accommodated.
- (f) No member should be subjected to offensive or threatening language, nor shall any promises, rewards or other inducements be used to obtain answers.
- (g) Any member refusing to answer questions directly related to the investigation may be ordered to answer questions administratively and may be subject to discipline for failing to do so.
 - (a) A member should be given an order to answer questions in an administrative investigation that might incriminate the member in a criminal matter only after the member has been given a *Lybarger* advisement. Administrative investigators should consider the impact that compelling a statement from the member may have on any related criminal investigation and should take reasonable steps to avoid creating any foreseeable conflicts between the two related investigations. This may include conferring with the person in charge of the criminal investigation (e.g., discussion of processes, timing, implications).
 - (b) No information or evidence administratively coerced from a member may be provided to anyone involved in conducting the criminal investigation or to any prosecutor.
- (h) The interviewer should record all interviews of members and witnesses. The member may also record the interview. If the member has been previously interviewed, a copy of that recorded interview shall be provided to the member prior to any subsequent interview.
- (i) All members subjected to interviews that could result in discipline have the right to have an uninvolved representative present during the interview. However, in order to maintain the integrity of each individual's statement, involved members shall not consult or meet with a representative or attorney collectively or in groups prior to being interviewed.
- (j) All members shall provide complete and truthful responses to questions posed during interviews.
- (k) No member may be compelled to submit to a polygraph examination, nor shall any refusal to submit to such examination be mentioned in any investigation (Government Code § 3307).

No investigation shall be undertaken against any officer solely because the officer has been placed on a prosecutor's *Brady* list or the name of the officer may otherwise be subject to disclosure pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*. However, an investigation may be based on the underlying acts or omissions for which the officer has been placed on a *Brady* list or may otherwise be subject to disclosure pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland* (Government Code § 3305.5).

1020.6.3 INTERNAL AFFAIRS INVESTIGATION PROCESS

- (a) The Internal Affairs Unit shall be the principal entity for receiving, classifying, assigning, investigating, and filing allegations of misconduct. All allegations of misconduct will be forwarded to the Internal Affairs Unit.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (b) The Internal Affairs Unit is responsible for case tracking and the assignment of due dates for allegation of misconduct investigations. The assigned investigator is expected to complete each investigation in a timely manner. The investigator will conduct a thorough, accurate, and objective investigation.
- (c) The Internal Affairs Unit will apprise the Chief of Police of all allegations of misconduct and status of investigations.
- (d) If a command-level officer is the subject of an allegation of misconduct, the Chief of Police will review the allegation and assign an appropriate investigator. If the Chief of Police is the subject of an allegation, the BART General Manager will review the allegation and assign an appropriate investigator.

1020.6.4 ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION FORMAT

Formal investigations of personnel complaints shall be thorough, complete and essentially follow this format:

Introduction - Include the identity of the members, the identity of the assigned investigators, the initial date and source of the complaint.

Synopsis - Provide a brief summary of the facts giving rise to the investigation.

Summary - List the allegations separately, including applicable policy sections, with a brief summary of the evidence relevant to each allegation. A separate recommended finding should be provided for each allegation.

Evidence - Each allegation should be set forth with the details of the evidence applicable to each allegation provided, including comprehensive summaries of member and witness statements. Other evidence related to each allegation should also be detailed in this section.

Conclusion - A recommendation regarding further action or disposition should be provided.

Exhibits - A separate list of exhibits (e.g., recordings, photos, documents) should be attached to the report.

1020.6.5 DISPOSITIONS

Each personnel complaint shall be classified with one of the following dispositions:

Unfounded - When the investigation discloses that the alleged acts did not occur or did not involve department members. Complaints that are determined to be frivolous will fall within the classification of unfounded (Penal Code § 832.8).

Exonerated - When the investigation discloses that the alleged act occurred but that the act was justified, lawful and/or proper.

Not sustained - When the investigation discloses that there is insufficient evidence to sustain the complaint or fully exonerate the member.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

Sustained - A final determination by an investigating agency, commission, board, hearing officer, or arbitrator, as applicable, following an investigation and opportunity for an administrative appeal pursuant to Government Code § 3304 and Government Code § 3304.5 that the actions of an officer were found to violate law or department policy (Penal Code § 832.8).

If an investigation discloses misconduct or improper job performance that was not alleged in the original complaint, the investigator shall take appropriate action with regard to any additional allegations.

1020.6.6 COMPLETION OF INVESTIGATIONS

Every investigator or supervisor assigned to investigate a personnel complaint or other alleged misconduct shall proceed with due diligence in an effort to complete the investigation within one year from the date of discovery by an individual authorized to initiate an investigation (Government Code § 3304).

In the event that an investigation cannot be completed within one year of discovery, the assigned investigator or supervisor shall ensure that an extension or delay is warranted within the exceptions set forth in Government Code § 3304(d) or Government Code § 3508.1.

1020.6.7 NOTICE TO COMPLAINANT OF INVESTIGATION STATUS

The member conducting the investigation should provide the complainant with periodic updates on the status of the investigation, as appropriate.

1020.7 ADMINISTRATIVE SEARCHES

An employee of this Department may be administratively ordered to submit to a blood, breath, or urine test for alcohol and drugs under any of the following circumstances:

- When the employee, whether on or off-duty, is involved in a shooting or police related death.
- When the employee is involved in an injury or fatal accident while on duty.
- When the employee is involved in an injury or fatal accident while operating any District owned vehicle whether on or off-duty.
- When the employee is found to be exhibiting objective symptoms of intoxication or drug influence while on duty.

The use of compelled testing results shall be restricted to the administrative investigation.

Any employee may also be required to be photographed, video and/or audio recorded, and/or ordered to participate in a line up during an Internal Affairs investigation.

Assigned lockers, storage spaces and other areas, including desks, offices and vehicles, may be searched as part of an administrative investigation upon a reasonable suspicion of misconduct.

Such areas may also be searched any time by a supervisor for non-investigative purposes, such as obtaining a needed report, radio or other document or equipment.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

Lockers and storage spaces may only be administratively searched in the member's presence, with the member's consent, with a valid search warrant or where the member has been given reasonable notice that the search will take place (Government Code § 3309).

1020.7.1 DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

An employee may be compelled to disclose personal financial information under the following circumstances (Government Code § 3308):

- (a) Pursuant to a state law or proper legal process
- (b) Information exists that tends to indicate a conflict of interest with official duties
- (c) If the employee is assigned to or being considered for a special assignment with a potential for bribes or other improper inducements

1020.8 ADMINISTRATIVE LEAVE

When a complaint of misconduct is of a serious nature, or when circumstances indicate that allowing the accused to continue to work would adversely affect the mission of the Department, the Chief of Police or the authorized designee may temporarily assign an accused employee to administrative leave. Any employee placed on administrative leave:

- (a) May be required to relinquish any department badge, identification, assigned weapons and any other department equipment.
- (b) Shall be required to continue to comply with all policies and lawful orders of a supervisor.
- (c) May be temporarily reassigned to a different shift, generally a normal business-hours shift, during the investigation. The employee may be required to remain available for contact at all times during such shift, and will report as ordered.

1020.8.1 ACCESS TO INTERNAL AFFAIRS RECORDS

Only those members of the Department authorized by the Chief of Police will be allowed access to Internal Affairs records. In addition, the Office of the Independent Police Auditor is authorized to access to the Internal Affairs records. Information within the record shall be kept with strict confidentiality. The following personnel are authorized access to a member's personnel file, with the indicated limitations:

- (a) The member: Members may review their own personnel file during normal business hours, after making the request through the Office of the Chief of Police.
- (b) Internal Affairs personnel: Any member assigned to the Internal Affairs Unit may review another member's Internal Affairs records for the purpose of effectively completing an internal investigation.
- (c) Outside requests: Any requests to review a member's Internal Affairs records by an outside agency must be approved by the BART Legal Department in accordance with California Evidence Code Section 1043, or requested pursuant to a Federal court order.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

1020.9 CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION

Where a member is accused of potential criminal conduct, a separate supervisor or investigator shall be assigned to investigate the criminal allegations apart from any administrative investigation. Any separate administrative investigation may parallel a criminal investigation.

The Chief of Police shall be notified as soon as practicable when a member is accused of criminal conduct. The Chief of Police may request a criminal investigation by an outside law enforcement agency.

A member accused of criminal conduct shall be advised of his/her constitutional rights (Government Code § 3303(h)). The member should not be administratively ordered to provide any information in the criminal investigation.

The Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department may release information concerning the arrest or detention of any member, including an officer, that has not led to a conviction. No disciplinary action should be taken until an independent administrative investigation is conducted.

1020.10 POST-ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

Upon completion of a formal investigation, an investigation report should be forwarded to the Chief of Police through the chain of command. Each level of command should review the report and include his/her comments in writing before forwarding the report. The Chief of Police may accept or modify any classification or recommendation for disciplinary action.

1020.10.1 DIVISION COMMANDER RESPONSIBILITIES

Upon receipt of any completed personnel investigation, the Division Commander of the involved member shall review the entire investigative file, the member's personnel file and any other relevant materials.

The Division Commander may make recommendations regarding the disposition of any allegations and the amount of discipline, if any, to be imposed.

Prior to forwarding recommendations to the Chief of Police, the Division Commander may return the entire investigation to the assigned investigator or supervisor for further investigation or action.

When forwarding any written recommendation to the Chief of Police, the Division Commander shall include all relevant materials supporting the recommendation. Actual copies of a member's existing personnel file need not be provided and may be incorporated by reference.

1020.10.2 CHIEF OF POLICE RESPONSIBILITIES

Upon receipt of any written recommendation for disciplinary action, the Chief of Police shall review the recommendation and all accompanying materials. The Chief of Police may modify any recommendation and/or may return the file to the Division Commander for further investigation or action.

Once the Chief of Police is satisfied that no further investigation or action is required by staff, the Chief of Police shall determine the amount of discipline, if any, that should be imposed. In the

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

event disciplinary action is proposed, the Chief of Police shall provide the member with a pre-disciplinary procedural due process hearing (*Skelly*) by providing written notice of the charges, proposed action and reasons for the proposed action. Written notice shall be provided within one year from the date of discovery of the misconduct (Government Code § 3304(d)). The Chief of Police shall also provide the member with:

- (a) Access to all of the materials considered by the Chief of Police in recommending the proposed discipline.
- (b) An opportunity to respond orally or in writing to the Chief of Police within five days of receiving the notice.
 1. Upon a showing of good cause by the member, the Chief of Police may grant a reasonable extension of time for the member to respond.
 2. If the member elects to respond orally, the presentation may be recorded by the Department. Upon request, the member shall be provided with a copy of the recording.

Once the member has completed his/her response or if the member has elected to waive any such response, the Chief of Police shall consider all information received in regard to the recommended discipline. The Chief of Police shall render a timely written decision to the member and specify the grounds and reasons for discipline and the effective date of the discipline. Once the Chief of Police has issued a written decision, the discipline shall become effective.

1020.10.3 NOTICE OF FINAL DISPOSITION TO THE COMPLAINANT

The Chief of Police or the authorized designee shall ensure that the complainant is notified of the disposition (i.e., sustained, not sustained, exonerated, unfounded) of the complaint (Penal Code § 832.7(f)).

1020.10.4 NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

The disposition of any civilian's complaint shall be released to the complaining party within 30 days of the final disposition. This release shall not include what discipline, if any, was imposed (Penal Code § 832.7(f)).

1020.11 PRE-DISCIPLINE EMPLOYEE RESPONSE

The pre-discipline process is intended to provide the accused employee with an opportunity to present a written or oral response to the Chief of Police after having had an opportunity to review the supporting materials and prior to imposition of any recommended discipline. The employee shall consider the following:

- (a) The response is not intended to be an adversarial or formal hearing.
- (b) Although the employee may be represented by an uninvolved representative or legal counsel, the response is not designed to accommodate the presentation of testimony or witnesses.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

- (c) The employee may suggest that further investigation could be conducted or the employee may offer any additional information or mitigating factors for the Chief of Police to consider.
- (d) In the event that the Chief of Police elects to cause further investigation to be conducted, the employee shall be provided with the results prior to the imposition of any discipline.
- (e) The employee may thereafter have the opportunity to further respond orally or in writing to the Chief of Police on the limited issues of information raised in any subsequent materials.

1020.12 RESIGNATIONS/RETIREMENTS PRIOR TO DISCIPLINE

In the event that a member tenders a written resignation or notice of retirement prior to the imposition of discipline, it shall be noted in the file. The tender of a resignation or retirement by itself shall not serve as grounds for the termination of any pending investigation or discipline.

1020.13 POST-DISCIPLINE APPEAL RIGHTS

Non-probationary employees have the right to appeal a suspension without pay, punitive transfer, demotion, reduction in pay or step, or termination from employment. The employee has the right to appeal using the procedures established by any collective bargaining agreement, Memorandum of Understanding and/or personnel rules.

In the event of punitive action against an employee covered by the POBR, the appeal process shall be in compliance with Government Code § 3304 and Government Code § 3304.5.

During any administrative appeal, evidence that an officer has been placed on a *Brady* list or is otherwise subject to *Brady* restrictions may not be introduced unless the underlying allegations of misconduct have been independently established. Thereafter, such *Brady* evidence shall be limited to determining the appropriateness of the penalty (Government Code § 3305.5).

1020.14 PROBATIONARY EMPLOYEES AND OTHER MEMBERS

At-will and probationary employees and those members other than non-probationary employees may be released from employment for non-disciplinary reasons (e.g., failure to meet standards) without adherence to the procedures set forth in this policy or any right to appeal. However, any probationary officer subjected to an investigation into allegations of misconduct shall be entitled to those procedural rights, as applicable, set forth in the POBR (Government Code § 3303; Government Code § 3304).

At-will, probationary employees and those other than non-probationary employees subjected to discipline or termination as a result of allegations of misconduct shall not be deemed to have acquired a property interest in their position, but shall be given the opportunity to appear before the Chief of Police or authorized designee for a non-evidentiary hearing for the sole purpose of attempting to clear their name or liberty interest. There shall be no further opportunity for appeal beyond the liberty interest hearing and the decision of the Chief of Police shall be final.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personnel Complaints

1020.15 RETENTION OF PERSONNEL INVESTIGATION FILES

All personnel complaints shall be maintained in accordance with the established records retention schedule and as described in the Personnel Records Policy.

Personal Appearance Standards

1044.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

In order to project uniformity and neutrality toward the public and other members of the department, employees shall maintain their personal hygiene and appearance to project a professional image appropriate for this department and for their assignment.

1044.2 GROOMING STANDARDS

Unless otherwise stated and because deviations from these standards could present officer safety issues, the following appearance standards shall apply to all employees, except those whose current assignment would deem them not appropriate, and where the Chief of Police has granted exception.

1044.2.1 HAIR

Hairstyles of all members shall be neat in appearance. For male-[identifying](#) sworn members, hair must not extend below the top edge of the uniform collar while assuming a normal stance.

For female-[identifying](#) sworn members, hair must be no longer than the horizontal level of the bottom of the uniform patch when the employee is standing erect, worn up or in a tightly wrapped braid or ponytail.

1044.2.2 MUSTACHES

A short and neatly trimmed mustache may be worn. Mustaches shall not extend below the corners of the mouth or beyond the natural hairline of the upper lip.

1044.2.3 SIDEBURNS

Sideburns shall not extend below the bottom of the outer ear opening (the top of the earlobes) and shall be trimmed and neat.

1044.2.4 FACIAL HAIR

Facial hair other than sideburns, mustaches and eyebrows shall not be worn, unless authorized by the Chief of Police or his/her designee.

Employees who have been granted an exemption from shaving will maintain a full beard that does not exceed 1/8 inch in length while on duty. Employees will not wear a goatee or other customized beard creations.

1044.2.5 FINGERNAILS

Fingernails extending beyond the tip of the finger can pose a safety hazard to officers or others. For this reason, fingernails shall be trimmed so that no point of the nail extends beyond the tip of the finger.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personal Appearance Standards

1044.2.6 JEWELRY

For the purpose of this policy, jewelry refers to rings, earrings, necklaces, bracelets, wristwatches, and tie tacks or tie bars. Jewelry shall present a professional image and may not create a safety concern for the department member or others. Jewelry that depicts racial, sexual, discriminatory, gang-related, or obscene language is not allowed.

- (a) Necklaces shall not be visible above the shirt collar.
- (b) Earrings shall only be studs. They shall be small, only one per ear, and worn only in the earlobe.
- (c) One ring or ring set may be worn on each hand of the department member. No rings should be of the type that would cut or pose an unreasonable safety risk to the member or others during a physical altercation, if the member is assigned to a position where that may occur.
- (d) One small bracelet, including a bracelet identifying a medical condition, may be worn on one arm.
- (e) Wristwatches shall be conservative and present a professional image.
- (f) Tie tacks or tie bars worn with civilian attire shall be conservative and present a professional image.

1044.3 TATTOOS

While on-duty or representing the Department in any official capacity, members shall make every reasonable effort to conceal tattoos or other body art. At no time while the member is on-duty or representing the Department in any official capacity shall any offensive tattoo or body art be visible. Examples of offensive tattoos include but are not limited to those that exhibit or advocate discrimination; those that exhibit gang, supremacist, or extremist group affiliation; and those that depict or promote drug use, sexually explicit acts, or other obscene material.

1044.4 BODY PIERCING OR ALTERATION

Body piercing or alteration to any area of the body visible in any authorized uniform or attire that is a deviation from normal anatomical features and which is not medically required is prohibited. Such body alteration includes, but is not limited to:

- (a) Tongue splitting or piercing.
- (b) The complete or transdermal implantation of any material other than hair replacement.
- (c) Abnormal shaping of the ears, eyes, nose or teeth
- (d) Branding or scarification.

1044.5 EXEMPTIONS

Members who seek cultural (e.g., culturally protected hairstyles) or other exemptions to this policy that are protected by law should generally be accommodated (Government Code § 12926). A

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personal Appearance Standards

member with an exemption may be ineligible for an assignment if the individual accommodation presents a security or safety risk. The Chief of Police should be advised any time a request for such an accommodation is denied or when a member with a cultural or other exemption is denied an assignment based on a safety or security risk.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:13:35

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
Lexipol 1044 - Current	Lexipol 1044 - Old
2 pages (11 KB)	2 pages (272 KB)
6/3/2020 07:13:34	6/3/2020 07:13:34
Used to display results.	


[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Personal Appearance Standards

1044.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

In order to project uniformity and neutrality toward the public and other members of the department, employees shall maintain their personal hygiene and appearance to project a professional image appropriate for this department and for their assignment.

1044.2 GROOMING STANDARDS

Unless otherwise stated and because deviations from these standards could present officer safety issues, the following appearance standards shall apply to all employees, except those whose current assignment would deem them not appropriate, and where the Chief of Police has granted exception.

1044.2.1 HAIR

Hairstyles of all members shall be neat in appearance. For male sworn members, hair must not extend below the top edge of the uniform collar while assuming a normal stance.

For female sworn members, hair must be no longer than the horizontal level of the bottom of the uniform patch when the employee is standing erect, worn up or in a tightly wrapped braid or ponytail.

1044.2.2 MUSTACHES

A short and neatly trimmed mustache may be worn. Mustaches shall not extend below the corners of the mouth or beyond the natural hairline of the upper lip.

1044.2.3 SIDEBURNS

Sideburns shall not extend below the bottom of the outer ear opening (the top of the earlobes) and shall be trimmed and neat.

1044.2.4 FACIAL HAIR

Facial hair other than sideburns, mustaches and eyebrows shall not be worn, unless authorized by the Chief of Police or his/her designee.

Employees who have been granted an exemption from shaving will maintain a full beard that does not exceed 1/8 inch in length while on duty. Employees will not wear a goatee or other customized beard creations.

1044.2.5 FINGERNAILS

Fingernails extending beyond the tip of the finger can pose a safety hazard to officers or others. For this reason, fingernails shall be trimmed so that no point of the nail extends beyond the tip of the finger.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Personal Appearance Standards

1044.2.6 JEWELRY AND ACCESSORIES

No jewelry or personal ornaments shall be worn by officers on any part of the uniform or equipment, except those authorized within this manual. Jewelry, if worn around the neck, shall not be visible above the shirt collar.

Earrings shall not be worn without permission of the Chief of Police. Stud earrings will be the only earrings considered for approval. Only one earring per ear and one ring may be worn on each hand of the employee while on-duty.

1044.3 TATTOOS

While on duty or representing the Department in any official capacity, tattoos or other body art will not be visible. Acceptable coverings for visible tattoos are: department uniforms, band-aids, skin colored sleeves, ace bandages.

1044.4 BODY PIERCING OR ALTERATION

Body piercing or alteration to any area of the body visible in any authorized uniform or attire that is a deviation from normal anatomical features and which is not medically required is prohibited. Such body alteration includes, but is not limited to:

- (a) Tongue splitting or piercing.
- (b) The complete or transdermal implantation of any material other than hair replacement.
- (c) Abnormal shaping of the ears, eyes, nose or teeth
- (d) Branding or scarification.

1044.5 EXEMPTIONS

Members who seek cultural (e.g., culturally protected hairstyles) or other exemptions to this policy that are protected by law should generally be accommodated (Government Code § 12926). A member with an exemption may be ineligible for an assignment if the individual accommodation presents a security or safety risk. The Chief of Police should be advised any time a request for such an accommodation is denied or when a member with a cultural or other exemption is denied an assignment based on a safety or security risk.

Summary
6/3/2020 07:09:03

Differences exist between documents.

New Document:	Old Document:
Lexipol 1054 - Current	Lexipol 1054 - Old
4 pages (15 KB)	4 pages (277 KB)
6/3/2020 07:09:02	6/3/2020 07:09:02
Used to display results.	

[Get started: first change is on page 1.](#)


No pages were deleted

How to read this report

Highlight indicates a change.

Deleted indicates deleted content.

 indicates pages were changed.

 indicates pages were moved.

Temporary Modified-Duty Assignments

1054.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy establishes procedures for providing temporary modified-duty assignments. This policy is not intended to affect the rights or benefits of employees under federal or state law, District rules, current memorandums of understanding or collective bargaining agreements. For example, nothing in this policy affects the obligation of the Department to engage in a good faith, interactive process to consider reasonable accommodations for any employee with a temporary or permanent disability that is protected under federal or state law.

1054.2 POLICY

Subject to operational considerations, the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department may identify temporary modified-duty assignments for employees who have an injury or medical condition resulting in temporary work limitations or restrictions. A temporary assignment allows the employee to work, while providing the Department with a productive employee during the temporary period.

1054.3 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

Priority consideration for temporary modified-duty assignments will be given to employees with work-related injuries or illnesses that are temporary in nature. Employees having disabilities covered under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) or the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Government Code § 12940 et seq.) shall be treated equally, without regard to any preference for a work-related injury.

No position in the Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department shall be created or maintained as a temporary modified-duty assignment.

Temporary modified-duty assignments are a management prerogative and not an employee right. The availability of temporary modified-duty assignments will be determined on a case-by-case basis, consistent with the operational needs of the Department. Temporary modified-duty assignments are subject to continuous reassessment, with consideration given to operational needs and the employee's ability to perform in a modified-duty assignment.

The Chief of Police or the authorized designee may restrict employees working in temporary modified-duty assignments from wearing a uniform, displaying a badge, carrying a firearm, operating an emergency vehicle, engaging in outside employment, or being otherwise limited in employing their peace officer powers.

Temporary modified-duty assignments shall generally not exceed a cumulative total of 1,040 hours in any one-year period.

1054.4 PROCEDURE

Employees may request a temporary modified-duty assignment for short-term injuries or illnesses.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Temporary Modified-Duty Assignments

Employees seeking a temporary modified-duty assignment should contact the designated administrative supervisor to make the request.. The request should, as applicable, include a certification from the treating medical professional containing:

- (a) An assessment of the nature and probable duration of the illness or injury.
- (b) The prognosis for recovery.
- (c) The nature and scope of limitations and/or work restrictions.
- (d) A statement regarding any required workplace accommodations, mobility aids or medical devices.
- (e) A statement that the employee can safely perform the duties of the temporary modified-duty assignment.

The administrative supervisor will make a recommendation through the chain of command to the Chief of Police regarding temporary modified-duty assignments that may be available based on the needs of the Department and the limitations of the employee. The Chief of Police or the authorized designee shall confer with the Human Resources Department or the General Counsel as appropriate.

Requests for a temporary modified-duty assignment of 20 hours or less per week may be approved and facilitated by the administrative supervisor, with notice to the Chief of Police.

1054.4.1 TEMPORARY MODIFIED-DUTY SCHEDULES

The schedules of employees assigned to modified duty may be adjusted to suit medical appointments or Department needs at the discretion of the Support Services Bureau Deputy Chief. Generally, TMD personnel will staff ISRC for CCTV monitoring or be assigned to the police service window in the MET lobby. Personnel may be re-assigned to fill a different assignments based on the approval by the Chief of Police or his/her designee. TMD personnel are not authorized to work overtime.

1054.5 ACCOUNTABILITY

Written notification of assignments, work schedules and any restrictions should be provided to employees assigned to temporary modified-duty assignments and their supervisors. Those assignments and schedules may be adjusted to accommodate department operations and the employee's medical appointments, as mutually agreed upon with the administrative supervisor.

1054.5.1 EMPLOYEE RESPONSIBILITIES

The responsibilities of employees assigned to temporary modified duty shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) Communicating and coordinating any required medical and physical therapy appointments in advance with their supervisors.
- (b) Promptly notifying their supervisors of any change in restrictions or limitations after each appointment with their treating medical professionals.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Temporary Modified-Duty Assignments

- (c) Communicating a status update to their supervisors no less than once every 30 days while assigned to temporary modified duty.
- (d) Submitting a written status report to the administrative supervisor that contains a status update and anticipated date of return to full-duty when a temporary modified-duty assignment extends beyond 60 days.

1054.5.2 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

The **employee's** immediate supervisor shall monitor and manage the work schedule of those assigned to temporary modified duty.

The responsibilities of supervisors shall include, but not be limited to:

- (a) Periodically apprising the **Division Commander** of the status and performance of employees assigned to temporary modified duty.
- (b) Notifying the **Division Commander** and ensuring that the required documentation facilitating a return to full duty is received from the employee.
- (c) Ensuring that employees returning to full duty have completed any required training and certification.

1054.6 MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS

Prior to returning to full-duty status, employees shall be required to provide certification from their treating medical professionals stating that they are medically cleared to perform the essential functions of their jobs without restrictions or limitations.

The Department may require a fitness-for-duty examination prior to returning an employee to full-duty status, in accordance with the Fitness for Duty Policy.

1054.7 PREGNANCY

If an employee is temporarily unable to perform regular duties due to a pregnancy, **childbirth**, or a related medical condition, the employee will be treated the same as any other temporarily disabled employee (42 USC § 2000e(k)). A pregnant employee shall not be involuntarily transferred to a temporary modified-duty assignment. **Nothing in this policy limits a pregnant employee's right to a temporary modified-duty assignment if required under Government Code § 12945.**

1054.7.1 NOTIFICATION

Pregnant employees should notify their immediate supervisors as soon as practicable and provide a statement from their medical providers identifying any pregnancy-related job restrictions or limitations. If at any point during the pregnancy it becomes necessary for the employee to take a leave of absence, such leave shall be granted in accordance with the **District's** personnel rules and regulations regarding family and medical care leave.

1054.8 PROBATIONARY EMPLOYEES

Probationary employees who are assigned to a temporary modified-duty assignment shall have their probation extended by a period of time equal to their assignment to temporary modified duty.

Bay Area Rapid Transit Police Department

BART PD Policy Manual

Temporary Modified-Duty Assignments

1054.9 MAINTENANCE OF CERTIFICATION AND TRAINING

Employees assigned to temporary modified duty shall maintain all certification, training and qualifications appropriate to both their regular and temporary duties, provided that the certification, training or qualifications are not in conflict with any medical limitations or restrictions. Employees who are assigned to temporary modified duty shall inform their supervisors of any inability to maintain any certification, training or qualifications.